

NEW GOLD RAINY RIVER MINE
APPENDIX R
ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS
MONITORING INTERPRETIVE
REPORT



**Phase 1 Environmental Effects
Monitoring Interpretive Report for the
New Gold Rainy River Project**


Prepared for:
New Gold Inc.
Emo, Ontario

Prepared by:
Minnow Environmental Inc.
Georgetown, Ontario

March 2018

**Phase 1 Environmental Effects Monitoring
Interpretive Report for the New Gold Rainy
River Project**

Tyrell Worrall, M.Sc.
Project Manager



Pierre Stecko, M.Sc., EP, R.P.Bio.
Senior Project Advisor



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Rainy River Project (RRP), operated by New Gold Inc., is an open pit and underground gold mine located approximately 65 km northwest of Fort Frances, and approximately 420 km west of Thunder Bay, Ontario. Commercial production started in late 2017 and ore is milled and refined on site to produce doré bars at an estimated annual rate of approximately 325,000 ounces. Mill tailings are treated to destroy cyanide and conveyed to the RRP tailings management area (TMA). Reclaimed water from the TMA is returned to the mill for re-use. Excess water is treated in a polishing pond prior to discharge into a constructed wetland at Loslo Creek or by direct pipeline to the Pinewood River downstream of McCallum Creek. The constructed wetland is the primary effluent discharge path. The pipeline is available to discharge excess effluent if necessary in order to maintain wetland water retention time and reduce wetland erosion. Under average effluent discharge rates and Pinewood River flow, the effluent concentration in the Pinewood River is calculated to be up to approximately 14% after mixing.

Sublethal toxicity tests conducted on grab samples of RRP final effluent at Final Discharge Point 2 (FDP2) and Final Discharge Point 3 (FDP3) over the Phase 1 EEM period (2016 to 2017) indicated that effluent was generally of high quality with the lowest reported effects occurring at effluent concentrations of 37% and 95% for FDP2 and FDP3 respectively. Overall, sublethal toxicity data indicate good effluent quality and the observed responses occurred at effluent concentrations well above those expected in the receiving environment.

Water quality of the Pinewood River downstream of RRP was only moderately influenced by effluent discharge. Influence of RRP effluent was evident in higher conductivity, hardness, calcium, potassium, and sodium in the effluent-exposed area relative to upstream. Nitrite was elevated in the effluent-exposed area compared to both the reference area and the water quality guideline for the protection of aquatic life on one occasion in 2016. Additionally, aluminum and iron were the only other parameters measured that did not meet water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life, however, this occurred at both the effluent-exposed and reference areas, indicating that these substances are naturally elevated in this area. Overall, water quality data collected during the EEM study were consistent with the routine monitoring data and indicated a detectable, but minor, effect of RRP effluent on water quality of the Pinewood River, confirmed during the April and September field studies on the basis of measured *in situ* measurements.

The inorganic sediment fraction was composed predominantly of silt (37-69%) and clay (25-41%), with some sand (2-31%). There were significant differences between the effluent-exposed and reference area sediments on the basis of total organic carbon, as well as the silt and clay fractions, with significantly more TOC and silt in the effluent-exposed area, and significantly less clay.



Sediment concentrations of chromium and nickel were elevated in both the effluent-exposed and reference areas, compared to Provincial Sediment Quality Guideline (PSWQG) Lowest Effect Levels (LEL). Additionally, effluent-exposed sediment concentrations of manganese and phosphorus were elevated compared to reference area concentrations and the PSQG LEL. Total organic carbon (TOC) was above the PSQG LEL in both areas. There were no Severe Effects Level (SEL) exceedances except for total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) at the effluent-exposed area; TKN was greater than LEL at the reference area.

The benthic invertebrate community survey showed only subtle differences between the effluent-exposed area and the reference area. At the family level of taxonomic resolution, the benthic invertebrate community of the Pinewood River effluent-exposed area did not differ significantly from the Sturgeon Creek reference area on the basis of density, richness, or Simpson's E. The Bray-Curtis distance was significantly higher at the exposed area of Pinewood River compared to the reference area of Sturgeon Creek, likely due to a difference in the habitat between the two areas rather than an effluent related influence.

No major differences in fish community composition were observed between the two areas, although the effluent-exposed area on Pinewood River supported a higher species diversity. Female brook stickleback downstream of the RRP differed significantly from reference female brook stickleback based on relative gonad size, relative liver size, and body condition, with the magnitude of differences exceeding the applicable critical effect sizes (CES). A similar pattern was observed for effluent-exposed female central mudminnow, with significant differences in relative gonad size, relative liver size, and body condition, although only relative gonad size exceeded the applicable CES. Effluent-exposed male brook stickleback differed significantly from males captured at the Sturgeon Creek reference based on larger relative liver size and body condition, with the magnitude of difference outside of the applicable CES for both endpoints. Similarly, male effluent-exposed central mudminnow showed the same pattern as the male brook stickleback (larger relative liver size and body condition) in terms of significance and magnitude of difference outside of applicable CES.

Based on the findings of the Phase 1 RRP EEM study conducted in April and September 2017, it is recommended that the mine implements the Phase 2 EEM biological study ("periodic monitoring – surveillance") three years after Phase 1.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Site Description	1
1.2 Effluent Characteristics	1
1.3 Receiving Environment Characteristics	16
1.4 Summary of the Approved Phase 1 Design	18
1.5 Report Organization	18
2 METHODS	19
2.1 Overview	19
2.2 Effluent Sublethal Toxicity	19
2.3 Receiving Water Quality	21
2.3.1 Sample Collection and Laboratory Analysis	21
2.3.2 Supporting Measures	21
2.3.3 Data Analysis	22
2.4 Sediment Quality	22
2.4.1 Sample Collection	22
2.4.2 Data Evaluation	23
2.5 Benthic Invertebrate Community Survey	23
2.5.1 Sample Collection	24
2.5.2 Sample Processing	24
2.5.3 Data Analysis	25
2.6 Fish Survey	27
2.6.1 Sample Collection	27
2.6.2 Sample Processing	28
2.6.3 Laboratory Analysis	28
2.6.4 Data Analysis	29
3 EFFLUENT SUBLETHAL TOXICITY	32
3.1 Toxicity Test Results	32
3.2 Predicted Receiving Environment Influence	32
4 RECEIVING WATER QUALITY	34
4.1 Water Quality during the EEM	34
4.2 Routine Water Quality Monitoring	34
5 SEDIMENT QUALITY	40
5.1 Overview	40
5.2 Sediment Composition	40
5.3 Sediment Quality	42
6 BENTHIC INVERTEBRATE COMMUNITY	43
6.1 Overview	43
6.2 Primary Metrics	43
6.3 Taxon Group Composition	45
6.4 Influence of Physico-Chemical Variables	48
6.5 Summary	48
7 FISH COMMUNITY SURVEY	50
7.1 Overview	50
7.2 Fish Community	50



7.3	Brook Stickleback.....	51
7.3.1	Female Brook Stickleback	51
7.3.2	Male Brook Stickleback	56
7.4	Central Mudminnow	56
7.4.1	Female Central Mudminnow.....	59
7.4.2	Male Central Mudminnow	59
7.5	Summary.....	62
8	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	64
8.1	Conclusions.....	64
8.2	Recommendations	65
9	REFERENCES	66

APPENDIX A	CORRESPONDANCE RELATED TO THE CYCLE 4 EEM STUDY DESIGN
APPENDIX B	DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT
APPENDIX C	WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY DATA
APPENDIX D	BENTHIC INVERTEBRATE COMMUNITY DATA
APPENDIX E	FISH COMMUNITY DATA

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	Location and Future Layout of the Rainy River Project.....	2
Figure 1.2:	Anticipated Future Mine Infrastructure, Rainy River Project.....	3
Figure 1.3:	Rainy River Final Discharge Points Average Monthly Effluent Discharge During the Phase 1 EEM Study Period, 2015 to 2017	11
Figure 1.4:	Calculated Un-ionized Ammonia Concentrations in Bioassay Test Solutions and Corresponding Mortality Events	15
Figure 2.1:	Rainy River Project Cycle 1 EEM Biological Sampling Areas, Final Discharge Points (FDPs), and Water Quality Monitoring Areas	20
Figure 4.1:	<i>In Situ</i> Water Quality Measures at Benthic Sampling Stations, RRP Phase 1 EEM, September 2017	35
Figure 5.1:	Particle Size and Total Organic Carbon Content in Sediments, RRP Phase 1 EEM, September 2017	40
Figure 6.1:	Comparison of: a) Benthic Invertebrate Density, b) Number of Taxa, c) Simpson’s Evenness and d) Bray-Curtis Distance to Reference Median, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	44
Figure 6.2:	Percent Composition of Dominant Benthic Groups, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	45
Figure 6.3:	Results of Correspondence Analysis of Benthic Invertebrate Communities, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	46
Figure 6.4:	Family Level (FL) Correspondence Analysis (CA) Scores at RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017.....	47



Figure 7.1:	Age frequency Distributions of a) Female and b) Male Brook Stickleback in Sturgeon Creek and Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, April 2017	53
Figure 7.2:	Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Female Brook Stickleback Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	55
Figure 7.3:	Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Male Brook Stickleback Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	57
Figure 7.4:	Age frequency Distributions of a) Female and b) Male Central Mudminnow in Sturgeon Creek and Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, April 2017	60
Figure 7.5:	Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Female Central Mudminnow Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	61
Figure 7.6:	Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Male Central Mudminnow Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	63

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1:	Effluent Characterization, Mean Annual Effluent Flow, and Effluent Acute Toxicity for the RRP for Phase 1	4
Table 1.2:	Annual MMER Effluent Discharge Totals for the New Gold RRP, 2015 to 2017	12
Table 1.3:	Acute Toxicity Results as Percent Mortality, RRP Phase 1 EEM.....	13
Table 3.1:	Sublethal Toxicity Test Results for RRP Effluent (as % effluent)	33
Table 4.1:	In Situ Surface Water Quality Data Collected during the Fish Survey for RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	34
Table 4.2:	Total Metal Concentrations in Sturgeon Creek and Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	36
Table 4.3:	Summary of Routine Water Quality Data, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2015 to 2017.....	39
Table 5.1:	Summary of Sediment Quality (Mean ± Standard Deviation), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017.....	41
Table 6.1:	Summary of Benthic Invertebrate Community Characteristics and Statistical Comparisons Between Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	43
Table 6.2:	Correlations Between Benthic Metrics that were Significantly (p < 0.05) Different Between Areas with Environmental Supporting Measurements that were also Significantly (p < 0.05) Different Between Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017.....	49
Table 7.1:	Summary of Fish Caught in the Sturgeon Creek Reference and the Effluent-exposed Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017	50
Table 7.2:	Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) Summary for Sentinel Fish Caught during the RRP Phase 1 EEM, April 2017.....	52
Table 7.3:	Statistical Comparisons For Brook Stickleback Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference) Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017.....	54
Table 7.4:	Statistical Comparisons For Central Mudminnow Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference) Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017.....	58



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Site Description

New Gold Inc. owns the Rainy River Project (RRP), located in northwestern Ontario in the Township of Chapple and District of Rainy River, approximately 65 km northwest of Fort Frances, and approximately 420 km west of Thunder Bay (Figure 1.1). The RRP is located within the Pinewood River watershed. The Pinewood River flows past the RRP and drains into the Rainy River approximately 37 km downstream.

Earliest exploration of the RRP began in 1967. Rainy River Resources Ltd. acquired the project in 2005 and began conducting baseline studies in 2008. The RRP was acquired by New Gold Inc. in 2013 and an Environmental Assessment (EA) report was submitted in 2014 (AMEC 2014). Site construction began following provincial and federal EA approvals in 2015. Upon completion, the RRP site construction will include an open pit mine, an underground mine, ore storage facilities, a process plant, a Tailings Management Area (TMA), watercourse diversions, site drainage works, a fuel tank farm, explosives manufacturing facilities, and explosives storage facilities (Figure 1.2). Mine commissioning occurred in September 2017.

The RRP is expected to sustain mining operations for approximately 16 years, with an anticipated ore production capacity of 27,000 tonnes per day (tpd) as well as an anticipated milling capacity of 21,000 tpd (CEAA 2015).


1.2 Effluent Characteristics

Under the MMER, RRP was required to conduct effluent characterization, sublethal toxicity testing, and water quality monitoring starting not later than six months after the day on which the mine became subject to the MMER. RRP became subject to the MMER on September 17th, 2015 and thus was required to start monitoring on March 17th, 2016.



Treated effluent from the RRP's seven discharges has complied with the MMER (Table 1.1), with only three exceptions during the Phase 1 EEM time period (2016 to 2017). Three incidences of elevated Total Suspended Solids (TSS) occurred, on April 15, 2016 at FDP2 (42 mg/L), September 28, 2016 at FDP6 (31 mg/L), and January 28, 2017 at FDP7 (39 mg/L; Table 1.1).

Effluent discharge is intermittent for all seven Final Discharge Point (FDP)s usually related to increased precipitation, this was especially relevant in 2016 as this was during the construction phase, so the mine pits needed periodic pumping (Figure 1.3). The nature of this unpredictable discharge schedule meant that effluent characterization could not always occur a minimum of 30 days apart (Table 1.1). The mean annual discharge in 2017 was increased compared to 2015 or 2016 for five of the seven FDPs (Table 1.2). However, two of the FDPs (FDP 6 and 7), had





LEGEND
 Mine Infrastructure
 Contour (10 m)




Map Projection: UTM Zone 15 NAD 1983
 Data Source: Reproduced under licence from Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, Department of Natural Resources Canada. All rights reserved.

Location and Future Layout of the Rainy River Project



Date: March 2018
 Project 177202.0012



Figure 1.1



- LEGEND**
- Mine-exposed Water Monitoring Area
 - Reference Water Monitoring Area
 - WSC Station 05PC23
 - Effluent-exposed
 - Reference
 - Mine Infrastructure



Map Projection: UTM Zone 15 NAD 1983
 Data Source: Reproduced under licence from Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, Department of Natural Resources Canada. All rights reserved.

Anticipated Future Mine Infrastructure, Rainy River Project

Date: March 2018
 Project 177202.0012



Figure 1.2

Table 1.1: Effluent Characterization, Mean Annual Effluent Flow, and Effluent Acute Toxicity for the RRP for Phase 1

a) FDP2 (Sump 3,6)

Variables	Units	Regulatory Limits				Phase 1											
		MMER		ECA		2015				2016				2017			
		Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	13-Dec	-	-	-	15-Apr	27-Jun	28-Aug	30-Sep	22-Mar	25-Apr	28-May	17-Oct
Mean Annual Flow Rate	m ³ /day					17				375				367			
Non-metals																	
Alkalinity	mg/L					344	-	-	-	243	164	252	306	336	278	349	333
Ammonia	mg/L					0.02	-	-	-	4.3	0.1	5.9	11.9	4.5	3.5	0.7	0.4
Cyanide	mg/L	2	1			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.037	0.004	0.003	<0.002
Hardness	mg/L					341	-	-	-	283	212	302	327	365	327	374	392
Lab pH	pH unit	6.0 - 9.5	6.0 - 9.5		6.0 - 9.5	-	-	-	-	7.98	8.34	8.09	7.78	7.14	8.13	7.96	7.87
Nitrate	mg/L					0.025	-	-	-	6.87	1.25	8.19	17.80	5.37	4.73	0.67	0.83
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	15	30	15	-	-	-	-	42	14	25	3	7	1	14	5
Metals																	
Aluminum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.0050	0.250	0.380	0.091	0.194	0.020	0.290	0.083
Arsenic	mg/L	1	0.5	0.0340	0.0170	-	-	-	-	0.0032	0.0027	0.0016	0.0035	0.004	0.0037	0.0026	0.0018
Cadmium	mg/L					<0.000017	-	-	-	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	0.000075
Copper	mg/L	0.6	0.3	0.028	0.014	-	-	-	-	0.0059	0.0013	0.0010	0.0019	0.0051	0.0010	0.0013	0.0034
Iron	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.01	0.29	0.31	0.09	0.19	0.01	0.39	0.24
Lead	mg/L	0.4	0.2	0.030	0.015	-	-	-	-	<0.02	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Mercury	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001
Molybdenum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.0178	0.0144	0.0135	0.0298	0.0138	0.0144	0.0061	0.0054
Nickel	mg/L	1	0.5	0.094	0.047	-	-	-	-	0.0047	<0.002	0.0015	0.0028	0.0034	0.0024	0.0016	0.0032
Radium ²²⁶	Bq/L	1.1	0.37			-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Zinc	mg/L	1	0.5	0.348	0.174	-	-	-	-	0.076	0.132	0.005	0.007	0.004	0.0015	0.0025	0.0045
Acute Toxicity in 100% Effluent																	
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	% mortality	50%		50%		-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rainbow Trout	% mortality	50%		50%		-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0

MMER - Metal Mining Effluent Regulations.

ECA - Environmental Compliance Approval.

Table 1.1: Effluent Characterization, Mean Annual Effluent Flow, and Effluent Acute Toxicity for the RRP for Phase 1

b) FDP3 (Sump 4, 5)

Variables	Units	Regulatory Limits				Phase 1											
		MMER		ECA		2015				2016				2017			
		Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	-	-	-	-	30-May	30-Jun	24-Aug	22-Sep	19-Jan	3-Apr	6-May	13-Oct
Mean Annual Flow Rate	m ³ /day					-	-	-	-	630				607			
Non-metals																	
Alkalinity	mg/L					-	-	-	-	279	221	311	286	418	333	352	309
Ammonia	mg/L					-	-	-	-	3.7	1.6	5.3	5.5	5.4	3.4	3.46	2.6
Cyanide	mg/L	2	1			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.061	0.013	0.011	<0.002
Hardness	mg/L					-	-	-	-	327	269	385	403	447	366	384	384
Lab pH	pH unit	6.0 - 9.5	6.0 - 9.5		6.0 - 9.5	-	-	-	-	8.26	8.19	7.89	7.05	7.35	7.90	8.12	8.08
Nitrate	mg/L					-	-	-	-	3.22	2.37	6.72	8.30	5.1	4.0	4.08	6.57
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	15	30	15	-	-	-	-	4	5	7	5	5	<2	10	5
Metals																	
Aluminum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.0800	0.105	0.148	0.369	0.078	0.071	0.177	0.044
Arsenic	mg/L	1	0.5	0.0340	0.0170	-	-	-	-	0.0034	0.0027	0.0028	0.0039	0.0035	0.0032	0.0041	0.0023
Cadmium	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	0.000025	0.000025	<0.000017	<0.000017	0.00004
Copper	mg/L	0.6	0.3	0.028	0.014	-	-	-	-	0.0010	0.0014	0.0015	0.0031	0.0016	0.0035	0.0035	0.0030
Iron	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.11	0.13	0.19	0.42	0.12	0.08	0.20	0.06
Lead	mg/L	0.4	0.2	0.030	0.015	-	-	-	-	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Mercury	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001
Molybdenum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.0113	0.0158	0.0145	0.0179	0.0191	0.0121	0.0133	0.0124
Nickel	mg/L	1	0.5	0.094	0.047	-	-	-	-	0.0028	<0.0020	0.0032	0.0039	0.0071	0.0034	0.0052	0.0027
Radium ²²⁶	Bq/L	1.1	0.37			-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Zinc	mg/L	1	0.5	0.348	0.174	-	-	-	-	<0.003	<0.003	0.010	0.010	0.0155	0.0065	0.006	0.0035
Acute Toxicity in 100% Effluent																	
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	% mortality	50%		50%		-	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
Rainbow Trout	% mortality	50%		50%		-	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

MMER - Metal Mining Effluent Regulations.
 ECA - Environmental Compliance Approval.

Table 1.1: Effluent Characterization, Mean Annual Effluent Flow, and Effluent Acute Toxicity for the RRP for Phase 1

c) FDP5 (Process Plant Overburden Pile)

Variables	Units	Regulatory Limits				Phase 1											
		MMER		ECA		2015				2016				2017			
		Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	-	-	-	-	6-Jun	25-Jul	24-Aug	23-Nov	6-May	5-Jul	17-Aug	17-Sep
Mean Annual Flow Rate	m ³ /day					-	-	-	-	50				190			
Non-metals																	
Alkalinity	mg/L					-	-	-	-	156	127	117	131	155	244	188	201
Ammonia	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.008	0.038	0.416	0.122
Cyanide	mg/L	2	1			-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002
Hardness	mg/L					-	-	-	-	189	207	202	286	249	362	376	411
Lab pH	pH unit	6.0 - 9.5	6.0 - 9.5		6.0 - 9.5	-	-	-	-	8.39	8.43	8.54	8.05	8.28	8.47	8.56	8.46
Nitrate	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.02	0.25	<0.04	0.01	0.765	1.42	3.99	2.2
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	15	30	15	-	-	-	-	13	7	7	4	8	14	5	10
Metals																	
Aluminum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.373	0.102	0.094	0.028	0.259	0.341	0.065	0.461
Arsenic	mg/L	1	0.5	0.0340	0.0170	-	-	-	-	0.0012	0.0016	0.0020	0.0014	0.0010	0.0018	0.0021	0.0027
Cadmium	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017
Copper	mg/L	0.6	0.3	0.028	0.014	-	-	-	-	0.0021	0.0022	0.0019	0.0022	0.0024	0.0036	0.0034	0.0033
Iron	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.28	0.10	0.11	0.03	0.24	0.39	0.06	0.26
Lead	mg/L	0.4	0.2	0.030	0.015	-	-	-	-	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Mercury	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001
Molybdenum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.0077	0.0088	0.0092	0.0086	0.0044	0.0042	0.0059	0.0054
Nickel	mg/L	1	0.5	0.094	0.047	-	-	-	-	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	0.0025	0.0024	0.0025
Radium ²²⁶	Bq/L	1.1	0.37			-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Zinc	mg/L	1	0.5	0.348	0.174	-	-	-	-	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.005	0.0035	0.004	0.0035
Acute Toxicity in 100% Effluent																	
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	% mortality	50%		50%		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	0
Rainbow Trout	% mortality	50%		50%		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	0

MMER - Metal Mining Effluent Regulations.
 ECA - Environmental Compliance Approval.

Table 1.1: Effluent Characterization, Mean Annual Effluent Flow, and Effluent Acute Toxicity for the RRP for Phase 1

d) FDP6 (Process Plant Site)

Variables	Units	Regulatory Limits				Phase 1											
		MMER		ECA		2015				2016				2017			
		Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	17-Sep	19-Oct	7-Nov	8-Dec	24-Mar	2-May	25-Jul	28-Sep	3-Apr	6-Apr	12-Apr	-
Mean Annual Flow Rate	m ³ /day					82				147				31			
Non-metals																	
Alkalinity	mg/L					217	218	218	230	228	237	165	168	161	186	180	
Ammonia	mg/L					2.06	1.17	0.004	0.604	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.134	
Cyanide	mg/L	2	1			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	
Hardness	mg/L					275	273	275	298	257	248	221	214	195	232	204	
Lab pH	pH unit	6.0 - 9.5	6.0 - 9.5		6.0 - 9.5	-	-	-	-	8.00	8.39	8.32	8.33	7.99	8.14	8.31	
Nitrate	mg/L					10.6	-	-	8.36	2.04	0.79	7.46	7.73	3.75	6.1	2.8	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	15	30	15	-	-	-	-	11	17	10	31	8	6	17	
Metals																	
Aluminum	mg/L					0.186	-	-	0.250	0.2730	0.395	-	1.510	0.236	0.218	0.553	
Arsenic	mg/L	1	0.5	0.0340	0.0170	-	-	-	-	<0.001	0.0014	0.0014	0.0015	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
Cadmium	mg/L					0.00002	0.00002	<0.000017	0.00002	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	0.00002	
Copper	mg/L	0.6	0.3	0.028	0.014	-	-	-	-	0.0035	0.0032	0.0026	0.0034	0.0034	0.0042	0.0059	
Iron	mg/L					0.28	-	-	0.24	0.31	0.36	-	1.29	0.19	0.24	0.58	
Lead	mg/L	0.4	0.2	0.030	0.015	-	-	-	-	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
Mercury	mg/L					<0.00001	-	-	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	-	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	
Molybdenum	mg/L					0.0147	-	-	0.013	0.0055	0.0038	-	0.0072	0.0037	0.0055	0.004	
Nickel	mg/L	1	0.5	0.094	0.047	-	-	-	-	0.0023	0.0020	<0.0020	0.0021	<0.0020	0.0024	0.0028	
Radium ²²⁶	Bq/L	1.1	0.37			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
Zinc	mg/L	1	0.5	0.348	0.174	-	-	-	-	0.081	0.004	<0.0030	0.006	0.017	0.017	0.02	
Acute Toxicity in 100% Effluent																	
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	% mortality		50%		50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Rainbow Trout	% mortality		50%		50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	

MMER - Metal Mining Effluent Regulations.
 ECA - Environmental Compliance Approval.

Table 1.1: Effluent Characterization, Mean Annual Effluent Flow, and Effluent Acute Toxicity for the RRP for Phase 1

e) FDP7 (South Pond)

Variables	Units	Regulatory Limits				Phase 1											
		MMER		ECA		2015				2016				2017			
		Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	-	-	-	-	9-Jun	18-Jul	11-Oct	11-Dec	28-Jan	30-Jan	2-Apr	-
Mean Annual Flow Rate	m ³ /day					-	-	-	-	231				65			
Non-metals																	
Alkalinity	mg/L					-	-	-	-	166	132	152	216	275	269	162	
Ammonia	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.002	<0.002	0.202	<0.020	0.226	0.074	0.092	
Cyanide	mg/L	2	1			-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.002	<0.002	0.002	<0.002	<0.002	
Hardness	mg/L					-	-	-	-	213	180	216	272	302	312	203	
Lab pH	pH unit	6.0 - 9.5	6.0 - 9.5		6.0 - 9.5	-	-	-	-	8.48	8.24	8.36	7.97	7.86	7.88	7.89	
Nitrate	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.82	2.28	2.47	1.51	3.08	3.66	3.82	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	15	30	15	-	-	-	-	4	16	7	4	39	2	19	
Metals																	
Aluminum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.404	0.491	0.105	0.120	0.594	0.032	0.35	
Arsenic	mg/L	1	0.5	0.0340	0.0170	-	-	-	-	0.0012	0.0014	0.0011	0.0010	0.0011	<0.0010	<0.0010	
Cadmium	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	0.00003	0.00002	0.00002	
Copper	mg/L	0.6	0.3	0.028	0.014	-	-	-	-	0.0037	0.0046	0.0041	0.0058	0.0081	0.0067	0.0045	
Iron	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.28	0.52	0.10	0.14	1.06	0.06	0.40	
Lead	mg/L	0.4	0.2	0.030	0.015	-	-	-	-	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
Mercury	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	
Molybdenum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.0074	0.0057	0.0073	0.0052	0.0041	0.0048	0.0045	
Nickel	mg/L	1	0.5	0.094	0.047	-	-	-	-	<0.0020	0.0022	<0.0020	0.0026	0.0038	0.0029	0.0024	
Radium ²²⁶	Bq/L	1.1	0.37			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.010	<0.010	<0.01	
Zinc	mg/L	1	0.5	0.348	0.174	-	-	-	-	0.009	0.006	0.008	0.023	0.109	0.036	0.024	
Acute Toxicity in 100% Effluent																	
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	% mortality	50%		50%		-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	
Rainbow Trout	% mortality	50%		50%		-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	0	

MMER - Metal Mining Effluent Regulations.
 ECA - Environmental Compliance Approval.

Table 1.1: Effluent Characterization, Mean Annual Effluent Flow, and Effluent Acute Toxicity for the RRP for Phase 1

f) FDP8 (North Pond)

Variables	Units	Regulatory Limits				Phase 1												
		MMER		ECA		2015				2016				2017				
		Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12-Jun	-	-
Mean Annual Flow Rate	m ³ /day					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	-	-	-
Non-Metals																		
Alkalinity	mg/L					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	141	-	-	-
Ammonia	mg/L					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.15	-	-	-
Cyanide	mg/L	2	1			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.002	-	-	-
Hardness	mg/L					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	184	-	-	-
Lab pH	pH unit	6.0 - 9.5	6.0 - 9.5		6.0 - 9.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.2	-	-	-
Nitrate	mg/L					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.48	-	-	-
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	15	30	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Metals																		
Aluminum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.136	-	-	-
Arsenic	mg/L	1	0.5	0.0340	0.0170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0011	-	-	-
Cadmium	mg/L					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.000017	-	-	-
Copper	mg/L	0.6	0.3	0.028	0.014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.001	-	-	-
Iron	mg/L					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.12	-	-	-
Lead	mg/L	0.4	0.2	0.030	0.015	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.001	-	-	-
Mercury	mg/L					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.00001	-	-	-
Molybdenum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0064	-	-	-
Nickel	mg/L	1	0.5	0.094	0.047	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.002	-	-	-
Radium ²²⁶	Bq/L	1.1	0.37			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.01	-	-	-
Zinc	mg/L	1	0.5	0.348	0.174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.006	-	-	-
Acute Toxicity in 100% Effluent																		
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	% mortality		50%		50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rainbow Trout	% mortality		50%		50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

MMER - Metal Mining Effluent Regulations.
 ECA - Environmental Compliance Approval.

Table 1.1: Effluent Characterization, Mean Annual Effluent Flow, and Effluent Acute Toxicity for the RRP for Phase 1

g) FDP9 (Overburden and West Mine Rock Stockpile Temp Ponds, Sump 1, 2)

Variables	Units	Regulatory Limits				Phase 1											
		MMER		ECA		2015				2016				2017			
		Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	-	-	-	-	4-Sep	4-Oct	11-Nov	21-Nov	27-Jan	7-Apr	23-Aug	12-Oct
Mean Annual Flow Rate	m ³ /day					-	-	-	-	127				393			
Non-metals																	
Alkalinity	mg/L					-	-	-	-	257	185	346	367	407	284	174	173
Ammonia	mg/L					-	-	-	-	2.89	1.15	4.5	4.4	5.9	3.3	0.058	0.178
Cyanide	mg/L	2	1			-	-	-	-	<0.002	<0.002	0.009	0.008	0.052	0.029	<0.002	<0.002
Hardness	mg/L					-	-	-	-	275	222	395	389	420	300	190	217
Lab pH	pH unit	6.0 - 9.5	6.0 - 9.5		6.0 - 9.5	-	-	-	-	7.89	8.26	7.24	7.20	7.68	7.48	8.62	8.08
Nitrate	mg/L					-	-	-	-	5.24	6.02	6.79	6.75	6.05	3.86	0.01	0.22
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	15	30	15	-	-	-	-	2	19	8	8	7	3	5	4
Metals																	
Aluminum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.082	0.520	0.072	0.084	0.068	0.200	0.177	0.27
Arsenic	mg/L	1	0.5	0.0340	0.0170	-	-	-	-	0.0018	0.0017	0.0020	0.0020	0.0052	0.0026	0.0052	0.0021
Cadmium	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	<0.000017	0.000025	0.000025	<0.000017	<0.000017
Copper	mg/L	0.6	0.3	0.028	0.014	-	-	-	-	0.0012	0.0022	0.0011	<0.001	<0.001	0.0025	0.002	0.0023
Iron	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.08	0.59	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.19	0.17	0.26
Lead	mg/L	0.4	0.2	0.030	0.015	-	-	-	-	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Mercury	mg/L					-	-	-	-	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001
Molybdenum	mg/L					-	-	-	-	0.0137	0.0135	0.0148	0.0182	0.0192	0.0103	0.0083	0.0049
Nickel	mg/L	1	0.5	0.094	0.047	-	-	-	-	<0.002	<0.002	0.0034	0.0029	0.0074	0.0038	<0.002	<0.002
Radium ²²⁶	Bq/L	1.1	0.37			-	-	-	-	<0.01	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Zinc	mg/L	1	0.5	0.348	0.174	-	-	-	-	0.006	0.004	0.006	0.005	0.013	0.015	<0.003	0.003
Acute Toxicity in 100% Effluent																	
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	% mortality	50%		50%		-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	0
Rainbow Trout	% mortality	50%		50%		-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	0

MMER - Metal Mining Effluent Regulations.

ECA - Environmental Compliance Approval.










Figure 1.3: Rainy River Final Discharge Points Average Monthly Effluent Discharge During the Phase 1 EEM Study Period, 2015 to 2017

decreased discharge volumes in 2017 (Table 1.2). Additionally, RRP plans on reducing the number of FDPs in the future, however this will be determined at a later date.

Table 1.2: Annual MMER Effluent Discharge Totals for the New Gold RRP, 2015 to 2017

Final Discharge Point	Year		
	2015	2016	2017
FDP2	6,158	47,854	146,513
FDP3	0	80,445	252,911
FDP5	0	6,540	72,393
FDP6	29,752	30,601	11,138
FDP7	0	54,148	32,686
FDP8	0	0	3,600
FDP9	0	0	191,830

RRP Mine effluent was usually non-lethal (< 50% mortality in 100% effluent) to *Daphnia magna* and rainbow trout during the Phase 1 EEM acute toxicity bioassay tests, however there were two failed tests due to rainbow trout mortalities (Table 1.3). New Gold retained Minnow to perform a preliminary review of the effluent chemistry data associated with toxicity testing at RRP following these rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) mortality events (ranging from 10 to 90% mortality). Note that 10% mortality is a level acceptable in test controls (Environment Canada 2007a). The review of effluent chemistry results identified ammonia as the most likely toxicant.

For the review, the effluent chemistry data associated with mortality events were first screened against guidelines to identify any possible toxicants. Effluent concentrations consistently achieved water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life and therefore this initial screening did not identify any toxicants. However, in Minnow’s experience, ammonia is often a contributor to acute toxicity in mine effluents (due to blasting using ammonium nitrate). Furthermore, fish mortalities in laboratory tests of mine effluent are often due to changes in ammonia toxicity over the duration of the test.

The toxicity of ammonia in aqueous solutions is dependent on the equilibrium between the un-ionized (NH₃) and ionized (NH₄⁺) species. The speciation of ammonia in water is largely determined by pH and temperature, with higher proportions of the toxic un-ionized species being associated with higher pH and higher temperature (CCME 2010). Within a bioassay test, the experimental pH and temperature of the effluent solution can be different from *in situ* conditions, and may change over the duration of the 96 hour test. The toxicity of ammonia (i.e., proportion of un-ionized ammonia) may increase during the test due to a progressive increase in pH as a



Table 1.3: Acute Toxicity Results as Percent Mortality, RRP Phase 1 EEM

Location	Date	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Rainbow Trout
FDP2	15-Jul-16	0	0
	16-Oct-16	0	0
	8-Nov-16	0	0
	22-Nov-16	0	0
	7-Dec-16	0	0
	20-Dec-16	0	0
	22-Mar-17	0	0
	28-Mar-17	0	40
	4-Apr-17	0	10
	11-Apr-17	0	0
	17-Apr-17	0	0
	25-Apr-17	0	0
	5-May-17	0	0
	28-May-17	0	0
	17-Oct-17	0	0
29-Oct-17	0	0	
FDP3	30-May-16	0	0
	24-Aug-16	0	0
	22-Sep-16	0	0
	6-Nov-16	0	0
	16-Nov-16	0	0
	11-Dec-16	0	0
	29-Dec-16	0	60
	14-Jan-17	0	0
	19-Jan-17	0	0
	23-Jan-17	0	10
	30-Jan-17	0	0
	6-Feb-17	0	0
	15-Feb-17	0	0
	23-Feb-17	0	0
	24-Feb-17	0	10
	4-Mar-17	0	0
	4-Mar-17	0	0
	12-Mar-17	0	0
	16-Mar-17	0	0
	18-Mar-17	0	0
	22-Mar-17	0	0
	25-Mar-17	0	0
	30-Mar-17	7	0
	3-Apr-17	0	10
	7-Apr-17	0	0
	10-Apr-17	0	0
	16-Apr-17	0	10
	20-Apr-17	0	0
	30-Apr-17	0	0
	6-May-17	0	0
	10-May-17	0	0
	30-May-17	0	0
19-Jun-17	0	0	
13-Oct-17	0	0	



 Denotes a failed acute toxicity result (> 50%)

Table 1.3: Acute Toxicity Results as Percent Mortality, RRP Phase 1 EEM

Location	Date	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Rainbow Trout
FDP5	23-Nov-16	0	0
	5-May-17	0	0
	4-Jun-17	0	0
	21-Jun-17	0	0
	6-Jul-17	0	0
	16-Jul-17	0	0
	31-Jul-17	0	10
	17-Aug-17	0	0
FDP6	17-Sep-17	0	0
	24-Mar-16	0	10
	4-Jun-16	0	0
	23-Nov-16	0	0
FDP7	6-Apr-17	0	0
	9-Jun-16	0	0
	12-Oct-16	0	0
	11-Dec-16	0	0
	28-Jan-17	0	0
FDP8	2-Apr-17	0	0
	27-Nov-16	0	0
FDP9	4-Sep-16	0	0
	3-Oct-16	0	0
	17-Oct-16	0	0
	21-Oct-16	0	0
	11-Nov-16	0	0
	21-Nov-16	0	0
	27-Jan-17	0	0
	28-Jan-17	0	0
	5-Feb-17	0	0
	10-Feb-17	0	0
	17-Feb-17	0	0
	20-Feb-17	0	90
	23-Feb-17	0	0
	24-Feb-17	3	20
	25-Feb-17	0	0
	4-Mar-17	0	0
	5-Mar-17	0	0
	7-Mar-17	0	0
	12-Mar-17	0	0
	24-Mar-17	53	0
	3-Apr-17	0	0
	7-Apr-17	0	0
	22-Apr-17	0	0
27-Apr-17	0	0	
18-Jun-17	0	0	
23-Aug-17	0	0	
12-Oct-17	0	0	

 Denotes a failed acute toxicity result (> 50%)

result of aeration and the associated decline in dissolved CO₂ (Environment Canada 2007a). As expected, the pH of RRP bioassay test solutions increased over the test duration.

Un-ionized ammonia concentrations in bioassay tests were calculated using total ammonia concentrations as well as the pH and temperature for three condition scenarios: (1) *in situ* field conditions, (2) the initial bioassay conditions at the start of the test, and (3) the final bioassay conditions at the end of the test. Calculation of un-ionized ammonia for these three scenarios indicated that, over the duration of the bioassay test, pH levels in RRP bioassay tests increase to levels where concentrations of un-ionized ammonia exceed 96 hour acute mortality LC₅₀ levels for juvenile rainbow trout (i.e., 0.4 - 0.673 mg/L NH₃; Figure 1.4; CCME 2010, USEPA 1984), but this would not occur *in situ* with the conditions naturally found in the Pinewood River.




Figure 1.4: Calculated Un-ionized Ammonia Concentrations in Bioassay Test Solutions and Corresponding Mortality Events

This pH drift under test conditions can be controlled in the laboratory. The Environment Canada guidance document indicates that, if effluent samples contain an appreciable quantity of ammonia or other constituent whose toxicity is highly pH-dependent, and concern exists about pH drift



during testing and its contribution to sample toxicity, a second (concurrent) test may be conducted. This second test could be undertaken using various means (e.g., oxygenating rather than aerating solutions, addition of CO₂ to test solutions or enclosed atmospheres above the solutions, testing solutions in sealed containers with oxygen atmospheres) to reduce or prevent pH drift during the test (Environment Canada 2007a).

Therefore, it was recommended that RRP speak to their bioassay laboratory about running a second (concurrent) pH-controlled test for future acute toxicity tests.

Overall the effluent from RRP is of high quality and should have minimal impact on the receiving environment, especially at calculated concentrations found within the Pinewood River (~14% total volume; Minnow 2016).

1.3 Receiving Environment Characteristics

The Pinewood River is the secondary receiver of the RRP's effluent discharge from Process Plant Site, South Pond, Sump 3, and Sump 4, as well as from PPOP via Clark Creek. Currently, all discharge is controlled by pumping. Fish and benthic invertebrate sampling was conducted downstream of the primary FDP, at water quality monitoring station SW22A and downstream, with specific locations based on accessibility and habitat conditions. The effluent-exposed biological monitoring area will be referred to as PinR-EXP (Figure 1.2). SW22A is approximately 5 km downstream of the confluence of West Creek (which receives effluent from the current primary FDP) and the Pinewood River (2.9 km downstream in a direct line). SW22A is also approximately 150 m downstream of Loslo Creek, which will receive effluent discharge from the TMA once the mine is fully operational (Figure 1.2).

The Pinewood River originates at the outlet of Pinewood Lake (24.2 km upstream of SW22A, or approximately 13.5 km east overland) and flows southwest into the Rainy River, which then drains into the southern end of Lake of the Woods. The Rainy River forms part of the international border between Ontario, Canada and Minnesota, United States. Total length of the main stem of the Pinewood River is 75 km. At the confluence of the Pinewood River and the Rainy River, the Pinewood River drains a watershed of approximately 576 km². Flow in the Pinewood River is highly variable, with highest flows occurring during freshet and low flows during winter (Government of Canada 2016). The Pinewood River has a mean annual flow of 4.60 m³/s at the confluence with Rainy River. The mean annual flows at the WSC station 05PC023 and the water quality monitoring station SW22A are and 1.85 m³/s and 0.83 m³/s, respectively (Government of Canada 2016, SDI 2015).

The Pinewood River is a relatively shallow, meandering river, with a low gradient (average < 0.1%; KCB 2011). The substrate is predominantly fines, clay, silt, and detritus, with



some sand and, in some areas, sparse gravel, and boulder (AMEC 2014). Average wetted width varies along the river, from 1.5 m to as much as approximately 40 m at the widest part near the confluence with the Rainy River (AMEC 2012, KCB 2011). Near the RRP site, wetted width is generally around 10 m, while the depths were 0.3 to 1 m in July (AMEC 2012; KCB 2011). Stream cover is mostly provided by overgrowth, and to some extent large woody debris.

The effluent-exposed area of the Pinewood River is generally comprised of flat morphology with some pools (AMEC 2014) and contains two main habitat types. The first habitat type has narrower floodplain widths, with forested riparian vegetation extending close to the channel edge (AMEC 2014). Aquatic vegetation is dominated by red-head pondweed (*Potamogeton richardsonii*) and hornwort coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*; AMEC 2014). The second main habitat type has relatively open channels, with maximum floodplain widths of 50 m and a riparian zone of sedge species (Cyperaceae), speckled alder (*Alnus incana*), and willow species (*Salix* sp.; AMEC 2014). Aquatic vegetation is dominated by yellow cowlily (*Nuphar lutea*), broadleaf arrowhead (*Sagittaria latifolia*), tape grass (*Vallisneria spiralis*), and hornwort coontail. Mixed forest species associated with the upper Pinewood River (effluent-exposed area) included: black spruce (*Picea mariana*), larch (*Larix* sp.), balsam poplar (*Populus balsamiferous*), white elm (*Ulmus americana*), and white birch (*Betula papyrifera*; AMEC 2014). Beaver dams are present in many locations in the Pinewood River and, although not considered permanent barriers to fish movement, may act as obstacles, particularly to larger-bodied fish (AMEC 2014). In 2010, beaver dams were observed at approximately 1 km, 1.8 km, and 3.7 km downstream of SW22A (i.e., potentially downstream of or within the PinR-EXP area; KCB 2011). Manmade water crossings along the Pinewood River have been observed to allow for sufficient river flow and thus did not present as a barrier to fish movement (AMEC 2014).

In the lower Pinewood River, widths and depths increase as the river approaches the Rainy River, reaching maximum bankfull depths of 4.5 m (AMEC 2014). Here the substrate, although still predominantly clay and fines, has a larger proportion of coble, gravel, and boulder (AMEC 2014). The riparian zone is comprised of mixed forest including black spruce, larch, balsam poplar, white birch, and white elm (AMEC 2014).

Gravel and cobble shoals provide fish spawning and nursery habitat for numerous species, including walleye. This type of spawning habitat has only been identified in the lower Pinewood River (downstream of the confluence with McCallum Creek; AMEC 2014). Spawning habitat for northern pike and yellow perch is shallow vegetation, particularly the heavily vegetated floodplains of streams or lakes (Holm et al. 2010). This type of habitat is abundant in the upper Pinewood River (upstream of McCallum Creek) and its upper tributaries (AMEC 2014), in part as a result of flooding by beaver ponds.



1.4 Summary of the Approved Phase 1 Design

The Study Design for the Phase 1 EEM was submitted to Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) in September 2016 (Minnow 2016).

1.5 Report Organization

Methods of sample collection, sample analysis, and data analysis during the Phase 1 EEM study for RRP are presented in Section 2. Section 3 presents a summary of the effluent sub-lethal toxicity test data collected to date. Supporting field measures and water quality data are presented in Section 4. Sediment quality data are presented in Section 5 and the benthic invertebrate community survey results are presented in Section 6. Section 7 presents the findings of the fish survey and the conclusions of the RRP Phase 1 EEM and recommendations for the next Phase are provided in Section 8. All the references cited throughout this document are listed in Section 9.



2 METHODS

2.1 Overview


The RRP Phase 1 EEM consisted of effluent sublethal toxicity testing, water quality monitoring, sediment quality monitoring, benthic invertebrate community monitoring and fish population monitoring. RRP conducts semi-annual sublethal toxicity testing of its primary final effluent and monitors water quality in effluent-exposed and reference areas a minimum of four times per year in accordance with EEM requirements (Environment Canada 2012) and the Environmental Compliance Approval (No. 5781-9VJQ2J) monitoring requirements for the Pinewood River. Fish community monitoring was conducted from April 21st to 25th, 2017 and the benthic invertebrate community monitoring was completed from September 13th to 17th, 2017. This monitoring, supported by a number of field measures and observations, was undertaken in effluent-exposed Pinewood River and the upstream Pinewood River and Sturgeon Creek reference areas (Figure 2.1).

2.2 Effluent Sublethal Toxicity

Sublethal toxicity tests were conducted on effluent from the RRP primary FDPs. Samples were collected into labelled HDPE (High Density Polyethylene) containers. Following collections, samples were put on ice inside coolers and shipped to AquaTox Testing and Consulting Inc. in Guelph, Ontario. Sample arrival time, temperature, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, pH and hardness were recorded upon arrival at the laboratory, and any unusual characteristics were also noted.

Sublethal toxicity tests were conducted on fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*; 7-d survival and growth test), a cladoceran invertebrate (*Ceriodaphnia dubia*; 7-d survival and reproduction test), an algae (*Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*; formerly referred to as *Selenastrum capricornutum*; 3-d inhibition test) and duckweed (*Lemna minor*; 7-d growth inhibition test) using standard test methods (i.e., Environment Canada 2011, 2007b,c,d). For fathead minnow and *C. dubia* chronic toxicity tests, LC₅₀ (i.e., lethal concentration to 50% of test organisms) was calculated from the mortality data by the laboratory (e.g., Stephan 1977). Chronic toxicity test IC₂₅ (inhibitory concentration that reduced larval fathead minnow growth by 25%, reduced the number of *C. dubia* neonates produced by 25%, inhibited *P. subcapitata* and *L. minor* growth and/or frond production by 25%) values were calculated from the growth or reproduction data. Reference toxicant testing was employed to ensure that all test systems met protocol criteria during effluent testing. All IC₂₅ data were derived by the toxicity laboratory using linear interpolation aided by Comprehensive Environmental Toxicity Information System (CETIS) software (Tidepool Scientific Software, McKinleyville, CA). Sublethal toxicity data were





LEGEND

Proposed Benthic Invertebrate and Fishing Sampling Area

- Effluent-exposed
- Reference
- Final Discharge Point
- Effluent-exposed Water Monitoring Area
- Reference Water Monitoring Area
- Mine Infrastructure

0 1 2 4
km

Map Projection: UTM Zone 15 NAD 1983
Data Source: Reproduced under licence from Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, Department of Natural Resources Canada. All rights reserved.

Rainy River Project Phase 1 EEM Biological Sampling Areas, Final Discharge Points (FDPs), and Water Quality Monitoring Areas

Date: March 2018
Project 177202.0012

Figure 2.1

subsequently reported to Environment Canada as part of RRP annual reporting and have been summarized in this report.

2.3 Receiving Water Quality

In accordance with the approved Study Design, routine receiving environment water sampling was conducted by the mine at the Pinewood River effluent-exposed area (SW22A) and a Pinewood River reference area upstream of the RRP (SW20; Figure 2.1). Water sampling for the biological survey was undertaken at the Pinewood River effluent-exposed area (PinR-EXP), and the Sturgeon Creek reference area (StuC-REF) during both the spring and fall surveys. This included an assessment of chemical parameters as well as supporting measures.

2.3.1 Sample Collection and Laboratory Analysis

Routine receiving water samples were collected at least four times per year at areas designated as reference (SW20) and effluent-exposed area (SW22A) to meet the EEM monitoring requirements (Figure 1.2). Routine water quality samples were collected at arm's length below the water surface to avoid floating material and facing upstream to avoid any potential influence of the individual collecting the sample. Samples were collected into pre-labelled and pre-preserved (if required) bottles provided by ALS Thunder Bay laboratory. Immediately after sampling, the samples were placed into coolers on ice for transport to the mine environmental laboratory for immediate shipment to ALS Thunder Bay. In the event that the samples were not shipped immediately, they were placed in a refrigerator at the mine environmental laboratory for shipment the next day. Analytes included those required under EEM: hardness, alkalinity, aluminum, arsenic, cadmium, copper, iron, lead, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, zinc, conductivity, total suspended solids, ammonia, nitrate, pH, cyanide, and radium-226 (Environment Canada 2012).

In accordance with the approved Study Design, water quality samples were collected at each of the study areas (Pinewood River effluent-exposed area and Sturgeon Creek reference) during the biological sampling in April and September 2017 (Figure 2.1). These water quality samples were collected as described above and were shipped the day after collection to ALS Environmental in Thunder Bay, Ontario for analysis of the EEM analytes listed above. Field duplicates were sampled to permit assessment of field precision (see Appendix B).

2.3.2 Supporting Measures

A number of environmental variables were monitored to support the EEM. The location of each sample and each fishing effort was recorded using a Geographic Position System (GPS) with coordinates recorded in latitudes and longitudes (degrees, minutes and seconds to one-tenth of a second using the North American Datum of 1983). Field-based measurements were collected



at all ten benthic invertebrate community stations and at the fish sampling areas. These included pH, dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, and temperature that were measured using a YSI 556 MPS (Multiprobe System) equipped with a multi-parameter Sonde. In addition, station depth, sediment texture, sediment odour and presence of plants or algae were recorded for each station. Sediment samples were also collected for chemical analyses (see Section 2.4). All observations associated with the sampling station or the samples were recorded on field sheets.

2.3.3 Data Analysis

All water quality data were evaluated by qualitative comparison of concentrations among areas (i.e., comparison of concentrations at the effluent-exposed area to reference area) and by comparison to water quality objectives for the protection of aquatic life. Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO; OMOEE 1994) and Canadian Water Quality Guidelines (CWQG; CCME 2017) were both considered in the evaluation of water quality data for the RRP. Supporting measures were also statistically compared using Studentized T-test comparisons based on assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance.

2.4 Sediment Quality

In accordance with the approved Study Design, sediment sampling was undertaken at all benthic invertebrate community sampling stations in both the effluent-exposed area (PinR-EXP) and reference (StuC-REF) areas (Figure 2.1). This included an assessment of physical and chemical parameters as well as supporting measures.

2.4.1 Sample Collection

Sediment samples were collected for analyses using a stainless steel Petite Ponar sampler (0.023 m² sampling area). A composite sample was created at each station by collecting the top five centimetres of surficial sediment from each of three acceptable Petite Ponar grabs (i.e., full to each edge of sampler) into a plastic tub. The composite sample was homogenized before being spooned (using a stainless steel spoon) directly into polyethylene bags. The top 5 cm was selected because it is the fraction in which most benthic fauna reside. Details about samples (e.g., sample penetration, depth, substrate characteristics) were recorded on field sheets. One duplicate sample was also assessed for quality assurance (see Appendix B).

Immediately after collection, samples were placed in a cooler on ice, and later placed in a refrigerator at the mine environmental laboratory at approximately 4 °C until submission for analysis. Sediment samples were submitted to ALS Environmental, Thunder Bay, Ontario for



analysis of total organic carbon (TOC), particle size¹, total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), total phosphorus, and metals.

Additional supporting sediment measurements and observations collected at all benthic invertebrate monitoring stations included sediment texture and colour, and presence of algae or plants on or in the sediment.

2.4.2 Data Evaluation

The sediment quality data for the Pinewood River effluent-exposed area was evaluated relative to: 1) concentrations measured at the reference area, and 2) applicable Provincial Sediment Quality Guidelines (PSQG). PSQG are numerical criteria that are protective of sediment-dwelling organisms based on long-term exposure (OMOE 1993). The PSQG include lowest effect level (LEL) and severe effect level (SEL) values. LEL is defined as a concentration that can be tolerated by the majority of benthic organisms (i.e., at least 90-95% of species) and reflects sediments that can be considered clean to moderately polluted (OMOE 1993). The SEL is the concentration at which pronounced disturbance of the benthic community (i.e., 90-95% of benthic species) can be expected (OMOE 1993) and is typically about five times higher than the LEL. However, natural background concentrations, particularly in mineralized areas of the Canadian Shield, can be higher than LELs for many substances. Therefore, sediment concentrations at the EEM sampling stations were compared to both the PSQG LEL and SEL.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was also used to assist with the interpretation of general trends and patterns of variability in the sediment quality data among study areas. Data were screened to ensure that any variables with no variation (i.e., all less than detect) were removed from the data matrix. Principal component axes were then generated from the correlation matrix of the original sediment quality variables. PCA scores for each station were subsequently plotted and used as summary variables to test for differences among study areas using ANOVA with post-hoc comparisons as well as the correlation analysis.

2.5 Benthic Invertebrate Community Survey

In accordance with the approved study design, benthic invertebrate sampling was undertaken in the Pinewood River effluent-exposed area and Sturgeon Creek reference area (Figure 2.1). Target sampling station characteristics included a depth of approximately 1 m, bottom water velocity of less than 0.02 m/s and fine sediment with little to no gravel.

¹ Particle size determination was based on the Wentworth scale. Prior to particle size analysis, organic content was burned off (loss on ignition) to eliminate any chance of misclassification of small organic debris.



2.5.1 Sample Collection

Benthic invertebrate samples were collected using a steel petite-Ponar sampler (15.24 cm x 15.24 cm). Five stations were sampled in each area, at a minimum of three bankfull widths apart, to provide adequate statistical power to detect differences of +/- two standard deviation at an α and β of 0.10 which is consistent with EEM guidance (Environment Canada 2012). One sample was collected at each station and was composed of a three-grab composite (0.0697 m² of bottom area in total), to ensure each sample was representative of the station. Upon retrieval, all samples were closely examined to ensure that only high quality, comparable samples were retained (based on factors such as particle size, organic matter, presence, or absence of plants or algae). Each grab was placed into a tub to evaluate whether the grab was complete (i.e., that the grab captured the surface material and was full to each edge) and to evaluate the depth to which the grab penetrated. Unacceptable grabs were discarded. If the grab was acceptable, the Ponar was rinsed into the tub to ensure complete removal of all material. The sample was then placed into a 500 μ m mesh sieve bag and sieved free of material less than 500 μ m in diameter. Sampling was repeated until three acceptable grabs were collected. Details about each acceptable grab were recorded on field sheets. The retained sample from the three composited grabs was carefully transferred to one or more labelled 2-L wide mouth plastic jars using a stainless steel spoon and wash bottle while working over a plastic tub to avoid any potential loss of organisms. Any organisms still adhering to the sieve bag were removed with tweezers and added to the sample. All samples were labelled internally (using wooden sticks) and externally with the station number, area identifier, Minnow project number, date and field personnel in order to ensure correct identification at the laboratory. Samples were preserved with buffered formalin solution to achieve a nominal concentration of 10%. Supporting measures (GPS coordinates, station depth, water quality, and sediment quality) were collected at each benthic station as previously described.

2.5.2 Sample Processing

All benthic invertebrate samples were shipped to Zaranko Environmental Assessment Services (ZEAS), Nobleton, Ontario. Upon arrival at the laboratory, each benthic invertebrate sample was inspected to verify adequate preservation and a biological stain was added to improve sorting efficiency. Prior to sorting, benthic samples were washed free of formalin in a 500 μ m sieve under well-ventilated conditions. Samples were then examined by a technician under a stereomicroscope at a magnification of at least ten times. All benthic organisms were removed from the sample debris and placed into vials containing 70% ethanol. A senior taxonomist then enumerated and identified the benthic organisms to the lowest practical level (typically genus or species) utilizing the most up-to-date taxonomic keys. Following identification, representative



specimens of each species were preserved in 75% ethanol (with 3% glycerol) in separately labelled vials to form a voucher collection.

Quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) for benthic laboratory operations was conducted as recommended by Environment Canada (Environment Canada 2013, Glozier et al. 2002; Appendix B). Comparisons of fractions sorted were assessed for 10% of samples to verify that sub-sampling precision was within 20%. In addition, 10% of samples were re-sorted to verify that less than 10% of total organisms were missed (Environment Canada 2012). See Appendix B for full QA/QC analysis.

2.5.3 Data Analysis

Benthic invertebrate communities were evaluated at the Family Level [FL]² using summary metrics of mean taxon richness, mean invertebrate abundance (or “density”; average number of organisms per m²), Simpson’s Evenness Index (E) and the Bray-Curtis Index of Dissimilarity as required under the MMER (Environment Canada 2012). Taxon richness included all separate 19 taxa identified to the lowest practical level, excluding any life stages that could not be conclusively identified as separate taxa. In some instances, for the purposes of data analysis, invertebrate taxa were combined at a generic taxonomic level in order to incorporate abundance associated with indeterminate taxa. This was only done when there were few species in the genus and indeterminates made up a significant proportion of generic abundance.

Simpson’s indices of diversity (“D”) and evenness (“E”), and the Bray-Curtis (B-C) index were computed from custom MS Excel macros and spreadsheets following the formulae presented by Environment Canada (2012). The B-C metric takes into account the abundance of each taxon at each station compared to the median abundance computed from the reference station data to compute an index of the relative “distance” of each station from a hypothetical reference median station. Larger B-C index values indicate greater dissimilarity from reference.

The relative proportions of the most abundant taxa were also computed (calculated as the abundance of each respective dominant/indicator taxon relative of the total number of organisms in the sample). Dominant/indicator taxon groups were defined as those groups representing greater than 25% of total organism abundance in at least one replicate sample or any groups considered to be important indicators of environmental stress. In the Phase 1 EEM, chironomids, bivalves, EPT taxa (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera taxa), and oligochaetes were examined.

² Summary metrics were also calculated based on organisms sorted to lowest practical level [LPL] results can be found in Appendix D.



Community structure was also assessed using a multivariate technique known as correspondence analysis (CA). CA is used to calculate synthetic axes, which can be thought of as new variables summarizing variation in the relative abundance of benthic taxa. When depicted in two-dimensional plots, taxa that tend to co-occur will have similar CA axis scores and will plot together, while those that rarely co-occur plot farther apart. Similarly, stations sharing many taxa plot closest to one another, while those with little in common plot farther apart. The greatest variation among either taxa or stations is explained by the first axis, with other axes accounting for progressively less variation. Therefore, this type of multivariate analysis describes not only which stations have distinct benthic invertebrate communities but also how these communities differ among stations (i.e., which particular taxa differ). Prior to CA, the data were screened for rare taxa, and taxa occurring at 10% or fewer of the stations were removed as these can distort results. After screening and data reduction, abundances were $\log(x+1)$ transformed. Scores for both stations and for taxa were calculated using the ADE-4 package (Thioulouse et al. 1997).

All required and supplementary endpoints were summarized by separately reporting mean, median, minimum, maximum, standard deviation, standard error, and sample size for each sampling area (Environment Canada 2012). These endpoints were also plotted to explore spatial patterns and differences between effluent-exposed and reference areas to assist in data interpretation. Statistical comparisons between effluent-exposed and reference areas were conducted for each endpoint using Studentized T-tests. Data were assessed for normality and transformed as required to stabilize variances and satisfy assumptions of the T-Tests. Non-parametric techniques were used in instances in which transformation was unsuccessful in normalizing data or homogenizing variances. Statistical tests and plots were generated using SPSS Version 12 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Interpretation of benthic community metrics was enhanced by inspection of raw data and taxonomic proportions to detect patterns of ecologically relevant differences between effluent-exposed and reference areas. Benthic metrics calculated as explained above were then plotted to explore spatial patterns in the benthic community data with respect to the location of stations. Ecological and habitat requirements of taxa were assessed using standard references (Clarke 1981, Edmunds et al. 1976, Merritt and Cummins 1984, Merritt et al. 2008, Weiderholm 1983, Wiggins 1996).

The Technical Guidance Document (Environment Canada 2012) states that the benthic invertebrate community survey should minimally have sufficient power to detect a difference (effect size) of \pm two standard deviations (SDs). Therefore, the magnitude of the difference between area means in each planned comparison was calculated for each benthic metric where a significant difference was detected. The magnitude of the difference was expressed as the number of reference mean SDs as follows:



magnitude of difference = (exposure mean – reference mean) / SD of the reference mean

If a significant difference between areas was not detected for a benthic metric, then the minimum effect size that could have been detected (had a large enough effect existed) was calculated using the mean square error generated from the ANOVA as an estimate of variability, with alpha and beta equal to 0.10. The minimum detectable effect size was based on the minimum number of reference area SDs, according to the following equation:

$$\delta = [(t_{\alpha} + t_{\beta})(\sqrt{\text{MSE}})(\sqrt{2/n})]/\text{SD}_{\text{ref}}$$

where, δ = minimum detectable effect size,

MSE = mean square error,

n = sample size per area (in this case = 5), and

SD_{ref} = standard deviation of the reference area mean.

Possible relationships among the significant benthic invertebrate community indices and the supporting physical and chemical data observed were then examined using correlation analysis. A Bonferroni-type correction was applied to minimize the risk of declaring false positive correlations since at least 5% of derived correlations would be expected to occur by chance alone. Any significant correlations found at the adjusted p-value and/or at a p-level <0.05 were further investigated using scatter plots to determine if a continuous distribution of data was realized (possible causal relationships) or if these relationships were “leveraged” by outlying points (or groups of points).

2.6 Fish Survey

In accordance with the approved study design, a traditional lethal EEM fish population survey was undertaken in the effluent-exposed area of Pinewood River (PinR-EXP) and one reference area: Sturgeon Creek (StuC-REF; Figure 2.1). Following initial fish catches and consultation with Environment Canada during the field survey, it was determined that the sentinel species targeted would be brook stickleback and central mudminnow.

2.6.1 Sample Collection

Brook stickleback and central mudminnow were targeted from the effluent-exposed area on the Pinewood River and the Sturgeon Creek reference using backpack electrofishing, fine-mesh seine nets and minnow traps. All fishing was conducted under a License to Collect Fish for Scientific Purposes obtained from the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (1086615; Appendix E). Supporting information recorded for each seine haul, backpack electrofishing pass, and minnow trap set included deployment and retrieval time (minnow traps),



seine haul distance, electrofishing seconds, water depth, GPS coordinates and habitat description. Upon net retrieval, all fish were identified, counted, and recorded on the appropriate field sheets. Twenty adult male and 20 adult female brook stickleback and central mudminnow were targeted in each area for the survey. All captured fish not utilized in the fish health assessment were identified, enumerated, and released.

2.6.2 Sample Processing

Sentinel species collected were held in aerated buckets and processed in an offsite laboratory. Sampled fish were individually sacrificed in a strong clove oil solution immediately prior to dissection. Lengths were measured using electronic calipers (to the nearest 0.01 mm) and weight was measured with a Scout Pro Balance (Model PSE-123) to the nearest 0.001 g with $\pm 1\%$ precision. Both measures were recorded on data sheets. The presence of any external lesions, tumours, parasites or other abnormalities was also noted. Fish were opened using dissecting scissors and any internal abnormalities were noted. Processing involved removal of gonads and livers using dissecting tweezers and scissors, and measurement of gonad and liver weight to the nearest 0.001 g using an electronic balance surrounded by a draft shield. Whole female gonads were then preserved in 10% buffered formalin in containers labelled with the fish identification. Following processing, fish heads were frozen separately in labelled whirl-pak™ bags, for later extraction of otoliths for ageing (see Section 2.6.3 below).

Ovary samples were subsequently shipped to Zaranko Environmental Assessment Services (ZEAS) Laboratory in Nobleton, Ontario, for determination of fecundity and egg weight. All samples retained for ageing were shipped (frozen) to AAE Tech Services (Winnipeg, Manitoba), where otoliths were extracted for age determination using the crack and burn methodology.

2.6.3 Laboratory Analysis

2.6.3.1 Fecundity and Egg Weight

At ZEAS Laboratory in Nobleton, Ontario, whole ovary samples were drained into an 18- μm sieve to remove the preservative and then weighed to the nearest 0.001 g to determine the preserved gonad sample weight. Three sub-samples, each consisting of at least 100 eggs, were then removed and weighed. The weights of each subsample were recorded and the numbers of eggs in each sub-sample were counted under a microscope. The remainder of each sample was re-preserved and archived. Ten percent of egg samples were recounted to verify the precision of fecundity estimates. The number of eggs in the whole gonad was calculated as follows (for each sample):

$$\text{gonad fecundity} = \frac{\text{total weight of preserved gonad sample}}{\text{weight of preserved sample}} \times \text{number of eggs in preserved sample}$$



The final fecundity estimate for each female was calculated as an average of the fecundity estimates from the three sub-samples.

Individual egg weights for each female were calculated as follows:

$$\text{individual egg weight} = \frac{\text{weight of unpreserved gonad}}{\text{total fecundity}}$$

2.6.3.2 Aging

AAE Tech Services Inc. estimated fish age by analyses of otoliths. Preparation of the otoliths for ageing was done using a Crack and Burn methodology. Briefly, the otoliths were mounted in epoxy resin and, after the epoxy hardened, sliced into micro-sections using a low-speed isomet diamond saw. Micro-sections were mounted onto glass slides using a mounting medium and read under a compound microscope using transmitted light. For each otolith, the age and edge condition was recorded along with the confidence rating for the age determination.

2.6.4 Data Analysis

Raw fish survey data collected in the field were transcribed from field sheets into electronic spreadsheets. The data were then checked by a separate individual for entry errors as part of the routine QA/QC procedures. Methods of data analysis recommended for EEM (Environment Canada 2012) were employed in the fish survey. Tabulated catch data were used to calculate catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) for each area and fish collection method. Fish population data were summarized by separately calculating the mean, standard deviation, standard error, minimum, maximum and sample size of each measured variable by area, species, and gender.

Eight endpoints were used to evaluate the health of central mudminnow populations from the effluent-exposed (Pinewood River) and the reference area (Sturgeon Creek). Age was used as an indicator of survival. Weight-at-age, length-at-age, relative gonad weight, relative egg weight, and relative fecundity were used as indicators of energy use. Condition and relative liver weight were used as indicators of energy storage. The same endpoints (with the exception of the endpoints containing age) were used to evaluate the health of brook stickleback populations from the effluent-exposed and the reference area. All health endpoints were analyzed separately for males and females. Prior to statistical analyses, the raw body weight for each fish was adjusted to account for the gonad and liver weights of each fish (i.e., adjusted body weight = raw body weight – gonad weight – liver weight). Of the endpoints assessed, EEM effect endpoints for a lethal fish survey include weight-at-age, relative gonad weight, condition, and relative liver weight, while the remaining comparisons are considered supporting endpoints (Environment Canada 2012).



Statistical comparisons of age between areas were conducted using the two-sample t-test when residuals for the assumption of normality or homogeneity of variances were met (Shapiro-Wilks' test and Levene's test, respectively ($\alpha = 0.05$). When the assumption of equal variances was not met but the assumption of normality was met, the t-test for unequal variances was used (Ruxton 2006). Data were \log_{10} -transformed as necessary to meet the assumptions. When the assumptions of normality could not be met, then the Mann-Whitney test was used. Area comparisons were assessed using a significance level ($\alpha = 0.1$).

Statistical comparisons of weight-at-age and length-at-age between areas were conducted using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with \log_{10} -transformed body size as the response, area as a factor, and \log_{10} -transformed age as a covariate. Few fish were aged greater than 2 years old for central mudminnow so the analysis was conducted using age 1 and 2 fish only so the ANCOVA was equivalent to a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with age as the second factor.

Statistical comparisons of relative liver weight, relative gonad weight, relative egg weight, and relative fecundity between areas were conducted using ANCOVA with \log_{10} -transformed response variables and adjusted body weight (\log_{10} -transformed) as a covariate. Condition was analyzed using ANCOVA with \log_{10} -transformed adjusted body weight (\log_{10} -transformed) as the response and fork length (\log_{10} -transformed) as a covariate.

Significant interactions between the area and the covariate (i.e. the assumption of homogeneity of regression slopes between areas) in the ANCOVA were assessed using $\alpha = 0.05$. When the interaction term was significant, the coefficients of determination (R^2) of the interaction model and parallel slope model were compared to assess whether the slopes were practically significant. If the R^2 was > 0.8 and within 0.002 between the two models, the conclusion was that the interaction model and parallel slope models were practically the same (Environment Canada 2012) and the ANCOVA proceeded with the parallel slope model. Influence was also assessed using Cook's distance statistic when a significant interaction was detected. If the interaction was driven by influential points, these were removed from the analysis (Environment Canada 2012). When the interaction could not be removed by comparison of R^2 values or removal of influential points, the conclusion was that the difference in the response variable between areas was dependent on the covariate values. When the interaction term was not significant, the interaction term was removed from the model and the parallel slope ANCOVA model was fit. When the covariate was not a significant predictor of the response variable in the parallel slope ANCOVA model, the analysis proceeded as a t-test or Mann-Whitney test (as described for age). Area comparisons in the ANCOVA analyses were assessed using a significance level ($\alpha = 0.1$).

The data were plotted using individual value plots for univariate endpoints and scatterplots for bivariate endpoints prior to analysis. Statistical outliers were defined to be observations with



Studentized residuals with magnitude > 4 (Environment Canada 2012). Statistical analyses were reported for comparisons with and without the outliers to assess the influence of the outlier on statistical significance and the magnitude of difference. All statistical analyses were conducted using Minitab 18 software (Minitab 2017).

A magnitude of difference between the effluent-exposed area and reference area was calculated for each endpoint as a percentage of the reference area as:

$$\text{Magnitude of Difference} = (\text{Exp} - \text{Ref})/\text{Ref} \times 100\%$$

using either medians (Mann-Whitney), means (t-test), or the covariate-adjusted means (ANCOVA, without interaction). When an interaction was observed, the magnitude of difference was estimated for small and large fish at the minimum and maximum values of the overlap in covariate values between areas using the predicted values of the response variables from the interaction ANCOVA regression model (Environmental Canada 2012). When response variables are \log_{10} -transformed, the means are reported in the original data units (i.e. anti-logged), equivalent to geometric means.

An estimated minimum detectable difference (MDD) using $\alpha=\beta=0.1$ was calculated for each endpoint using either the coefficient of variation (pooled standard deviation divided by reference mean) for untransformed data or the pooled standard deviation of regression residuals for \log_{10} -transformed data, and reported as a percentage difference relative to the reference mean. The MDD percentage was reported as both a percentage increase, and a percentage decrease because MDD differs with respect to the direction of \log_{10} -transformed measures. The MDD calculations for the M-W test were estimated based on a two-sample t-test using sample sizes multiplied by 0.864 and rounded up to the nearest integer. The 0.864 is the lower bound of the asymptotic relative efficiency of the Mann-Whitney test and the two-sample t-test (Hodges and Lehmann 1956). The MDD calculations were conducted using the two-sample t-test power analysis function in Minitab 18 software (Minitab 2017).

An *a priori* power analysis was conducted for each endpoint using the observed variability from the 2017 data analyses to estimate the sample sizes required to detect a range of effect sizes. The analyses were conducted using the two-sample t-test power analysis function in Minitab 18 software (Minitab 2017) using the same estimates of variability and assumptions for the M-W test as described for the MDD calculations.



3 EFFLUENT SUBLETHAL TOXICITY

3.1 Toxicity Test Results

Sublethal toxicity tests conducted on grab samples of RRP final effluent at Final Discharge Point 2 (FDP2) and Final Discharge Point 3 (FDP3) over the Phase 1 EEM period (2016 to 2017) indicated that effluent was generally of high quality with the lowest reported effects occurring at effluent concentrations of 37% and 95% for FDP2 and FDP3 respectively.

FDP2 sublethal toxicity tests did not elicit effects on fathead minnow survival or on growth of the green algae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* (*P. subcapitata*) at any point during the Phase 1 EEM (Table 3.1). However, FDP2 effluent impaired the survival and growth of the invertebrate *Ceriodaphnia dubia* in 2016 (92% and 72% effluent, respectively; Table 3.1), but not in 2017. This same pattern was observed for the growth of fathead minnow and duckweed (*Lemna minor*) frond size (i.e. weight) with impairment occurring in 2016 (37% and 76%, respectively, Table 3.1) but not 2017. Conversely, frond production for *L. minor* was consistently impaired by FDP2 effluent in both years of the Phase 1 EEM (55% and 64%, respectively; Table 3.1).

FDP3 effluent was of excellent quality with virtually no impairment for any of the test organisms (Table 3.1). It is noteworthy that *P. subcapitata*, usually being the most sensitive species, was not affected by exposure to effluent from either FDP (Table 3.1).

3.2 Predicted Receiving Environment Influence

When sublethal effects are reported at effluent concentrations lower than 30% it is recommended that mines calculate the implied geographic extent of the effect (Environment Canada 2012). Since the geometric mean effect concentrations for effluent sublethal toxicity tests were above 30% in the Phase 1 EEM period, this was not required.

Generally, adverse effects on resident aquatic biota would not be predicted in the Pinewood River based on the lowest effluent concentration effect occurring at 37% (fathead minnow growth), since effluent concentrations in the receiving environment have been estimated to be $\leq 14\%$ (Minnow 2016).



Table 3.1: Sublethal Toxicity Test Results for RRP Effluent (as % effluent)

a) FDP2 (Sump 3, 6)

Date	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>		Fathead Minnow		<i>Lemna minor</i>		<i>Pseudokerchneriella subcapitata</i>
	Survival LC50 ^a	Reproduction IC25 ^b	Survival LC50 ^a	Growth IC25 ^b	FronD Increase IC25 ^b	Dry Weight IC25 ^b	Growth IC25 ^b
25-Jul-16	91.7 (30 - DNC ^c)	71.4 (43.6 - 100)	> 100	37.1 (22.5 - 53.7)	55 (33.1 - 80.0)	76.22 (38 - 100)	> 90.9
28-Aug-17	> 100	> 100	>100	>100	63.7 (50.8 - 75.3)	> 97.0	> 90.9
Cycle 1 Geometric Mean	96	84	>100	61	59	86	>91

b) FDP3 (Sump 4)

Date	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>		Fathead Minnow		<i>Lemna minor</i>		<i>Pseudokerchneriella subcapitata</i>
	Survival LC50 ^a	Reproduction IC25 ^b	Survival LC50 ^a	Growth IC25 ^b	FronD Increase IC25 ^b	Dry Weight IC25 ^b	Growth IC25 ^b
27-Sep-16	> 100	> 100	> 100	> 100	95.3 (26.4 - 96.7)	> 97.0	> 90.9
20-Jun-17	> 100	> 100	Indeterminate ^d	Indeterminate ^e	>97.0	> 97.0	> 90.9
Cycle 1 Geometric Mean	>100	>100	>100	>100	96	>97	>91

^a Effluent concentration causing 50% mortality among exposed organisms.

^b Effluent concentration at which a 25% inhibition/reduction in endpoint was observed among effluent-exposed organisms relative to control group.

^c Range could not be calculated.

^d A statistically reasonable estimate could not be calculated. However, according to Equal Variance t two-sample Test (CETIS), there was no significant reduction in growth between the control and the 100% test concentration.

^e A statistically reasonable estimate could not be calculated. However, according to Fisher Exact Test (CETIS), mortality in the 100% effluent concentration (0%) was not significantly higher than in control (10%).

4 RECEIVING WATER QUALITY

4.1 Water Quality during the EEM

Physico-chemical measurements taken in April showed that water quality was generally similar in the effluent-exposed and reference sampling areas with the exception of higher specific conductivity and pH in the effluent-exposed area (Table 4.1; Appendix Table C.1).

Table 4.1: In Situ Surface Water Quality Data Collected during the Fish Survey for RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Area Identifier	Date	Temperature (°C)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen		Specific Conductivity (µS/cm)
				(mg/L)	(% sat)	
StuC-REF	24-Apr-17	5.9	6.90	11.86	94.3	153
PinR-EXP	24-Apr-17	5.6	7.46	11.86	93.6	303

In situ water quality measurements were also taken in September at all benthic community survey stations and indicated significant differences in temperature and specific conductance between areas (Figure 4.1; Appendix Tables C.1, and C.2). Differences observed in temperature likely reflect the time of day the measurements were taken (e.g., early morning versus mid-afternoon). Whereas the difference in specific conductance was likely due to mine effluent (Figure 4.1; Appendix Tables C.1, and C.2).

Laboratory analysis of water samples indicated that chromium and iron were slightly elevated in the reference area compared to effluent-exposed area and Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO; Table 4.2). Hardness, calcium, potassium, and sodium, were elevated in the effluent-exposed area relative to reference area concentrations (Table 4.2) and likely contributed to observed elevations in specific conductance in the effluent-exposed area (Figure 4.1). Lastly, aluminum was elevated compared to PWQO both in the effluent-exposed and reference areas indicative of naturally elevated background concentrations of this substance (Table 4.2).

4.2 Routine Water Quality Monitoring

RRP collects water samples and supporting measures a minimum of four times per year at locations upstream (SW20) and downstream (SW22A) of the mine effluent discharge in the Pinewood River (Figure 2.1).






Figure 4.1: In Situ Water Quality Measures at Benthic Sampling Stations, RRP Phase 1 EEM, September 2017

Notes: Area mean ± standard deviation (n=5) are shown. Same letters above error bars indicate no significant difference.

Table 4.2: Total Metal Concentrations in Sturgeon Creek and Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Parameter	Units	PWQO	Sturgeon Creek (Reference)		Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed)	
			StuC-REF	StuC-REF	PinR-EXP	PinR-EXP
			24-Apr-17	13-Sep-17	24-Apr-17	13-Sep-17
Physical Tests						
Hardness	mg/L	-	98.1	174	191	257
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	-	9.4	14.5	<2.0	4.6
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	-	225	239	236	308
Anions and Nutrients						
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	-	83.1	166	190	260
Ammonia, Total (as N)	mg/L	10.25	<0.020	0.097	0.077	0.027
Chloride (Cl)	mg/L	-	6.19	6.26	10.9	12.5
Fluoride (F)	mg/L	-	0.041	0.073	0.070	0.081
Nitrate and Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	-	<0.040	-	0.913	-
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	-	<0.020	0.078	0.890	0.102
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	-	<0.010	<0.010	0.023	<0.010
Total Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	0.30	0.040	0.107	0.019	0.032
Sulphate (SO ₄)	mg/L	-	9.38	1.33	16.8	1.79
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	mg/L	0.0050 ^a	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020
Cyanide, Total	mg/L	0.0050 ^a	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	-	28.3	35.7	16.6	28.7
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	-	28.8	37.3	16.7	29.1
Dissolved Metals						
Calcium	mg/L	-	22.0	39.9	43.5	56.4
Magnesium	mg/L	-	10.5	18.0	19.9	28.2
Total Metals						
Aluminum	mg/L	0.075	0.552	0.435	0.062	0.092
Antimony	mg/L	0.020	<0.00010	0.00017	0.00121	0.00017
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0050	0.00086	0.00217	0.00076	0.00145
Barium	mg/L	-	0.0171	0.0237	0.0223	0.0220
Beryllium	mg/L	11	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Bismuth	mg/L	-	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
Boron	mg/L	0.20	0.011	0.016	0.026	0.015
Cadmium	mg/L	0.00050	0.000015	0.000009	0.000006	0.000006
Calcium	mg/L	-	22.7	40.9	42.0	58.4
Cesium	mg/L	-	0.000075	0.000054	0.000013	0.000011
Chromium	mg/L	0.0010	0.00124	0.00091	0.00023	0.00032
Cobalt	mg/L	0.00090	0.00044	0.00065	0.00015	0.00025
Copper	mg/L	0.0050	0.0019	0.0011	0.0010	<0.00050
Iron	mg/L	0.30	0.83	0.87	0.20	0.20
Lead	mg/L	0.0050	0.00037	0.00037	0.00006	0.00007
Lithium	mg/L	-	0.0043	0.0072	0.0097	0.0115
Magnesium	mg/L	-	10.7	18.9	18.5	28.5
Manganese	mg/L	-	0.050	0.220	0.028	0.084
Mercury	mg/L	0.00020	<0.0000050	<0.0000050	<0.0000050	<0.0000050
Molybdenum	mg/L	0.040	0.00041	0.00052	0.00263	0.00019
Nickel	mg/L	0.025	0.0021	0.0024	0.0012	0.0011
Phosphorus	mg/L	0.30	0.051	0.150	<0.050	0.053
Potassium	mg/L	-	1.83	1.78	3.08	2.13
Rubidium	mg/L	-	0.0022	0.0020	0.0019	0.0016


^a Guideline for free cyanide. Applied to weak acid dissociable and total cyanide as a conservative limit.

Indicates concentration was greater than the PWQO (Provincial Water Quality Objective) Lowest Effect Level (LEL).

Table 4.2: Total Metal Concentrations in Sturgeon Creek and Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Parameter	Units	PWQO	Sturgeon Creek (Reference)		Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed)	
			StuC-REF	StuC-REF	PinR-EXP	PinR-EXP
			24-Apr-17	13-Sep-17	24-Apr-17	13-Sep-17
Selenium	mg/L	0.1	0.00019	0.00024	0.00017	0.00018
Silicon	mg/L	-	2.98	2.92	1.40	2.66
Silver	mg/L	0.00010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010
Sodium	mg/L	-	4.00	5.63	8.92	9.17
Strontium	mg/L	-	0.06	0.11	0.16	0.12
Sulphur	mg/L	-	3.38	1.01	6.06	1.20
Tellurium	mg/L	-	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020
Thallium	mg/L	0.00030	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010
Thorium	mg/L	-	0.00011	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Tin	mg/L	-	0.00011	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Titanium	mg/L	-	0.0162	0.0127	0.0022	0.0028
Tungsten	mg/L	0.030	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Uranium	mg/L	0.0050	0.00089	0.00152	0.00184	0.00053
Vanadium	mg/L	0.0060	0.0023	0.0024	0.00079	0.00079
Zinc	mg/L	0.020	0.009	0.004	<0.0030	0.004
Zirconium	mg/L	0.0040	0.00065	0.00052	0.00024	0.00017
Radiological Parameters						
Ra-226	Bq/L	1.0	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010

^a Guideline for free cyanide. Applied to weak acid dissociable and total cyanide as a conservative limit.

 Indicates concentration was greater than the PWQO (Provincial Water Quality Objective) Lowest Effect Level (LEL).

Mean concentrations of aluminum and iron were elevated above PWQOs for the protection of aquatic life at routine water quality monitoring stations located both upstream and downstream of the RRP effluent outfall (Table 4.3). Downstream concentrations of nitrite were elevated relative to both upstream levels and PWQOs, indicative of mine influence (Table 4.3; Appendix Table C.4). However, nitrite was only elevated substance relative to PWQO downstream of the RRP Mine effluent in 2016 and is likely indicative of use of explosives from active blasting during the construction phase of the mine (Table 4.3). As seen in the water samples collected during the EEM study, aluminum and iron are elevated at relative to PWQO at effluent-exposed and reference areas, indicating these substances are naturally elevated within the Pinewood River.

Overall, the RRP Mine effluent is detectable in Pinewood River, through elevated conductivity, hardness, calcium, potassium, and sodium in the effluent-exposed area relative to upstream.



Table 4.3: Summary of Routine Water Quality Data ^a, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2015 to 2017

Parameters	Units	PWQO ^b	Pinewood River Reference (SW20)			Pinewood River Effluent-exposed (SW22A)			
			2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	
Non-metals	Alkalinity	mg/L CaCO ₃	-	115	113	133	-	159	211
	pH	units	6.5 - 8.5	7.17	7.17	7.25	-	7.64	7.43
	Conductivity	µS/cm	-	254	256	305	-	328	415
	TSS	mg/L	-	3.63	3.40	3.32	-	3.30	4.0
	Nitrite (NO ₂)	as N mg/L	0.06	0.001	0.002	0.002	-	0.95 ^d	0.011
	Ammonia (NH ₃ +NH ₄)	as N mg/L	-	0.013	0.054	0.040	-	0.048	0.118
	Mercury (Hg)	mg/L	0.0002	0.000002	0.000003	0.000003	-	0.000003	0.000003
	Hardness	mg/L CaCO ₃	-	124	127	140	-	172	209
ICP Scan	Aluminium (Al)	mg/L	0.075 ^c	0.177	0.278	0.158	-	0.134	0.122
	Arsenic (As)	mg/L	0.005	0.0011	0.0008	0.0009	-	0.0012	0.0012
	Cadmium (Cd)	mg/L	0.0001 ^c	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	-	0.00001	0.00001
	Cyanide (CN)	mg/L	0.005			0.001	-	<0.001	0.001
	Cobalt (Co)	mg/L	0.0009	0.0002	0.0004	0.0003	-	0.0004	0.0003
	Copper (Cu)	mg/L	0.005 ^c	0.0008	0.0011	0.0008	-	0.0009	0.0009
	Iron (Fe)	mg/L	0.3	0.55	0.83	0.53	-	0.59	0.44
	Molybdenum (Mo)	mg/L	0.04	0.0003	0.0004	0.0003	-	0.0009	0.0013
	Nickle (Ni)	mg/L	0.025	0.0016	0.0014	0.0014	-	0.0015	0.0015
	Lead (Pb)	mg/L	0.003 ^c	0.0001	0.0002	0.0001	-	0.0001	0.0001
	Selenium (Se)	mg/L	0.001 ^a	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	-	0.0002	0.0002
	Thallium (Tl)	mg/L	0.0003	0.000003	0.000004	0.000006	-	0.000005	0.000005
	Zinc (Zn)	mg/L	0.02	0.003	0.003	0.010	-	0.002	0.005
Other	Radium-226	Bq/L	1	-	-	<0.01	-	<0.01	<0.01

 Concentration exceeds PWQO.

^a Mean of monthly samples collected during discharge (n=8 in 2015, n=10 in 2016, and n=11 in 2017).

^b All guidelines reference Provincial Water Quality Objectives. Ministry of Environment and Energy, July 1994, re-issued in 1999 (OMOEE 1994), with the exception of nitrite and selenium which reference Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the protection of aquatic life. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. <http://st-ts.ccme.ca/>. accessed February 2018 (CCME 2018).

^c Aluminum guideline depends on pH; cadmium, copper and lead guidelines depend on hardness; guidelines in table assume: pH = 7, temperature = 15°C, hardness = 130 mg/L as CaCO₃ based on the average background concentration at reference station SW20 (see Appendix Table C.4).

^d All values but one (March 23, 2016; 5.66 mg/L) are < PWQO, with 3 below the lowest reported level.

5 SEDIMENT QUALITY

5.1 Overview

Sediment samples were collected in the effluent-exposed area of the Pinewood River and the Sturgeon Creek reference area concurrent with benthic invertebrate sampling (Figure 2.1). A total of five stations were sampled within each area as described in Section 2.

5.2 Sediment Composition

The inorganic sediment fraction was composed predominantly of silt (37-69%) and clay (25-41%), with some sand (2-31%; Figure 5.1, and Table 5.1; Appendix Table C.5). There were significant differences between the effluent-exposed and reference areas for total organic carbon, as well as the silt and clay fractions, with significantly more TOC and silt in the effluent-exposed area, and significantly less clay when compared to the reference area (Table 5.1 and Figure 5.1; Appendix Table C.3). All stations had a low proportion of gravel <5% (Table 5.1; Appendix Table C.5).






Figure 5.1: Particle Size and Total Organic Carbon Content in Sediments, RRP Phase 1 EEM, September 2017



Table 5.1: Summary of Sediment Quality (Mean ± Standard Deviation), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Parameter	PSQG		Units	Sturgeon Creek (Reference)		Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed)	
	LEL	SEL		Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
Inorganics							
% Moisture	-	-	%	62.7	8.8	74.0	12.5
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.055	0.48	%	0.276	0.1	0.523	0.2
Total Organic Carbon	10,000	100,000	mg/kg	37,300	10,808	71,360	24,359
% Gravel	-	-	%	<1.0	0.0	<1.0	0.0
% Sand	-	-	%	19.8	10.2	13.6	10.8
% Silt	-	-	%	44.8	6.3	58.4	9.6
% Clay	-	-	%	35.4	4.2	28.0	3.2
Metals							
Aluminium	-	-	mg/kg	13,620	1,675	13,310	2,683
Antimony	-	-	mg/kg	0.11	0.02	0.15	0.03
Arsenic	6	33	mg/kg	2.63	0.48	3.60	1.07
Barium	-	-	mg/kg	87	17	103	27
Beryllium	-	-	mg/kg	0.53	0.06	0.56	0.08
Bismuth	-	-	mg/kg	<0.20	0.0	<0.20	0.0
Boron	-	-	mg/kg	8.2	0.8	9.5	1.9
Cadmium	0.6	10	mg/kg	0.27	0.08	0.35	0.09
Calcium	-	-	mg/kg	6,952	681	14,840	4,343
Chromium	26	110	mg/kg	33.8	7.2	30.6	6.3
Cobalt	-	-	mg/kg	9.9	1.3	9.6	2.5
Copper	16	110	mg/kg	11.6	2.0	15.3	3.7
Iron	20,000	40,000	mg/kg	15,540	2,123	16,920	2,952
Lead	31	250	mg/kg	7.60	1.1	7.72	0.9
Lithium	-	-	mg/kg	16.6	2.3	15.6	2.6
Magnesium	-	-	mg/kg	5,294	551	8,010	2,331
Manganese	460	1,100	mg/kg	346	62	476	151
Mercury	0.2	2	mg/kg	0.048	0.009	0.055	0.006
Molybdenum	-	-	mg/kg	0.84	0.42	0.96	0.42
Nickel	16	75	mg/kg	20.7	4.4	21.7	4.7
Phosphorus	600	2,000	mg/kg	519	42	676	117
Potassium	-	-	mg/kg	1,634	240	1,494	325
Selenium	-	-	mg/kg	0.35	0.1	0.50	0.1
Silver	-	-	mg/kg	<0.10	0.0	<0.10	0.0
Sodium	-	-	mg/kg	96	11	132	29
Strontium	-	-	mg/kg	25.4	3.1	31.8	6.2
Sulphur	-	-	mg/kg	1,100	212	1,620	435
Thallium	-	-	mg/kg	0.159	0.019	0.160	0.029
Tin	-	-	mg/kg	<1.0	0.0	<1.0	0.0
Titanium	-	-	mg/kg	152	6.6	128	20.3
Tungsten	-	-	mg/kg	<0.50	0.0	<0.50	0.0
Uranium	-	-	mg/kg	1.7	0.3	1.7	0.4
Vanadium	-	-	mg/kg	37.0	5.2	36.4	7.2
Zinc	120	820	mg/kg	72	13.4	74	18.0
Zirconium	-	-	mg/kg	6.0	1.0	4.7	0.6

 Indicates concentration greater than the PSQG (Provincial Sediment Quality Guideline) Lowest Effect Level (LEL).
 Indicates concentration greater than the PSQG (Provincial Sediment Quality Guideline) Severe Effect Level (SEL).

5.3 Sediment Quality

Sediment concentrations of chromium, and nickel were elevated in both the effluent-exposed and reference areas, compared to Provincial Sediment Quality Guideline (PSWQG) Lowest Effect Levels (LEL; Table 5.1; Appendix Table C.5). Additionally, effluent-exposed sediment concentrations of manganese and phosphorus were elevated compared to reference area concentrations and the PSQG LEL (Table 5.1 and Appendix Table C.5). Total organic carbon (TOC) was above the PSQG LEL in both areas, there were no Severe Effects Level (SEL) exceedances except for total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) at the effluent-exposed area, TKN was also greater than LEL at the reference area (Table 5.1).

Principal Components Analysis (PCA) identified arsenic, barium, beryllium, boron, copper, iron, molybdenum, nickel, titanium, vanadium, and zinc as the main analytes distinguishing the effluent-exposed sediment chemistry from that of the reference area along PC-Axis 1 (Appendix Tables C.5 and C.6). Sediment PC-Axis 2 scores were compared between the effluent-exposed and reference areas and largely described the higher sediment concentrations of antimony, calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, selenium, sodium, strontium, and sulphur in the effluent-exposed area, and higher zirconium concentrations in the in the reference area (Appendix Tables C.5 and C.6).

Overall, sediment chemistry was very similar between the effluent-exposed and reference areas, with slightly elevated concentrations of TOC, TKN, manganese, and phosphorus in the effluent-exposed sediments relative to both reference and provincial sediment quality guidelines.



6 BENTHIC INVERTEBRATE COMMUNITY

6.1 Overview

The benthic invertebrate community of the effluent-exposed area on Pinewood River (PinR-EXP) was compared to that of one reference area: on the Sturgeon Creek (StuC-REF; Figure 2.1).

6.2 Primary Metrics

Organism density, taxon richness, and Simpson's evenness (E) did not differ between areas (Table 6.1 and Figure 6.1). Bray-Curtis (B-C) index (distance) at the effluent-exposed area was significantly greater than at the reference area (Table 6.1; Figure 6.1), suggesting community composition differences that are investigated further in the following section.

Table 6.1: Summary of Benthic Invertebrate Community Characteristics and Statistical Comparisons Between Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Type	Benthic Community Metric	Significantly Different? (effect size expressed as # reference area standard deviations) ^a
		StuC Reference vs PinR Effluent-exposed
Metrics for evaluating effect under MMER (Family Level)	Density (Ind./m ²)	No
	Number of Taxa	No
	Simpson's E	No
	B-C Distance	Yes (6.7)
Supporting Metrics	Oligochaeta (%)	No
	Ephemeroptera (%)	No
	Tichoptera (%)	No
	Chaoboridae (%)	Yes (-1.8)
	Chironomids (%)	No
	Bivalvia (%)	No
	CA Axis-1 (26.5%)	Yes (2.8)
	CA Axis-2 (25.6%)	No
CA Axis-3 (17.9%)	No	

^a Where a statistically significant difference was found, the value represents the number of standard deviations and direction of change (positive or negative) by which the exposure area differed from reference.






Figure 6.1: Comparison of: a) Benthic Invertebrate Density, b) Number of Taxa, c) Simpson's Evenness and d) Bray-Curtis Distance to Reference Median, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Data Represent Area Means and 95% Confidence Intervals (n=5 in all areas). Areas with the Same Letter do not Differ Significantly (p>0.1).

6.3 Taxon Group Composition

Benthic community composition was assessed based on percent representation of major taxon groups to highlight any differences between exposed and reference areas and their environmental significance. Chironomids and Oligochaeta showed comparable percent abundances between areas (Figure 6.2 and Table 6.1; Appendix Tables D.3 to D.5). The pollution-intolerant EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera³, and Trichoptera) taxa were found in very low abundances in both the reference and effluent-exposed areas which is likely a result of the nature of the habitat found in these areas (i.e. low flow depositional areas; Table 6.1; Appendix Table D.4). Overall, community composition of the effluent-exposed area was similar to reference with no compelling evidence of an effluent-related effect.




Figure 6.2: Percent Composition of Dominant Benthic Groups, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Correspondence Analysis (CA) was also used to examine community composition. Unlike the B-C distance metric and Simpson's Indices, this multivariate technique can identify the individual taxa that most contribute to community variation. In the present study, CA explained 52.1% of the total community variance in the first two CA axes and differed between areas (Figure 6.3 and

³ Plecoptera (stoneflies), were not found at either area.






Figure 6.3: Results of Correspondence Analysis of Benthic Invertebrate Communities, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Note: Areas with the same letter do not differ significantly.

Table 6.1; Appendix Tables D.6 and D.7). CA axis-2 and -3 showed no difference between areas, (Figure 6.3 and Table 6.1). This was largely due to the presence of harpacticoids⁴ in the reference area, but absence from the effluent-exposed area, as well as the presence of the snail family Hydrobiidae and bivalve Sphaeriidae at the effluent-exposed area, and absence from the reference area (Figure 6.4 and Appendix Table D.7).

In general, the Sturgeon Creek reference area demonstrated less within area variability relative to the Pinewood River effluent-exposed area (Figure 6.4). The effluent-exposed area and Sturgeon Creek reference area had a statistically different community structure as defined by CA axis-1.




Figure 6.4: Family Level (FL) Correspondence Analysis (CA) Scores at RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

⁴ Due to their small size harpacticoids can sometimes be lost in the screening process, and because they are commonly found attached to algae or other organic material organism retention can vary with substrate type. Substrate type and volumes of organic material in samples did not vary between exposure and reference areas and therefore it is unlikely that any variation in harpacticoid abundances between areas is the result of loss during screening.



6.4 Influence of Physico-Chemical Variables

Correlation analysis was performed between benthic indices and supporting physico-chemical variables that demonstrated statistically significant differences between areas. A statistically significant correlation between two variables may, but does not necessarily, indicate a cause-and-effect relationship. Three correlations were significant at an adjusted p-level that accounted for the number of comparisons made ($p = 0.05/70 = 0.00071$; Table 6.2). After inspection of data distributions in scatter plots (Appendix Figure D.1a, b, and c), the significant correlations appear to be due to spatial autocorrelation of replicates within areas and is likely not a function of effluent related effects.


6.5 Summary


In summary, the effluent-exposed area was similar to the reference area concerning three EEM metrics for the current EEM Phase, these are density, Simpson's evenness, and taxon richness. The sole EEM benthic endpoint that differed significantly between the effluent-exposed and reference areas is the Bray-Curtis Index. In addition, the community structure as defined by CA differed significantly between the effluent exposed and reference areas. This difference may be attributed to variations between the sampling areas such as stream width: the Sturgeon Creek reference area is much smaller compared to that of the effluent-exposed area, as well as extensive beaver activity in the vicinity of the effluent-exposed area, these habitat differences may result in certain families being present in one area but not in the other (i.e. Chaoboridae), as they are found in the reference area in relatively high abundances, but have very little representation in the effluent-exposed area (Appendix Table D.2). Therefore these differences are likely a result of dissimilarities in habitat between the effluent-exposed and reference areas, rather than a direct effluent effect.




Table 6.2: Correlations Between Benthic Metrics that were Significantly ($p < 0.05$) Different Between Areas with Environmental Supporting Measurements that were also Significantly ($p < 0.05$) Different Between Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

		Temperature (°C; bottom)	pH (bottom)	Conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$; bottom)	Specific Conductance ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$; bottom)	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (%)	FOC (log10 [mg/g])	Total Organic Carbon (%)	% Silt (%)	% Clay (%)	Sediment Metal PC-2 (16.2%)
LPL BC Dissimilarity	Pearson Correlation	-0.85440	-0.49703	0.90509	0.88954	0.50572	0.51802	0.49685	0.52204	-0.64874	-0.84160
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.00164	0.14387	0.00032	0.00057	0.13590	0.12508	0.14404	0.12167	0.04243	0.00227
LPL CA-1 (29.0%)	Pearson Correlation	-0.63709	-0.26911	0.70681	0.68104	0.57110	0.53488	0.52611	0.61917	-0.40359	-0.63151
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.04758	0.45213	0.02229	0.03015	0.08463	0.11115	0.11827	0.05628	0.24745	0.05018
FL BC Dissimilarity	Pearson Correlation	-0.84069	-0.45257	0.89404	0.87508	0.45838	0.46050	0.44692	0.47844	-0.67752	-0.83840
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.00231	0.18907	0.00048	0.00091	0.18274	0.18046	0.19535	0.16187	0.03135	0.00244
FL CA-1 (26.5%)	Pearson Correlation	-0.75944	-0.39454	0.74536	0.73859	0.31493	0.32570	0.33601	0.35219	-0.69490	-0.76675
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.01083	0.25920	0.01335	0.01469	0.37544	0.35843	0.34249	0.31824	0.02572	0.00967
% Chaoboridae	Pearson Correlation	0.74903	0.47442	-0.80052	-0.79027	-0.45897	-0.48096	-0.45935	-0.43950	0.57467	0.75556
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.01266	0.16594	0.00540	0.00652	0.18211	0.15936	0.18170	0.20377	0.08227	0.01149

 correlation suggestive; $p < 0.05$ (NOT adjusted for False Discovery Rate)

 correlation scatterplot inspected: $p < 0.0100$

 significant; $p < 0.00071$ ($p = 0.05$ adjusted for 70 comparisons)

Note: $n = 10$ for all correlations

7 FISH COMMUNITY SURVEY

7.1 Overview

Fish were sampled from the effluent-exposed area on the Pinewood River, as well as a reference area on Sturgeon Creek (Figure 2.1). Brook stickleback and central mudminnow were sampled from both the reference and effluent-exposed areas. Detailed data are provided in Appendix E.

7.2 Fish Community

Fish communities were evaluated in two areas: the effluent-exposed area of the Pinewood River, and the Sturgeon Creek reference area (Figure 2.1). A total of eleven species were caught in the two areas, with the greatest diversity found in the effluent-exposed area (Table 7.1; Appendix Tables E.1 to E.3).

Table 7.1: Summary of Fish Caught in the Sturgeon Creek Reference and the Effluent-exposed Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Species	Sturgeon Creek (Reference)	Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed)
Total No. of Species	7	11
Sentinel Species		
Brook stickleback	224	1,757
Central mudminnow	100	81
Other		
Brown bullhead	-	1
Brassy minnow	6	91
Creek chub	11	-
Dace spp.	-	104
Finescale dace	2	3
Johnny darter	-	2
Lake chub	3	10
Pearl dace	-	4
Northern redbelly dace	48	27
White sucker	-	9
Total Fish Caught	394	2,089

Pinewood River supports a variety of fish species, ranging from the large-bodied species such as northern pike (*Esox lucius*), walleye (*sander vitreus*), and white sucker (*Catostomus*



commersonii), to a number of small-bodied species (Table 7.1). During the spring sampling, brook stickleback were the most abundant species found in both sampling areas (Table 7.1). Brown bullhead (*Ameiurus natalis*), johnny darter (*Etheostoma nigrum*), pearl dace (*Margariscus margarita*), and white sucker were only observed in the Pinewood River whereas creek chub (*Semotilus atromaculatus*) were only found in Sturgeon Creek. Overall, species composition was similar between areas, with the fish community predominantly being made up of brook stickleback, central mudminnow, and northern redbelly dace (*Chrosomus eos*).

Overall catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) for seines (# fish/m³), minnow traps (# fish/trap day) and backpack electrofishing (#fish/ minute) were highest in the Pinewood River effluent-exposed area (Table 7.2). Minnow trapping was the most effective method employed during the spring survey (Table 7.2).

Brook stickleback CPUE for minnow trapping was highest in the effluent-exposed and central mudminnow CPUE was highest at the reference area (Table 7.2).

Overall, no major differences in community composition were observed among areas although the effluent-exposed area of the Pinewood River supported the highest species diversity.

7.3 Brook Stickleback

Twenty three female brook stickleback from the Pinewood River (effluent-exposed) and 23 from Sturgeon Creek reference area were collected during the Phase 1 spring fish survey (Appendix Tables E.4 and E.5). In addition, 21 male brook stickleback from the Pinewood River (effluent-exposed), and 22 from the Sturgeon Creek reference area were collected (Appendix Tables E.6 and E.7). All collected brook stickleback were subject to measurements needed to calculate the required EEM metrics, which were summarized by sex and area (Appendix Tables E.4 to E.7).

7.3.1 Female Brook Stickleback

Female brook stickleback from the Pinewood River effluent-exposed and Sturgeon Creek reference areas were of similar age (Figure 7.1a and Table 7.3). Females captured in both areas were predominantly one year old (Figure 7.1a; Appendix Tables E.4 and E.5). Accordingly, there was insufficient age distribution for analysis of body weight at age (Appendix Tables E.4 and E.5).

Gonad size relative to adjusted body weight was significantly larger in female brook stickleback captured in the Pinewood River than in those from Sturgeon Creek, with the magnitude of difference greater than the applicable critical effect size (CES) of $\pm 25\%$ (Table 7.3 and Figure 7.2; Appendix Tables E.4 and E.5). Egg weight relative to adjusted body weight was significantly smaller in effluent-exposed females compared to reference females, however the magnitude of difference was very small (0.13%) and is not ecologically meaningful. These data indicated that



Table 7.2: Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) Summary for Sentinel Fish Caught during the RRP Phase 1 EEM, April 2017

a) CPUE by Seine ^a

Location	Total Effort (Area m ²)	Brook Stickleback CPUE	Central Mudminnow CPUE	Total Sentinel Species	
				Catch	CPUE
Sturgeon Creek Reference	0	0	0	0	0
Pinewood River Effluent-exposed	221	1.70	0.06	389	1.76

b) CPUE by Minnow Trap ^b

Location	Effort (Trap Days)	Brook Stickleback CPUE	Central Mudminnow CPUE	Total Sentinel Species	
				Catch	CPUE
Sturgeon Creek Reference	22	10.20	4.55	324	14.73
Pinewood River Effluent-exposed	80	17.30	0.60	1,429	17.91

c) CPUE by Backpack Electrofishing ^c

Location	Effort (Seconds)	Brook Stickleback CPUE	Central Mudminnow CPUE	Total Sentinel Species	
				Catch	CPUE
Sturgeon Creek Reference	0	0	0	0	0
Pinewood River Effluent-exposed	756	0	1.60	20	1.59

^a Seine netting CPUE based on number of fish caught per area seined (m²) (# of fish/m²).

^b Minnow trapping CPUE based on number of fish caught per trap day (24 hours) per trap (# of fish/trap/day).

^c Backpack electrofishing CPUE based on number of fish caught per minute (# of fish/minute).





Figure 7.1: Age frequency Distributions of a) Female and b) Male Brook Stickleback in Sturgeon Creek and Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, April 2017

Table 7.3: Statistical Comparisons For Brook Stickleback Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference) Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Sex	Indicator	Endpoint	Variables		Sample Size		Test	ANCOVA Model Statistics			Summary Statistics ^b			Test P-value (Location)	Magnitude of Difference (%) ^c	Estimated Minimum Detectable Difference (% Relative to Reference) with $\alpha=\beta=0.1$	
			Response	Covariate	Reference	Effluent-exposed		Interaction Model	Parallel Slope Model	Covariate Value for Comparisons ^a	Statistic	Reference Area	Effluent-exposed Area			Effluent-exposed	Decrease
					Sturgeon	Pinewood		Interaction p-value	Covariate p-value								
Female	Energy Usage	Relative Gonad Weight	log[Gonad Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	23	22	ANCOVA	0.962	<0.001	0.797	Adjusted Mean	0.0308	0.0892	<0.001	190	-28	39
		Egg Weight	log[Egg Weight (mg)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	23	22	T-test _{unequal}	0.639	0.109	-	Geometric Mean	0.989	0.990	<0.001	0.13	-0.072	0.072
					23	18 ^d	T-test _{unequal}	0.995	0.086	-	Geometric Mean	0.989	0.990	<0.001	0.10	-0.046	0.046
		Relative Fecundity	log[Fecundity]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	23	22	ANCOVA	0.524	0.035	0.797	Adjusted Mean	1,914	1,599	0.197	-16	-33	50
	23				18 ^d	ANCOVA	0.899	<0.001	0.788	Adjusted Mean	1,908	2,051	0.274	7.5	-19	23	
	Energy Storage	Relative Liver Weight	log[Liver Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	23	22	ANCOVA	0.068	<0.001	0.797	Adjusted Mean	0.0291	0.0708	<0.001	144	-18	22
Condition		log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	log[Total Length (mm)]	23	22	ANCOVA	0.856	<0.001	46.8	Adjusted Mean	0.745	0.856	<0.001	15	-6.6	7.1	
Male	Energy Usage	Relative Gonad Weight	log[Gonad Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	21	ANCOVA	0.378	0.004	0.882	Adjusted Mean	0.00305	0.00286	0.679	-6.3	-35	54
	Energy Storage	Relative Liver Weight	log[Liver Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	21	ANCOVA	0.827	<0.001	0.882	Adjusted Mean	0.0245	0.0380	<0.001	55	-26	34
		Condition	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	log[Total Length (mm)]	22	21	ANCOVA	0.487	<0.001	47.2	Adjusted Mean	0.800	0.977	<0.001	22	-7.2	7.8

- Location P-value < 0.1 or Interaction P-value < 0.05
- Magnitude of Difference > 25% (or > 10% for Condition), EEM effect endpoints only.
- Covariate P-value > 0.05

Note: Three large fish had high leverage on the regressions so these observations were removed from the ANCOVAs

^a The mean value of the covariate (that corresponds to the adjusted means for the response variable) for the parallel slope ANCOVA model or the minimum and maximum values of the overlap in covariate values for the interaction ANCOVA model.

^b The median, mean, and adjusted mean are reported for Mann-Whitney, t-test and ANCOVA, respectively, and the predicted values of the regression line equations for minimum and maximum values of the covariate (where the data sets overlap) for ANCOVAs where a significant interaction (i.e., different slopes) occurs.

^c The magnitude of difference calculated as: $[(\text{exposed area mean} - \text{reference area mean}) / \text{reference area mean}] \times 100$. When there is a significant interaction in the ANCOVA, the magnitude of difference is calculated at the minimum and maximum values of overlap in covariate values as as: $[(\text{exposed area predicted value} - \text{reference area predicted value}) / \text{reference area predicted value}] \times 100$.

^d Four fish from Pinewood River had large egg weights and lower fecundity. The results of the analyses for egg weight and fecundity are shown for the datasets that include and exclude these four fish.




Figure 7.2: Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Female Brook Stickleback Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Notes: outliers are plotted as open symbols with an x through them

Pinewood River females had proportionately larger ovaries compared to those at Sturgeon Creek, suggesting greater energy allocation towards reproduction in the Pinewood River female brook stickleback population. Relative liver size and body condition of female brook stickleback from the Pinewood River were both significantly greater than those captured at Sturgeon Creek, with the magnitude of difference for both endpoints outside of the applicable CES ($\pm 25\%$ for liver weight and $\pm 10\%$ for condition; Table 7.3 and Figure 7.2). There were low incidences of abnormalities noted for both areas. These data indicate that Pinewood River females devote more resources to energy storage than those from the Sturgeon Creek, possibly indicative that food resources available to brook stickleback at Pinewood River differed from resources available at Sturgeon Creek.

Overall, female brook stickleback collected at Pinewood River effluent-exposed area showed no difference in age, but significantly larger relative gonad size, larger relative liver weight, and higher condition compared to those captured at Sturgeon Creek.

7.3.2 Male Brook Stickleback

Male brook stickleback from the Pinewood River effluent-exposed area did not differ in age relative to those from the Surgeon Creek reference (Figure 7.1b). Males captured in both areas were all one year old (Figure 7.1b; Appendix Tables E.6 and E.7).

Gonad weight relative to adjusted body weight was similar between effluent-exposed male brook stickleback and Sturgeon Creek reference males (Table 7.3; Appendix Tables E.6 and E.7). Relative liver size and body condition of male brook stickleback were both significantly greater than those captured at Sturgeon Creek, with the magnitude of difference for both endpoints outside the CES (Table 7.3 and Figure 7.3; Appendix Tables E.6 and E.7). Similar to the female brook stickleback, there was a very low occurrence of abnormalities noted.

Overall, male brook stickleback collected at Pinewood River showed no difference in age, but significantly larger livers and body condition compared to those captured at Sturgeon Creek. The survival (age) and energy storage responses of male brook stickleback were very similar to those of females between Pinewood River and Sturgeon Creek. These responses may have reflected differences of food resources/assemblages, and/or differential energy allocation between Pinewood River and the Sturgeon Creek reference area.

7.4 Central Mudminnow

Twenty-one female central mudminnow from Pinewood River (effluent-exposed) and 22 from the Sturgeon Creek were collected during the Phase 1 spring fish survey (Appendix Tables E.8 and E.9). In addition, 22 male central mudminnow from Pinewood River (effluent-exposed) and 22 males from Sturgeon Creek were collected (Table 7.4; Appendix Tables E.10 to E.11). All






Figure 7.3: Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Male Brook Stickleback Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Notes: outliers are plotted as open symbols with an x through them

Table 7.4: Statistical Comparisons For Central Mudminnow Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference) Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Sex	Indicator	Endpoint	Variables		Sample Size		Test	ANCOVA Model Statistics			Summary Statistics ^b			Test P-value (Location)	Magnitude of Difference (%) ^c	Estimated Minimum Detectable Difference (% Relative to Reference) with $\alpha=\beta=0.1$	
			Response	Covariate	Reference	Effluent-exposed		Interaction Model	Parallel Slope Model	Covariate Value for Comparisons ^a	Statistic	Reference Area	Effluent-exposed Area			Effluent-exposed	Decrease
					Sturgeon	Pinewood		Interaction P-value	Covariate p-value								
Female	Survival	Age	Age (years)	-	22	21	M-W	-	-	-	Median	2	2	0.978	0	-38	38
	Energy Usage	Weight-at-age (Age 1 and 2 fish)	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	Age	20	19	ANCOVA	0.052	<0.001	1	Predicted Mean	2.418	3.687	0.043	53	-33	50
		Length-at-age (Age 1 and 2 fish)	log[Total Length (mm)]	Age	20	19	ANCOVA	0.038	<0.001	2		6.589	5.853		-11.2		
		Relative Gonad Weight	log[Gonad Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	21	ANCOVA	0.955	<0.001	5.15	Adjusted Mean	70.44	70.03	0.892	-0.6	-12	13
		Egg Weight	log[Egg Weight (mg)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	21	ANCOVA	0.991	0.001	5.15		90.64	90.12		-0.6		
		Relative Fecundity	log[Fecundity]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	21	ANCOVA	0.901	<0.001	5.15	Adjusted Mean	0.496	0.677	0.016	37	-31	45
											Adjusted Mean	0.993	0.994	0.004	0.038	-0.037	0.037
	Energy Storage	Relative Liver Weight	log[Liver Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	21	ANCOVA	0.301	<0.001	5.15	Adjusted Mean	460	428	0.292	-7.0	-18	22
Condition		log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	log[Total Length (mm)]	22	21	ANCOVA	0.382	<0.001	84.3	Adjusted Mean	0.139	0.158	0.022	14	-15	18	
										Adjusted Mean	4.92	5.40	<0.001	9.7	-6.8	7.3	
Male	Survival	Age	Age (years)	-	22	22	M-W	-	-	-	Median	1	1	0.356	0	-31	31
	Energy Usage	Weight-at-age (Age 1 and 2 fish)	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	Age	20	19	ANCOVA	0.969	<0.001	1	Predicted Mean	2.102	2.257	0.618	7	-34	51
		Length-at-age (Age 1 and 2 fish)	log[Total Length (mm)]	Age	20	19	ANCOVA	0.908	<0.001	2		5.051	5.423		7.3		
		Relative Gonad Weight	log[Gonad Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	22	ANCOVA	0.895	<0.001	3.17	Adjusted Mean	62.07	61.77	0.913	-0.48	-12	14
									82.38	81.98		-0.48					
	Energy Storage	Relative Liver Weight	log[Liver Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	22	ANCOVA	0.282	<0.001	3.17	Adjusted Mean	0.0460	0.0429	0.554	-6.7	-29	42
Condition		log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	log[Total Length (mm)]	22	22	ANCOVA	0.126	<0.001	69.8	Adjusted Mean	0.0454	0.0703	<0.001	55	-24	31	
										Adjusted Mean	3.01	3.35	<0.001	11	-5.9	6.3	

Location P-value < 0.1 or Interaction P-value < 0.05
 Magnitude of Difference > 25% (or > 10% for Condition), EEM effect endpoints only.
 Covariate P-value > 0.05

^a The mean value of the covariate (that corresponds to the adjusted means for the response variable) for the parallel slope ANCOVA model or the minimum and maximum values of the overlap in covariate values for the interaction ANCOVA model.

^b The median, mean, and adjusted mean are reported for Mann-Whitney, t-test and ANCOVA, respectively, and the predicted values of the regression line equations for minimum and maximum values of the covariate (where the data sets overlap) for ANCOVAs where a significant interaction (i.e., different slopes) occurs.

^c The magnitude of difference calculated as: $[(\text{exposed area mean} - \text{reference area mean}) / \text{reference area mean}] \times 100$. When there is a significant interaction in the ANCOVA, the magnitude of difference is calculated at the minimum and maximum values of overlap in covariate values as as: $[(\text{exposed area predicted value} - \text{reference area predicted value}) / \text{reference area predicted value}] \times 100$.

collected central mudminnow were subject to measurements needed to calculate the required EEM metrics, which were summarized by sex and area (Appendix Tables E.8 to E.11).

7.4.1 Female Central Mudminnow

Female central mudminnow from the effluent-exposed area did not differ in age relative to those from Sturgeon Creek (Figure 7.4a and Table 7.4). Effluent-exposed female central mudminnow ranged from age 1 to 4 years and the Sturgeon Creek females ranged from 1 to 3 years (Figure 7.4a; Appendix Tables E.8 and E.9).

Growth, as assessed using adjusted body weight-at-age was significantly greater for females captured at Pinewood River when comparing one year olds, but two year old females were slightly smaller and therefore the results are equivocal (Table 7.4 and Figure 7.5).

Relative gonad size and egg weight were both significantly larger in female central mudminnow captured in the Pinewood River than at Sturgeon Creek, with the magnitude of difference outside of applicable CES for overall gonad weight but not for egg weight, with egg weights being effectively identical (Table 7.4 and Figure 7.5; Appendix Tables E.8 and E.9). These data indicated that Pinewood River females possessed proportionally larger ovaries at a given body weight compared to those at Sturgeon Creek, suggesting greater energy allocation towards reproduction. Relative liver size and body condition of female central mudminnow captured at Pinewood River were both significantly greater than those captured at Sturgeon Creek, however the magnitude of difference for both were within the applicable CES suggesting that they may not be ecologically meaningful (Table 7.4 and Figure 7.5; Appendix Tables E.8 and E.9).

Overall, female central mudminnow collected at Pinewood River showed no difference in age, significantly larger relative gonad size, larger relative liver size, and greater body condition compared to those captured at Sturgeon Creek. The survival, reproductive, and energy storage responses in female central mudminnow were very similar to those of brook stickleback between Pinewood River and Sturgeon Creek. As indicated previously, the responses shown in female central mudminnow may have reflected differing food resources/assemblages and/or differential energy allocation between the Pinewood River and the Sturgeon Creek reference area.

7.4.2 Male Central Mudminnow

Male central mudminnow from the effluent-exposed area were of similar age to those from the reference area (Figure 7.4b and Table 7.4). Male central mudminnow ranged from 1 to 4 years at the effluent-exposed area and 1 to 3 years at the reference area (Figure 7.4b; Appendix Tables E.10 and E.11).







Figure 7.4: Age frequency Distributions of a) Female and b) Male Central Mudminnow in Sturgeon Creek and Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, April 2017




Figure 7.5: Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Female Central Mudminnow Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Notes: outliers are plotted as open symbols with an x through them

Relative gonad size was not significantly different for male central mudminnow from the Pinewood River compared to those from the reference area (Table 7.4). Relative liver size and body condition of male central mudminnow were both significantly greater than those captured at Sturgeon Creek, with the magnitude of difference for both endpoints outside the applicable CES (Table 7.4 and Figure 7.6; Appendix Tables E.10 and E.11). No abnormalities were observed in the male central mudminnow during the spring survey.

Overall, male central mudminnow collected at the Pinewood River showed no difference in age, but significantly larger livers and body condition compared to those captured at Sturgeon Creek. The survival (age) and energy storage responses shown in male central mudminnow were very similar to those shown in female central mudminnow and both sexes of brook stickleback between Pinewood River and Sturgeon Creek. As indicated previously, the responses shown in male central mudminnow may have reflected differences of food resources/assemblages, and/or differential energy allocation between Pinewood River and the Sturgeon Creek reference area.

7.5 Summary

No major differences in community composition were observed between the two fishing areas, although the effluent-exposed area on the Pinewood River supported the highest species diversity.

Female brook stickleback downstream of the RRP differed significantly from reference female brook stickleback on the basis of relative gonad size, relative live size, and body condition, with the magnitude of differences exceeding the applicable CES. A similar pattern was observed for effluent-exposed female central mudminnow with significant differences in relative gonad size, relative liver size, and body condition; however only relative gonad size was outside of the applicable CES. Effluent-exposed male brook stickleback differed significantly from males captured at the Sturgeon Creek reference on the basis of larger relative liver size and body condition, with the magnitude of difference exceeding the applicable CES for both endpoints. Similarly, male effluent-exposed central mudminnow showed the exact same pattern as the male brook stickleback in terms of significance and magnitude of difference outside of applicable CES.

Overall, fish downstream of the RRP site during the Phase 1 EEM generally showed a similar pattern of survival, reproductive, and energy storage responses when compared to fish captured at the Sturgeon Creek reference area that is suggestive of greater food resource availability.






Figure 7.6: Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Male Central Mudminnow Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Notes: outliers are plotted as open symbols with an x through them

8 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

8.1 Conclusions

The RRP Phase 1 EEM field study was implemented in April and September 2017 and provided an integrated assessment of the influence of effluent discharge on the chemical and biological condition of the aquatic receiving environment. The effluent-exposed area on Pinewood River was compared to a reference area located on the Sturgeon Creek, south east of the mine.

Sublethal toxicity tests conducted on grab samples of RRP final effluent at Final Discharge Point 2 (FDP2), and Final Discharge Point 3 (FDP3) over the Phase 1 EEM period (2016 to 2017) indicated that effluent was generally of high quality with the lowest reported effects occurring at effluent concentrations of 37% and 95% for FDP2 and FDP3 respectively, which is above calculated effluent concentrations in the Pinewood River.

Routine water quality monitoring data show that the mine effluent is detectable in Pinewood River, particularly through higher hardness, conductivity, calcium, potassium, and sodium in the effluent-exposed area relative to upstream. Effects on water quality during the field surveys followed a similar pattern that was observed during the routine water quality.

The inorganic sediment fraction was composed predominantly of silt and clay with some sand. There were significant differences between the effluent-exposed and reference area sediments on the basis of total organic carbon, as well as the silt and clay fractions, with significantly more TOC and silt in the effluent-exposed area, and significantly less clay. Sediment chemistry showed two analytes were greater in the effluent-exposed area than in both the reference area and PSQG LEL values (manganese and phosphorus) however this maybe a natural difference between the two areas rather than a mine-related effect. Concentrations of TKN, chromium, and nickel were similar between both areas as well as being elevated compared to PSQG values indicating that these substances are also naturally elevated in these two watercourses.

The benthic invertebrate community in the effluent-exposed area was similar to the reference area for all EEM metrics (mean organism density, number of taxa, and Simpson's Evenness) except Bray-Curtis. Also, Chironomids and Oligochaeta showed comparable percent abundances and were not significantly different among areas. The proportion of pollution-intolerant EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera) were similar in percent abundance in the effluent-exposed area compared to the Surgeon Creek, however they were in low abundances for both areas. Correspondence Analysis showed a difference in the Pinewood River effluent-exposed area compared to the community in Sturgeon Creek. The subtle differences observed in the community structure between the areas were most likely related to differences in natural



habitat factors between watersheds (i.e., factors not controlled for in this study) rather than mine-related impacts.

The fish survey showed no major differences in community composition between the two areas although the effluent-exposed area on Pinewood River supported the highest diversity. Female brook stickleback downstream of the RRP differed significantly from reference female brook stickleback for relative gonad size, relative liver size, and body condition, with the magnitude of differences outside of the applicable CES. A similar pattern was observed for effluent-exposed female central mudminnow with significant differences in relative gonad size, relative liver size, and body condition, however only relative gonad size was outside of the applicable CES. Effluent-exposed male brook stickleback were significantly different from male brook stickleback captured at the Sturgeon Creek reference based on larger relative liver size and body condition, with the magnitude of difference outside of the applicable CES for both endpoints. Similarly, male effluent-exposed central mudminnow showed the exact same pattern as the male brook stickleback (larger relative liver size and body condition) in terms of significance and magnitude of difference outside of applicable CES. Although significant differences for several endpoints for both sexes and species were outside of the applicable CES, these differences may be a result of differing food resources/assemblages between the Pinewood River and Sturgeon Creek and not a result of mine-effluent.

8.2 Recommendations

Based on the findings of the Phase 1 RRP EEM study conducted in April and September 2017, it is recommended that the mine implements the Phase 2 EEM biological study (“periodic monitoring - surveillance”) three years after Phase 1. A specific recommendation for RRP’s Phase 2 EEM is to use the same sentinel fish species and reference areas used in the Phase 1 EEM. This will allow for consistent Phase to Phase comparisons. Additionally, once a permanent final discharge point has been established, the effluent plume should be fully characterized to determine the magnitude and extent of the effluent within the Pinewood River.



9 REFERENCES

- AMEC. 2012. Aquatic Resources 2011 Baseline Investigation. Prepared for Rainy River Resources Ltd. June 2012.
- AMEC. 2014. Rainy River Project: Final Environmental Assessment Report (Environmental Impact Statement) Version 2. January 2014.
- CEAA (Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency). 2015. Rainy River Project Environmental Assessment Report. January 2015. Catalogue Number: En106-133/2015E-PDF.
- CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 2010. Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Ammonia. In: Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines, 1999, Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, Winnipeg.
- CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 2018. Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life. Accessed at <http://st-ts.ccme.ca/>, February 2018.
- Clarke, A.H. 1981. The freshwater molluscs of Canada. National Museums of Canada, Ottawa 446 pp.
- Edmunds, G.F. Jr., S.L. Jensen and L. Berner. 1976. The Mayflies of North and Central America. Univ. Minnesota Press, Minneapolis. 330 pp.
- Environment Canada. 1998. Biological Test Method: Toxicity Tests Using Early Life Stages of Salmonid Fish (Rainbow Trout). Environmental Technology Centre, Ottawa, Ontario. Environmental Protection Series. Report EPS 1/RM/28. Second Edition. July 1998.
- Environment Canada. 2007a. Biological Test Method: Reference Method for Determining Acute Lethality of Effluents to Rainbow Trout. Environmental Technology Centre, Ottawa, ON. July 1990 (with May 1996 and May 2007 amendments). Report EPS 1/RM/13.
- Environment Canada. 2007b. Biological Test Method: Test of Reproduction and Survival Using the Cladoceran *Ceriodaphnia dubia*. Environmental Technology Centre, Ottawa, Ontario. Environmental Protection Series. Report EPS 1/RM/21. Second Edition. February 2007.
- Environment Canada. 2007c. Biological Test Method: Growth Inhibition Test Using a Freshwater Alga. Environmental Technology Centre, Ottawa, Ontario. Report EPS 1/RM/25. Second Edition. March 2007.
- Environment Canada. 2007d. Biological Test Method: Test for Measuring the Inhibition of Growth Using the Freshwater Macrophyte *Lemna minor*. Environmental Technology Centre, Ottawa, Ontario. Environmental Protection Series. Report 1/RM/37. Second Edition. January 2007.
- Environment Canada. 2011. Biological Test Method: Test of Larval Growth and Survival Using Fathead Minnows. Environmental Protection Series. Report EPS 1/RM/22. Second Edition. February 2011.
- Environment Canada. 2012. Metal Mining Environmental Effects Monitoring (EEM) Technical Guidance Document. National EEM Office, Environment Canada, Ottawa, ON.
- Government of Canada. 2016. Wateroffice: real-time hydrometric data. Retrieved July 29, 2016 from https://wateroffice.ec.gc.ca/index_e.html.
- Hodges, J.L., and Lehmann, E.L. 1956. The efficiency of some non-parametric competitors of the t-test. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 27: 324-335.



- Holm E., Mandrak, N.E., and BurrIDGE, M.E. 2010. The ROM Field Guide to Freshwater Fishes of Ontario. Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Ontario.
- KCB (Klohn Crippen Berger). 2011. Rainy River Gold Project Baseline Report 2008 - 2010. Prepared for Rainy River Resources Ltd. June 2011.
- Merritt, R.L., and K.M. Cummins. 1984. An Introduction to the Aquatic Insects of North America. 2nd Ed. Kendall/Hunt Publishing, Dubuque. 718 pp.
- Merritt, R. W., K. W. Cummins, and M. B. Berg. 2008. An Introduction to the aquatic insects of North America. 4th edition. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company.
- Minitab 2017. Minitab 18 Statistical Software. Minitab, Inc. State College, PA.
- Minnow (Minnow Environmental Inc.). 2016. Phase 1 Environmental Effects Monitoring Study Design for the New Gold Rainy River Project. Prepared for: New Gold Inc. November 2016.
- OMOEE (Ontario Ministry of Environment and Energy). 1994. Water Management: Policies, Guidelines, Provincial Water Quality Objectives of the Ministry of Environment and Energy. July, 1994. Reprinted February 1999.
- Ruxton, G.D. 2006. The unequal variance t-test is an underused alternative to Student's t-test and the Mann–Whitney U test. Behavioral Ecology. 17: 668-690.
- SDI (Spatial Data Infrastructure) 2015. Ontario Flow Assessment Tool (OFAT). Retrieved August 10, 2016 from <http://www.ontario.ca/page/watershed-flow-assessment-tool>.
- US EPA (United State Environmental Protection Agency). 1985. Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia – 1984. Office of Water Regulations and Standards Criteria and Standards Division Washington, DC. EPA 440/5-85-001.
- Weiderholm, T. (ed.) 1983. Chironomidae of the Holarctic region. Keys and diagnoses, Part 1. - Larvae ent. Scand. Suppl. 19. 457 pp.
- Wiggins, G.B. 1996. Larvae of the North American caddisfly genera (Trichoptera), 2nd Ed.



APPENDIX A
CORRESPONDENCE RELATED TO THE
PHASE 1 EEM STUDY DESIGN

APPENDIX B
DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT

APPENDIX B DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT

B1	INTRODUCTION	1
B1.1	Overview	1
B1.2	Background.....	1
B1.3	Types of Quality Control Samples	2
B2	WATER SAMPLES	4
B2.1	Lowest Detection Limits	4
B2.2	Field Duplicate Samples	4
B3	SEDIMENT SAMPLES	5
B3.1	Lowest Detection Limits	5
B3.2	Field Duplicate Samples	5
B4	BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE SAMPLES	6
B4.1	Subsampling Accuracy and Precision.....	6
B4.2	Organism Recovery	6
B5	FISH SAMPLES	7
B5.1	Fecundity	7
B6	DATA QUALITY STATEMENT	8



B1 INTRODUCTION

B1.1 Overview

Data Quality Assessment (DQA) was conducted on data collected as part of Phase 1 Environmental Effects Monitoring study implemented for the New Gold Rainy River Project. The objective of DQA is to define the overall quality of the data presented in the report, and, by extension, the confidence with which the data can be used to derive conclusions

B1.2 Background

A variety of factors can influence the chemical and biological measurements made in an environmental study and thus affect the accuracy and/or precision of the data. Inconsistencies in sampling or laboratory methods, use of instruments that are inadequately calibrated or which cannot measure to the desired level of accuracy or precision, and contamination of samples in the field or laboratory are just some of the potential factors that can lead to the reporting of data that do not accurately reflect actual environmental conditions. Depending on the magnitude of the problem, inaccuracy or imprecision have the potential to affect the reliability of any conclusions made from the data. Therefore, it is important to ensure that monitoring programs incorporate appropriate steps to control the non-natural sources of data variability (i.e., minimize the variability that does not reflect natural spatial and temporal variability in the environment) and thus assure the quality of the data.

Data quality as a concept is meaningful only when it relates to the intended use of the data. That is, one must know the context in which the data will be interpreted in order to establish a relevant basis for judging whether or not the data set is adequate. DQA involves comparison of actual field and laboratory measurement performance to data quality objectives (DQOs) established for a particular study, such as evaluation of lowest detection limits, and data precision (based on field duplicate samples), along with proper scrutiny of all laboratory data reports.

DQOs were established at the outset of the field program that reflect reasonable and achievable performance expectations (Appendix Table B.1). Programs involving a large amount of samples and analytes usually result in some results that exceed the DQOs. This is particularly so for multi-element scans (e.g., ICP scans for metals) since the analytical conditions are not necessarily optimal for every element included in the scan. Generally, scan results may be considered acceptable if no more than 20% of the parameters fail to meet the DQOs. Overall, the intent of comparing data to DQOs was not to reject any measurement that did not meet the DQO, but to ensure any questionable data received more scrutiny to



determine what effect, if any, this had on interpretation of results within the context of this project.

B1.3 Types of Quality Control Samples

Several types of quality control (QC) samples were assessed based on samples collected (or prepared) in the field and laboratory. These samples, and a description of each, include the following:

- **Blanks** are samples of de-ionized water and/or appropriate reagent(s) that are handled and analyzed the same way as regular samples. These samples will reflect any contamination of samples occurring in the laboratory (in the case of laboratory or method blanks). Analyte concentrations should be non-detectable although a data quality objective of twice the method detection limit allows for slight “noise” around the detection limit.
- **Field Duplicates** are replicate samples collected from a randomly selected field station using identical collection and handling methods or by splitting the same sample which are then analyzed separately in the laboratory. The duplicate samples are handled and analyzed in an identical manner in the laboratory. The data from field replicate samples reflect natural variability, as well as the variability associated with sample collection methods, and therefore provide a measure of field precision.
- **Laboratory Duplicates** are replicate sub-samples created in the laboratory from randomly selected field samples which are sub-sampled and then analyzed independently using identical analytical methods. For fish tissue, laboratory duplicates represent separate aliquots of material collected after sample homogenization. The laboratory duplicate sample results reflect any variability introduced during laboratory sample handling and analysis and thus provide a measure of laboratory precision.
- **Spike Recovery Samples** are created in the laboratory by adding a known amount/concentration of a given analyte (or mixture of analytes) to a randomly selected test sample previously divided to create two sub-samples. The spiked and regular sub-samples are then analyzed in an identical manner. The spike recovery represents the difference between the measured spike amount (total amount in spiked sample minus amount in original sample) relative to the known spike amount (as a percentage). Two types of spike recovery samples are commonly analyzed. Spiked blanks (or blank spikes) are created using laboratory control materials whereas matrix spikes are created using field-collected samples. The analysis of spiked samples provides an indication of the accuracy of analytical results.



- **Certified Reference Materials and QC Standards** are samples containing known chemical concentrations that are processed and analyzed along with batches of environmental samples. The sample results are then compared to target results to provide a measure of analytical accuracy. The results are reported as the percent of the known amount that was recovered in the analysis.

Two types of QC were applied to benthic invertebrate community samples as follows:

- **Organism Recovery** Checks for benthic invertebrate community samples involve the re-processing of previously sorted material from a randomly selected sample to determine the number of invertebrates that were not recovered during the original sample processing. The reprocessing is conducted by an analyst not involved during the original processing to reduce any bias. This check allows the determination of accuracy through assessment of recovery efficiency.
- **Sub-Sampling Error** is assessed for studies in which benthic invertebrate community samples require sub-sampling (due to excessive sample volume and/or invertebrate density). By comparing the numbers of benthic invertebrates recovered between at least two sub-samples, this measure provides an evaluation of how effective the sub-sampling method was in evenly dividing the original sample. Therefore, sub-sampling error provides a measure of analytical accuracy and precision. The processing of entire benthic invertebrate community samples in representative sample fractions also allows an evaluation of sub-sampling accuracy.

One additional types of QC measures was applied to the fish fecundity samples as follows:

- **Egg Re-count** for collected fish gonad tissue involves the reprocessing of previously counted eggs to ensure that the initial count was accurate. The re-count is completed on a randomly selected sample and reprocessing is conducted by an analyst not involved during the original count to reduce any bias.



B2 WATER SAMPLES

B2.1 Lowest Detection Limits

Target laboratory lowest detection limits (LDL) for water sample analyses were established at levels below all potentially applicable water quality guidelines (Appendix Table B.2). All reported LDLs were at or below the applicable water quality guidelines meaning that sample data for this project could be reliably interpreted relative to the guidelines.

B2.2 Field Duplicate Samples

Two sets of duplicate water samples were collected in the field (one in April and one in the September), which showed good agreement in analyte concentrations (Appendix Table B.3). In the April sample, one analyte that did not achieve the DQO, this was total dissolved solids. In the September sample, four analytes did not achieve the DQO; total ammonia, nitrate, sulfate, and sulfur. Although total dissolved solids, total ammonia, sulfate, and sulfur did not achieve the DQO, the absolute difference in concentration between the duplicate samples was very low. Nitrate also failed to meet the DQO and had relatively high absolute differences in concentration; this is possibly because the duplicate sample was not fully preserved, therefore microbial action continued, leading to continued nitrogen fixation (i.e. increased nitrate). Overall, the data suggest that reported sample data were reasonably precise representations of conditions at the time of sampling.



B3 SEDIMENT SAMPLES

B3.1 Lowest Detection Limits

Target laboratory lowest detection limits (LDL) for sediment sample analyses were established at levels below all potentially applicable sediment quality guidelines (Appendix Table B.4). None of the reported LDLs were at or above the target concentrations meaning that sample data for this project could be reliably interpreted relative to the guidelines (Sediment Quality Guidelines; Appendix Table B.4).

B3.2 Field Duplicate Samples

One field duplicate sediment sample was collected for this project. All parameters achieved close agreement indicating good precision (Appendix Table B.5).



B4 BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE SAMPLES

B4.1 Subsampling Accuracy and Precision

Three samples were fractioned and sorted in its entirety for QC purposes. The DQO of 20% was met for both precision and accuracy for two of the three samples, the lab indicated that the probability of meeting the accuracy criteria is reduced, due to the low organism densities. (Appendix Table B.6a and Table B.6c). Overall, the number of organisms in each fraction showed close agreement.

B4.2 Organism Recovery

The data quality objective for percent organism recovery was met for both samples that were re-sorted (Appendix Table B.6b).



B5 FISH SAMPLES

B5.1 Fecundity

The relative percent differences between original and resorted fecundity estimates, based on five brook stickleback and four central mudminnow ovaries, were good (Appendix Table B.7). All but three samples achieved the DQO. Overall, the duplicate results indicated good precision.



B6 DATA QUALITY STATEMENT

The quality of data for this project was adequate to serve the project objective.



Table B.1: Data Quality Objectives for Environmental Samples Collected for the RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Quality Control Measure	Quality Control Sample Type	Study Component			
		Water Quality	Sediment Quality	Benthic Invertebrate Community	Fish Fecundity
Lowest Detection Limits (LDL)	Comparison actual LDL versus target LDL	LDL for each variable should be at least as low as applicable guidelines, ideally $\leq 1/10$ th guideline value ^a	LDL for each variable should be at least as low as applicable guidelines, ideally $\leq 1/10$ th guideline value ^a	n/a	n/a
Field Precision	Field Duplicates	$\leq 25\%$ RPD ^b	$\leq 40\%$ RPD	n/a	n/a
Laboratory Precision	Sub-Sampling Precision	n/a	n/a	$\leq 20\%$ difference between sub-samples	n/a
	Fecundity Estimate	n/a	n/a	n/a	$\pm 5\%$ RPD
Accuracy	Sub-Sampling Accuracy	n/a	n/a	Subsample estimate is within 20% of total abundance	n/a
	Organism Recovery	n/a	n/a	$\geq 90\%$	n/a

^a or below predictions, if applicable and no guideline exists for the substance.

^b RPD - Relative Percent Difference

Note: n/a - not applicable

Table B.2: Laboratory Lowest Detection Limits (LDLs) Relative to Targets and to Water Quality Guidelines

	Analytes	Units	Lowest Detection Limit	Water Quality Criteria
			Achieved	Ontario Water Quality Objective ^a
Non-Metals	Radium-226	Bq/L	0.010	1.0
	Hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	0.5	-
	Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	0.02	10.25
	Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	10	-
	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	2	-
	Alkalinity (Total as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	2	-
	Strong Acid Dissoc. Cyanide (CN)	mg/L	0.0020	0.005
	Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.020	-
	Nitrate plus Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.040	-
	Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.010	-
	Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	0.050	0.30
ICP Metals	Total Aluminum (Al)	mg/L	0.003	0.075
	Total Antimony (Sb)	mg/L	0.0001	0.020
	Total Arsenic (As)	mg/L	0.0001	0.005
	Total Barium (Ba)	mg/L	0.00005	-
	Total Beryllium (Be)	mg/L	0.0001	11
	Total Bismuth (Bi)	mg/L	0.00005	-
	Total Boron (B)	mg/L	0.01	0.20
	Total Cadmium (Cd)	mg/L	0.00001	0.00050
	Total Chromium (Cr)	mg/L	0.0001	0.0010
	Total Cobalt (Co)	mg/L	0.00010	0.00090
	Total Copper (Cu)	mg/L	0.0005	0.0050
	Total Iron (Fe)	mg/L	0.01	0.30
	Total Lead (Pb)	mg/L	0.0001	0.0050
	Total Lithium (Li)	mg/L	0.001	-
	Total Manganese (Mn)	mg/L	0.0001	-
	Total Mercury (Hg)	mg/L	0.00001	0.00020
	Total Molybdenum (Mo)	mg/L	0.0001	0.040
	Total Nickel (Ni)	mg/L	0.0005	0.025
	Total Selenium (Se)	mg/L	0.00005	0.1
	Total Silicon (Si)	mg/L	0.1	-
	Total Silver (Ag)	mg/L	0.00001	0.00010
	Total Strontium (Sr)	mg/L	0.0002	-
	Total Thallium (Tl)	mg/L	0.00001	0.00030
	Total Tin (Sn)	mg/L	0.0001	-
	Total Titanium (Ti)	mg/L	0.0003	-
	Tungsten (W)	mg/L	0.00010	0.030
	Total Uranium (U)	mg/L	0.00001	0.0050
	Total Vanadium (V)	mg/L	0.0005	0.0060
	Total Zinc (Zn)	mg/L	0.003	0.020
	Total Zirconium (Zr)	mg/L	0.00006	0.0040
	Total Calcium (Ca)	mg/L	0.05	-
	Total Magnesium (Mg)	mg/L	0.005	-
	Total Potassium (K)	mg/L	0.05	-
Total Sodium (Na)	mg/L	0.05	-	
Total Sulphur (S)	mg/L	0.5	-	

Note: Highlighted Values Indicate LDLs that were Above the Water Quality Guideline.

^a PWQO (Ontario Provincial Water Quality Objective).

Table B.3: Field Duplicate Results for Analysis of Water Samples

Analytes		Units	ALS Job Number L1917630			ALS Job Number L1991701		
			Station: PinR-EXP (Apr. 24, 2017)			Station: PinR-EXP (Sept. 13, 2017)		
			Replicate 1	Replicate 2	RPD ^{a,b}	Replicate 1	Replicate 2	RPD ^{a,b}
Non-Metals	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	mg/L	190	187	2	260	245	6
	Ammonia, Total (as N)	mg/L	0.077	0.078	1	0.027	0.053	65
	Chloride (Cl)	mg/L	10.9	10.7	2	12.5	12.4	1
	Fluoride (F)	mg/L	0.07	0.07	4	0.081	0.08	0
	Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	0.89	0.88	2	0.102	2.95	187
	Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	0.023	0.023	0	<0.010	<0.010	0
	Phosphorus (P)-Total	mg/L	0.0185	0.0163	13	0.0324	0.0337	4
	Sulfate (SO4)	mg/L	16.8	16.5	2	1.79	2.44	31
	Hardness (as CaCO3)	mg/L	191	182	5	257	262	2
	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	<2.0	2	0	4.6	4.3	7
	Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	236	163	37	308	305	1
	Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	mg/L	<0.0020	<0.0020	0	<0.0020	<0.0020	0
	Cyanide, Total	mg/L	<0.0020	<0.0020	0	<0.0020	<0.0020	0
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	16.6	16.3	2	28.7	29.3	2
	Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	16.7	17.0	2	29.1	29.5	1
Radium	Bq/L	<0.010	<0.010	0	<0.010	<0.010	0	
ICP Metals	Aluminum (Al)-Total	mg/L	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Antimony (Sb)-Total	mg/L	0.0012	0.0013	4	0.0002	0.0002	6
	Arsenic (As)-Total	mg/L	0.001	0.001	5	0.001	0.002	5
	Barium (Ba)-Total	mg/L	0.02	0.02	3	0.02	0.02	3
	Beryllium (Be)-Total	mg/L	<0.00010	<0.00010	0	<0.00010	<0.00010	0
	Bismuth (Bi)-Total	mg/L	<0.000050	<0.000050	0	<0.000050	<0.000050	0
	Boron (B)-Total	mg/L	0.026	0.027	4	0.015	0.015	0
	Cadmium (Cd)-Total	mg/L	0.000	0.000	24	0.0000056	<0.0000050	6
	Calcium (Ca)-Total	mg/L	42.0	42.7	2	58.4	57.9	1
	Cesium (Cs)-Total	mg/L	0.000013	0.000013	0	0.000011	0.000013	17
	Chromium (Cr)-Total	mg/L	0.00023	0.00024	4	0.00032	0.00034	6
	Cobalt (Co)-Total	mg/L	0.00015	0.00015	0	0.00025	0.00027	8
	Copper (Cu)-Total	mg/L	0.001	0.001	3	<0.00050	<0.00050	0
	Iron (Fe)-Total	mg/L	0.196	0.2	3	0.201	0.2	9
	Lead (Pb)-Total	mg/L	0.000059	0.000052	13	0.000074	0.000068	8
	Lithium (Li)-Total	mg/L	0.0097	0.0101	4	0.0115	0.0119	3
	Magnesium (Mg)-Total	mg/L	18.500	19.700	6	28.500	29.700	4
	Manganese (Mn)-Total	mg/L	0.028	0.029	1	0.084	0.092	9
	Mercury (Hg)-Total	mg/L	<0.0000050	<0.0000050	0	<0.0000050	<0.0000050	0
	Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	mg/L	0.0026	0.0026	0	0.000185	0.000187	1
	Nickel (Ni)-Total	mg/L	0.00117	0.00122	4	0.00111	0.00114	3
	Phosphorus (P)-Total	mg/L	<0.050	<0.050	0	0.1	0.1	19
	Potassium (K)-Total	mg/L	3.080	3.130	2	2.130	2.190	3
	Rubidium (Rb)-Total	mg/L	0.0019	0.00195	3	0.00158	0.00156	1
	Selenium (Se)-Total	mg/L	0.0002	0.0002	7	0.00018	0.00017	3
	Silicon (Si)-Total	mg/L	1.40	1.43	2	2.66	2.79	5
	Silver (Ag)-Total	mg/L	<0.000010	<0.000010	0	<0.000010	<0.000010	0
	Sodium (Na)-Total	mg/L	8.92	9.15	3	9.17	9.45	3
	Strontium (Sr)-Total	mg/L	0.158	0.155	2	0.124	0.124	0
	Sulfur (S)-Total	mg/L	6.06	5.75	5	1.20	1.67	33
	Tellurium (Te)-Total	mg/L	<0.00020	<0.00020	0	<0.00020	<0.00020	0
	Thallium (Tl)-Total	mg/L	<0.000010	<0.000010	0	<0.000010	<0.000010	0
	Thorium (Th)-Total	mg/L	<0.00010	<0.00010	0	<0.00010	<0.00010	0
Tin (Sn)-Total	mg/L	<0.00010	<0.00010	0	<0.00010	<0.00010	0	
Titanium (Ti)-Total	mg/L	0.00217	0.0026	18	0.00279	0.00303	8	
Tungsten (W)-Total	mg/L	<0.00010	<0.00010	0	<0.00010	<0.00010	0	
Uranium (U)-Total	mg/L	0.00184	0.00187	2	0.000525	0.000516	2	
Vanadium (V)-Total	mg/L	0.00079	0.00078	1	0.00079	0.00082	4	
Zinc (Zn)-Total	mg/L	<0.0030	<0.0030	0	0.0038	<0.0030	12	
Zirconium (Zr)-Total	mg/L	0.00024	0.000243	1	0.00017	0.000165	3	

Note: Highlighted Values did not Meet the Data Quality Objective of ≤ 25% Relative Percent Difference.

^a Relative Percent Difference = [absolute (replicate1-replicate2)/average (replicate1,replicate2)]*100

^b The lowest detection limit (LDL) value was used in instances where values less than the LDL were reported.

Table B.4: Laboratory Lowest Detection Limits (LDL) for Sediment Samples Relative to Targets and to Guidelines

	Analytes	Units	Achieved LDL	Sediment Quality Guidelines	
				Ontario ^a	
				LEL ^b	SEL ^c
Non-Metals	Moisture	%	0.1	-	-
	Total Organic Carbon	%	0.05	1.0	10.0
	Gravel	%	1.0	-	-
	Sand	%	1.0	-	-
	Silt	%	1.0	-	-
	Clay	%	1.0	-	-
ICP Metals	Aluminum (Al)	µg/g	50	-	-
	Antimony (Sb)	µg/g	0.1	-	-
	Arsenic (As)	µg/g	0.1	6	33
	Barium (Ba)	µg/g	0.5	-	-
	Beryllium (Be)	µg/g	0.1	-	-
	Bismuth (Bi)	µg/g	0.2	-	-
	Boron (B)	µg/g	5	-	-
	Cadmium (Cd)	µg/g	0.02	0.6	10
	Calcium (Ca)	µg/g	50	-	-
	Chromium (Cr)	µg/g	0.5	26	110
	Cobalt (Co)	µg/g	0.1	-	-
	Copper (Cu)	µg/g	0.5	16	110
	Iron (Fe)	µg/g	50	20,000	40,000
	Lead (Pb)	µg/g	0.5	31	250
	Lithium (Li)	µg/g	2	-	-
	Magnesium (Mg)	µg/g	20	-	-
	Manganese (Mn)	µg/g	1	460	1,100
	Mercury (Hg)	µg/g	0.005	0.2	2
	Molybdenum (Mo)	µg/g	0.1	-	-
	Nickel (Ni)	µg/g	0.5	16	75
	Phosphorus (P)	µg/g	50	600	2,000
	Potassium (K)	µg/g	100	-	-
	Selenium (Se)	µg/g	0.2	-	-
	Silver (Ag)	µg/g	0.1	-	-
	Sodium (Na)	µg/g	50	-	-
	Strontium (Sr)	µg/g	0.5	-	-
	Sulfur (S)	µg/g	1,000	-	-
	Thallium (Tl)	µg/g	0.05	-	-
	Tin (Sn)	µg/g	1.0	-	-
	Titanium (Ti)	µg/g	1	-	-
	Tungsten (W)	µg/g	0.5	-	-
	Uranium (U)	µg/g	0.05	-	-
Vanadium (V)	µg/g	0.2	-	-	
Zinc (Zn)	µg/g	2	120	820	
Zirconium (Zr)	µg/g	1	-	-	

Note: Highlighted Values Indicate Target LDL was not Achieved.

^a Ontario Provincial Sediment Quality Criteria (PSQG)

^b Lowest effect level (LEL)

^c Severe effect level (SEL)

Table B.5: Field Duplicate Results for Analysis of Sediment Samples

Analytes		Units	ALS Job Number L1995196		
			Station ID PinR-EXP-4 (September 14, 2017)		
			Replicate 1	Replicate 2	RPD ^{a,b}
Non-Metals	Moisture	%	54	60	11
	Total Organic Carbon	mg/kg	3.46	3.26	6
	Gravel	%	<1.0	<1.0	0
	Sand	%	31	32	5
	Silt	%	45	41	9
	Clay	%	25	27	8
ICP Metals	Aluminum (Al)	µg/g	9,350	9,590	3
	Antimony (Sb)	µg/g	0.11	0.10	10
	Arsenic (As)	µg/g	2.2	2.1	6
	Barium (Ba)	µg/g	61	62	1
	Beryllium (Be)	µg/g	0.44	0.40	10
	Bismuth (Bi)	µg/g	<0.20	<0.20	0
	Boron (B)	µg/g	7	6	17
	Cadmium (Cd)	µg/g	0.20	0.19	7
	Calcium (Ca)	µg/g	11,600	9,080	24
	Chromium (Cr)	µg/g	21	21	0
	Cobalt (Co)	µg/g	7	7	2
	Copper (Cu)	µg/g	10	10	2
	Iron (Fe)	µg/g	12,600	12,500	1
	Lead (Pb)	µg/g	6	6	7
	Lithium (Li)	µg/g	12	12	4
	Magnesium (Mg)	µg/g	6,770	6,100	10
	Manganese (Mn)	µg/g	315	303	4
	Mercury (Hg)	µg/g	0.05	0.03	40
	Molybdenum (Mo)	µg/g	0.35	0.29	19
	Nickel (Ni)	µg/g	15	15	0
	Phosphorus (P)	µg/g	496	469	6
	Potassium (K)	µg/g	1,040	1,060	2
	Selenium (Se)	µg/g	0.34	0.28	19
	Silver (Ag)	µg/g	<0.10	<0.10	0
	Sodium (Na)	µg/g	96	84	13
	Strontium (Sr)	µg/g	22	20	10
	Sulfur (S)	µg/g	<1,000	<1,000	0
	Thallium (Tl)	µg/g	0.12	0.11	10
Tin (Sn)	µg/g	<1.0	<1.0	0	
Titanium (Ti)	µg/g	137	128	7	
Tungsten (W)	µg/g	<0.50	<0.50	0	
Uranium (U)	µg/g	1	1	12	
Vanadium (V)	µg/g	25	26	2	
Zinc (Zn)	µg/g	50	48	4	
Zirconium (Zr)	µg/g	5	4	7	

Note: Highlighted Values did not Meet the Data Quality Objective of ≤ 40% Relative Percent Difference.

^a Relative Percent Difference = [absolute (replicate1-replicate2)/average (replicate1,replicate2)]*100

^b The laboratory detection limit (LDL) value was used in instances where values less than the LDL were reported.

Table B.6a: Calculation of Subsampling Error for Benthic Macroinvertebrate Samples

Station ID	Whole Organisms	Number of Organisms in Fraction 1	Number of Organisms in Fraction 2	Number of Organisms in Fraction 3	Number of Organisms in Fraction 4	Actual Density	Precision % range		Accuracy	
									min	max
StuC-REF-2	0	36	38	0	0	74	5.3	-	2.7	2.7
StuC-REF-3	0	24	31	0	0	55	22.6	-	12.7	12.7
PinR-EXP-5	0	14	24	0	0	38	41.7	-	26.3	26.3

Note: Highlighted Values did not Meet the Data Quality Objective of $\leq 20\%$ Difference.

min = minimum absolute % error; max = maximum absolute % error.

Table B.6b: Percent Recovery of Benthic Macroinvertebrates

Station ID	Number of Organisms Recovered (initial sort)	Number of Organisms in Re-sort	Percent Recovery
StuC-REF-4	42	42	100.0%
PinR-EXP-2	89	94	94.7%
Average % Recovery			97.4%

Note: Highlighted Values did not Meet the Data Quality Objective of 90% Recovery.

Table B.6c: Sample Fractions Sorted from RRP Phase 1 EEM Samples

Station ID	Fraction Sorted	Station	Fraction Sorted
StuC-REF-1	1/16	PinR-EXP-1	1/16
StuC-REF-2	1/8 ^a	PinR-EXP-2	1/16
StuC-REF-3	1/8 ^a	PinR-EXP-3	1/16
StuC-REF-4	1/16	PinR-EXP-4	1/16
StuC-REF-5	1/16	PinR-EXP-5	1/8 ^a

^a three eighths sorted for subsampling error calculations.

QA/QC Notes

Reported fractions averaged 4 hours to sort due to high quantities of organic matter. ZEAS has shown that subsampling precision and accuracy are density dependent (Zaranko and Keene 2005). Specifically, small absolute differences between subsampled fractions become increasingly large, when expressed as a percentage of total organisms, as organism densities decline. Therefore, the probability of meeting precision and accuracy criteria is reduced in samples with low organism densities (i.e., <150 organisms/subsample). It would take an extraordinary effort (>20 hours) to report accuracy on 1/8ths or smaller fractions. Based on the low densities, there would be a low probability of attaining the accuracy criteria.

Zaranko, D.T. and J. Keene. 2005. Are the costs to meet environmental effects monitoring (EEM) benthic sample precision and accuracy criteria justified? In Dixon, D.G., S. Munro and A.J. Niimi (eds). Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Aquatic Toxicity Workshop: October 3 to 5, 2005, Waterloo, Ontario. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci: 2617. 120p.

Table B.7: Relative Percent Difference Between Original Egg Count and Recount Values

Sample	Egg Count (min. 100 eggs counted)			Egg Re-count			Relative Percent Difference ^a		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
PinR-BSB-03	124	130	103	121	125	101	2	4	2
PinR-BSB-21	142	114	122	146	108	114	3	5	7
StuC-BSB-01	167	101	109	154	103	114	8	2	4
StuC-BSB-16	122	102	101	117	100	97	4	2	4
StuC-BSB-32	111	108	122	114	109	119	3	1	2
PinR-CMM-14	388	-	-	390	-	-	1	-	-
PinR-CMM-23	123	101	101	124	101	100	1	0	1
StuC-CMM-17	646	-	-	652	-	-	1	-	-
StuC-CMM-31	694	-	-	690	-	-	1	-	-

Note: Highlighted Values did not Meet the Data Quality Objectives of $\leq 5\%$ Relative Percent Difference.

^a Relative Percent Difference = $[\text{absolute}(\text{replicate1}-\text{replicate2})/\text{average}(\text{replicate1},\text{replicate2})]*100$

APPENDIX C
WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY DATA

Laboratory Reports

Table C.1: *In Situ* Surface Water Quality Data Collected during the Fish Survey for RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Station ID	Date	Time	Latitude (dd mm ss.s) ^a	Longitude (dd mm ss.s) ^a	Temperature (°C)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen		Specific Conductivity (µS/cm)
							(mg/L)	(% sat)	
StuC-REF	24-Apr-17	14:30	48 43 16.6	-93 57 38.3	5.9	6.90	11.86	94.3	153
PinR-EXP	24-Apr-17	13:00	48 49 46.6	-94 03 53.0	5.6	7.46	11.86	93.6	303

^a d-degrees, m-minutes, s-seconds

Note: Map Datum (NAD) 83

Table C.2: Supporting Water Characteristics at Reference and Effluent-exposed Stations, RRP Phase 1 EEM, September 2017

Medium	Parameter	Reference Stations					Effluent-exposed Stations				
		StuC-REF1	StuC-REF2	StuC-REF3	StuC-REF4	StuC-REF5	PinR-EXP1	PinR-EXP2	PinR-EXP3	PinR-EXP4	PinR-EXP5
Habitat Parameters	Depth (m)	0.96	0.98	0.94	0.94	0.98	0.96	0.82	0.98	0.98	0.82
	Velocity (m/s)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Water (Surface)	Temperature (°C)	18.70	18.60	16.30	16.20	16.30	12.65	12.65	12.63	12.67	12.68
	pH	8.03	7.92	7.59	7.45	7.51	7.57	7.58	7.59	7.48	7.50
	D.O. (% sat)	45.9	46.2	42.3	37.9	49.9	41.1	47.3	49.4	41.3	40.9
	D.O. (mg/L)	4.48	4.53	4.14	3.72	4.88	4.35	5.01	5.24	4.37	4.33
	Specific Conductance (µS/cm)	321	321	327	324	324	488	488	485	489	488
Water (Bottom)	Temperature (°C)	17.70	17.10	16.20	15.90	16.30	12.08	12.22	12.59	12.67	12.66
	pH	7.77	7.57	7.44	7.33	7.50	7.02	7.30	7.36	7.31	7.26
	D.O. (% sat)	38.2	42.0	41.1	34.6	43.2	25.2	29.4	44.6	38.5	38.8
	D.O. (mg/L)	3.74	4.11	4.02	3.39	4.23	2.70	3.11	4.73	4.08	4.11
	Specific Conductance (µS/cm)	327	326	329	326	324	524	495	506	486	490

Table C.3: Benthic Analyses and Supporting Measures - ANOVA results, Rainy River Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Dependent Variable	Mean Square	F (ANOVA)	P-value	Observed Power
Density (Ind./m2)	5,661,058	0.1691	0.6917	0.1240
LPL Number of Taxa	19.6000	1.2366	0.2984	0.2694
LPL Simpson's D	0.0063	0.9682	0.3539	0.2341
LPL Simpson's E	0.0137	0.4250	0.5328	0.1600
LPL BC Dissimilarity	0.4840	34.3750	0.0004	0.9999
Rainy LPL CA-1 (29.0%)	1.8332	6.8400	0.0309	0.7706
Rainy LPL CA-2 (17.0%)	0.8106	2.1204	0.1834	0.3789
Rainy LPL CA-3 (16.4%)	0.0129	0.0423	0.8422	0.1060
Rainy LPL CA-4 (12.0%)	0.4422	2.2569	0.1714	0.3948
FL Number of Taxa	0.4000	0.1455	0.7128	0.1207
FL Simpson's D	0.0137	2.3502	0.1638	0.4055
FL Simpson's E	0.0040	0.1907	0.6738	0.1271
FL BC Dissimilarity	0.3725	30.6576	0.0005	0.9996
Rainy FL CA-1 (26.5%)	1.2090	12.7705	0.0073	0.9458
Rainy FL CA-2 (25.6%)	0.0199	0.0735	0.7931	0.1105
Rainy FL CA-3 (17.9%)	0.3771	3.0832	0.1172	0.4849
Rainy FL CA-4 (14.4%)	0.0232	0.1729	0.6885	0.1246
% Oligochaeta	306.4730	0.7179	0.4214	0.2004
% Ostracoda	10.4244	0.8873	0.3738	0.2233
% Ephemeroptera	1.1628	0.4750	0.5102	0.1669
% Trichoptera	0.3312	1.0000	0.3466	0.2383
% Chaoboridae	1,232.5440	13.2452	0.0066	0.9520
% Chironomidae	122.0105	0.2673	0.6191	0.1379
% Metal Sensitive Chironomidae	0.8880	0.1743	0.6873	0.1248
% Gastropoda	168.0180	0.9757	0.3522	0.2351
% Bivalvia	193.9522	1.4200	0.2676	0.2931
% Collector Gatherers	745.4596	1.8525	0.2106	0.3469
% Filterers	223.4453	1.8252	0.2137	0.3436
% Scrapers	153.4289	0.8913	0.3728	0.2238
% Shredders	206.5703	7.0070	0.0294	0.7792
% Clingers	6.8393	0.0267	0.8743	0.1038
% Sprawlers	1,749	9.8036	0.0140	0.8863
% Burrowers	639	1.7130	0.2269	0.3298
Station Depth (m)	0.0058	1.5319	0.2509	0.1942
Temperature (°C; bottom)	44.0160	141.2060	0.0000	1.0000
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L; bottom)	0.0578	0.1460	0.7123	0.0632
Dissolved Oxygen (% sat.; bottom)	51.0760	1.3922	0.2719	0.1808
pH (bottom)	0.1850	8.2627	0.0207	0.7122
Conductivity (µS/cm; bottom)	43,047	449.1754	0.0000	1.0000
Specific Conductance (µS/cm; bottom)	75,847	639.5005	0.0000	1.0000
Moisture (%)	318.0960	2.7368	0.1367	0.3084
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (%)	0.1520	7.5777	0.0250	0.6753
FOC (log10 [mg/g])	0.1810	8.0061	0.0222	0.6988
Total Organic Carbon (%)	29.0021	8.1675	0.0212	0.7073
% Gravel (%)	0.0000	.	.	.
% Sand (%)	96.1000	0.8730	0.3775	0.1312
% Silt (%)	463.7610	7.0049	0.0294	0.6416
% Clay (%)	137.6410	9.8685	0.0138	0.7853
Rainy River Sediment Metal PC-1 (69.7%)	1.0119	1.0134	0.3436	0.1445
Rainy River Sediment Metal PC-2 (16.2%)	7.4188	37.5344	0.0003	0.9996
Rainy River Sediment Metal PC-3 (6.2%)	0.0176	0.0157	0.9034	0.0514

 P-value < 0.1.

Table C.4: Surface Water Quality at SW20 (Pinewood River reference area) and SW22A (Pinewood River Effluent-exposed area), 2015 to 2017

Analytes		Field pH	Field Temperature (°C)	Field Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Colour	Conductivity	Hardness	Lab pH	TSS	TDS	Turbidity	Alkalinity (Total as CaCO ₃)	Acidity (as CaCO ₃)	Total Ammonia-N	Unionized Ammonia	Chloride (Cl)	Fluoride (F ⁻)	Nitrate (N)
Location	Sample Date				TCU	µS/cm	mg/L		mg/L	mg/L	NTU	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L		mg/L	mg/L
SW20 (Reference)	14-May-15	6.79	6.7	33	120	217	100	7.8	5	160	5		4.6	0.024			0.035	0.015
	09-Jun-15	6.85	14.16	64	187	176	84	7.5	5	250	5	79	3.6	0.010			0.040	0.010
	21-Jul-15	7.24	18	46	247	203	104	7.4	2	170	4	92	5.8	0.022			0.039	0.015
	25-Aug-15	7.39	13.24	34	155	295	156	7.7	2	225	3	147	7.6	0.014			0.050	0.005
	15-Sep-15	7.14	13.2	8	124	299	154	7.4	5	225	3	151	6.2	0.004			0.043	0.010
	27-Oct-15	7.13	4.2	63	79	343	159	7.6	6	225	7	149	5.0	0.008	0.0010	17.8	0.033	0.040
	18-Nov-15	7.38	5.9	76	104	280	128	7.5	4	195	7	99	5.0	0.006	0.0010	23.6	0.022	0.145
	17-Dec-15	7.42	0.1	71	101	220	103	7.5	2	165	4	90	6.0	0.016	0.0010	10.1	0.028	0.030
	27-Jan-16	7.25	0.8	29	118	306	157	7.4	1	220	9	142	8.8	0.068	0.0010	7.9	0.032	0.060
	29-Feb-16	7.40	0.6	26	76	319	166	7.5	4	210	11	160	10.0	0.118	0.0010	6.5	0.035	0.085
	23-Mar-16	7.47	20.0		108	189	94	7.5	6	135	6	77	5.8	0.022		11.1	0.033	0.060
	18-Apr-16	6.59	3.0		87	196	91	7.6	5	130	7	77	4.6	0.034	0.0010	9.9	0.037	0.055
	18-May-16	7.12	7.9		89	269	127	7.7	2	160	3	110	4.8	0.027	0.0010	14.8	0.045	0.005
	25-Jan-17	6.71	1.0	6	79	305	141	7.2	3	200	7	148	14.6	0.068	<0.001	11.0	0.029	0.075
	15-Feb-17	7.60	0.3	8	89	368	181	7.4	8	235	9	180	11.2	0.098	<0.001	14.3	0.032	0.065
	29-Mar-17	7.26	0.1	6	82	161	71	7.3	7	120	7	72	4.6	0.038	<0.001	7.4	0.025	0.045
	26-Apr-17	7.55	1.0	14	99	251	117	7.6	2	170	4	104	4.8	0.008	<0.001	17.2	0.043	0.015
	24-May-17	7.35	10.0	7	116	302	145	7.9	3	210	3	127	1.0	0.034	<0.001	19.8	0.059	<0.005
	21-Jun-17	7.25	15.0	2	116	345	154	7.4	2	220	1	177	13.8	0.008	<0.001	27.3	0.050	<0.005
	18-Jul-17	7.14	16.0	1	122	313	154	7.3	3	235	1	135	13.6	0.026	<0.001	23.3	0.043	<0.005
16-Aug-17	6.65	18.0	1	99	351	164	7.4	5	215	3	164	8.0	0.024	<0.001	23.7	0.051	0.005	
26-Sep-17	7.06	13.0	2	89	434	179	7.8	2	275	2	158	8.6	0.060	<0.001	34.4	0.055	0.010	
30-Oct-17	7.57	3.0	6	81	250	111	7.6	1	175	3	93	6.0	0.014	<0.001	18.5	0.030	<0.005	
20-Nov-17	7.65	0.8	7	87	278	124	7.4	2	185	3	104	5.2	0.060	<0.001	19.3	0.026	0.010	
SW22 (Effluent-exposed)	27-Jan-16	7.35	0.7		91	380	200	7.4	4	265	7	190	13.0	0.084	<0.001	5.8	0.041	0.055
	29-Feb-16	7.22	1.4		96	405	219	7.4	4	260	9	210	19.6	0.130	<0.001	5.6	0.050	0.090
	23-Mar-16	7.59	20.0		85	202	97	7.6	8	145	11	99	5.6	0.014	0.1000	4.4	0.041	0.001
	18-Apr-16	7.38	6.8	80	70	267	136	7.8	5	170	5	123	4.2	0.032	<0.001	7.4	0.059	0.110
	18-May-16	7.85	14.0	6	84	293	158	7.9	4	180	2	142	4.0	<0.020	<0.001	2.5	0.062	<0.005
	22-Jun-16	7.32	17.0	4	145	235	145	7.8	1	185	3	122	4.2	0.018	<0.001	5.0	0.059	0.025
	15-Jul-16	6.18	21.0	5	147	240	131	7.7	1	180	2	123	3.8	0.044	<0.001	3.3	0.065	0.020
	16-Aug-16	6.77	22.0	1	97	343	177	7.7	3	250	2	172	11.4	0.056	<0.001	8.2	0.060	<0.005
	19-Sep-16	7.36	14.0	3		501	248		2			216		0.034	<0.001			0.355
	21-Sep-16	7.38	14.0	4	65	418	207	7.6	4	290	3	198	8.2	0.020	<0.001	9.5	0.076	0.150
	25-Jan-17	6.99	0.5	4	82	451	228	7.3	5	285	7	247	15.0	0.214	<0.001	12.2	0.055	0.310
	15-Feb-17	7.93	0.1	10	57	580	287	7.4	6	355	5	279	23.8	0.676	0.0050	16.9	0.060	0.745
	29-Mar-17	7.32	0.2	6	54	193	92	7.6	9	130	10	95	4.8	0.058	<0.001	4.0	0.032	0.145
	26-Apr-17	7.43	1.0	14	80	317	159	7.9	4	190	2	154	3.6	0.012	<0.001	11.1	0.061	0.160
	24-May-17	7.56	15.0	9	79	347	171	7.8	3	220	2	195	<0.2	0.026	<0.001	9.1	0.076	<0.005
	21-Jun-17	7.70	17.0	7	66	423	225	7.8	5	280	4	295	6.6	0.028	<0.001	13.7	0.077	<0.005
	18-Jul-17				82	397	215	7.8	2	280	2	233	7.8	0.062		12.1	<1.0	0.010
16-Aug-17	7.27	19.0	2	67	493	260	7.5	6	320	5	272	8.0	0.146	0.0010	9.8	0.093	0.010	
26-Sep-17	7.21	13.0	2	95	480	221	7.9	2	330	2	163	5.0	0.042	<0.001	12.1	0.066	0.245	
30-Oct-17	7.58	2.0	5	55	480	244	7.9	2	315	2	215	4.4	0.028	<0.001	14.7	0.059	1.490	
20-Nov-17	7.27	0.7	5	80	409	197	7.6	3	265	3	170	5.4	0.010	<0.001	17.3	0.044	0.105	

Table C.4: Surface Water Quality at SW20 (Pinewood River reference area) and SW22A (Pinewood River Effluent-exposed area), 2015 to 2017

Analytes		Nitrite (N)	Orthophosphate (P)	Sulphate	DOC	TOC	T. Aluminum (Al)	T. Antimony (Sb)	T. Arsenic (As)	T. Barium (Ba)	T. Beryllium (Be)	T. Bismuth (Bi)	T. Boron (B)	T. Cadmium (Cd)	T. Calcium (Ca)
Location	Sample Date	mg/L	mg/L		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
SW20 (Reference)	14-May-15	0.003	0.0030	5.92	22.9	26.0	0.25	0.00005	0.0006	0.0148	0.000010	0.000020	0.006	0.0000100	22.5
	09-Jun-15	0.001	0.0088	1.18	29.2	33.3	0.24	0.00005	0.0009	0.0159	0.000020	0.000020	0.015	0.0000150	20.4
	21-Jul-15	0.001	0.0222	0.56	37.6	35.8	0.18	0.00007	0.0017	0.0175	0.000020	0.000060	0.014	0.0000150	23.8
	25-Aug-15	0.001	0.0284	2.40	30.5	32.6	0.05	0.00007	0.0018	0.0127	0.000020	0.000040	0.016	0.0000050	38.6
	15-Sep-15	0.001	0.0056	1.46	32.0	32.1	0.03	0.00006	0.0013	0.0138	0.000020	0.000020	0.017	0.0000050	37.3
	27-Oct-15	0.001	0.0137	7.92	23.3	24.1	0.18	0.00005	0.0008	0.0145	0.000020	0.000220	0.018	0.0000050	38.6
	18-Nov-15	0.001	0.0128	11.70	22.9	22.7	0.30	0.00004	0.0008	0.0172	0.000020	0.000020	0.014	0.0000100	28.2
	17-Dec-15	0.001	0.0078	5.80	24.3	23.6	0.17	0.00005	0.0006	0.0132	0.000010	0.000020	0.007	0.0000050	23.4
	27-Jan-16	0.001	0.0199	6.82	28.8	28.5	0.28	0.00011	0.0010	0.0185	0.000020	0.000020	0.016	0.0000200	39.2
	29-Feb-16	0.002	0.0166	6.18	21.6	22.3	0.31	0.00007	0.0009	0.0200	0.000020	0.000020	0.015	0.0000150	36.0
	23-Mar-16	0.001	0.0043	6.64	24.0	22.3	0.32	0.00006	0.0005	0.0155	0.000020	0.000020	0.012	0.0000100	21.8
	18-Apr-16	0.004	0.0046	7.10	18.6	19.5	0.42	0.00006	0.0006	0.0166	0.000020	0.000020	0.012	0.0000150	21.9
	18-May-16	0.001	0.0059	5.90	22.3	25.9	0.07	0.00007	0.0008	0.0170	0.000010	0.000020	0.017	0.0000050	31.6
	25-Jan-17	0.002	0.0135	5.98	24.6	22.6	0.20	0.00008	0.0008	0.0180	0.000030	<0.00002	0.012	0.0000200	31.8
	15-Feb-17	<0.001	0.0183	8.04	22.4	24.6	0.25	0.00005	0.0008	0.0190	0.000020	<0.00002	0.014	0.0000150	42.9
	29-Mar-17	0.001	0.0053	4.62	15.1	16.1	0.54	0.00005	0.0005	0.0150	0.000020	<0.00002	0.010	0.0000150	17.1
	26-Apr-17	<0.001	<0.0030	8.24	22.0	21.9	0.31	0.00006	0.0005	0.0170	0.000030	<0.00002	0.010	0.0000100	26.8
	24-May-17	<0.001	0.0073	5.38	23.4	24.0	0.13	0.00006	0.0008	0.0176	0.000020	<0.000005	0.014	0.0000072	31.0
	21-Jun-17	0.011	0.0178	2.44	26.4	28.1	0.02	0.00006	0.0015	0.0170	0.000020	<0.00002	0.016	<0.000005	33.7
	18-Jul-17	<0.001	0.0188	2.32	28.2	28.2	0.02	0.00006	0.0016	0.0160	0.000010	<0.00002	0.016	0.0000050	36.0
16-Aug-17	<0.001	0.0075	0.50	33.8	32.2	0.01	0.00006	0.0014	0.0160	<0.00001	<0.00002	0.018	<0.000005	40.0	
26-Sep-17	0.002	0.0135	14.60	23.6	27.5	0.07	0.00008	0.0011	0.0210	0.000010	<0.00002	0.025	<0.000005	43.5	
30-Oct-17	<0.001	0.0052	8.12	22.3	23.1	0.07	0.00005	0.0006	0.0140	<0.00001	<0.00002	0.012	0.0000050	26.7	
20-Nov-17	<0.001	0.0047	10.50	20.8	22.7	0.13	0.00006	0.0006	0.0170	0.000010	<0.00002	0.009	0.0000050	28.8	
SW22 (Effluent-exposed)	27-Jan-16	0.001	0.0432	4.80	26.7	27.1	0.18	0.00020	0.0010	0.0230	0.000030	<0.00002	0.014	0.0000150	49.0
	29-Feb-16	0.001	0.0551	5.72	24.2	25.6	0.19	0.00014	0.0011	0.0230	0.000020	<0.00002	0.014	0.0000150	47.7
	23-Mar-16	5.660	0.0091		19.8	20.1	0.45	0.00008	0.0006	0.0180	0.000020	<0.00002	0.013	0.0000100	26.2
	18-Apr-16	0.013	0.0050	6.88	16.9	18.5	0.17	0.00021	0.0006	0.0200	0.000010	<0.00002	0.016	0.0000100	33.4
	18-May-16	<0.001	0.0095	2.84	21.9	23.8	0.05	0.00012	0.0010	0.0190	0.000010	<0.00002	0.017	0.0000200	41.3
	22-Jun-16	<0.001	0.0259	3.32	25.1	28.6	0.11	0.00006	0.0012	0.0200	0.000020	<0.00002	0.018	0.0000100	29.4
	15-Jul-16	0.001	0.0494	2.26	27.6	27.5	0.06	0.00009	0.0017	0.0180	0.000020	<0.00002	0.016	0.0000100	29.5
	16-Aug-16	<0.001	0.0343	2.52	29.6	30.9	0.02	0.00012	0.0021	0.0210	0.000010	<0.00002	0.021	<0.000005	42.0
	19-Sep-16						0.03		0.0011					<0.000005	58.5
	21-Sep-16	0.025	0.0101	13.90	22.4	23.0	0.09	0.00086	0.0011	0.0240	<0.00001	<0.00002	0.025	<0.000005	52.1
	25-Jan-17	0.006	0.0371	9.38	24.5	23.9	0.15	0.00060	0.0010	0.0250	0.000030	<0.00002	0.019	0.0000200	48.5
	15-Feb-17	0.023	0.0223	21.40	16.9	19.1	0.12	0.00268	0.0011	0.0310	<0.00001	0.000020	0.040	0.0000100	70.6
	29-Mar-17	0.007	0.0102	4.86	12.8	12.6	0.42	0.00019	0.0005	0.0170	0.000020	<0.00002	0.012	0.0000200	21.4
	26-Apr-17	0.003	<0.0030	11.20	19.3	19.3	0.10	0.00032	0.0007	0.0190	<0.00001	<0.00002	0.015	0.0000050	37.4
	24-May-17	<0.001	0.0140	8.08	19.7	22.1	0.05	0.00011	0.0010	0.0210	0.000010	<0.000005	0.016	0.0000036	41.4
	21-Jun-17	0.002	0.0139	14.10	18.9	21.6	0.08	0.00045	0.0014	0.0250	0.000010	<0.00002	0.025	<0.000005	47.8
	18-Jul-17	<0.001	0.0377	14.20	23.7	24.6	0.05	0.00012	0.0020	0.0210	<0.00001	<0.00002	0.019	<0.000005	49.2
16-Aug-17	0.001	0.0523	2.50	26.8	28.8	0.13	0.00010	0.0030	0.0150	<0.00001	<0.00002	0.026	0.0000050	64.3	
26-Sep-17	0.026	0.0172	73.10	24.0	27.2	0.07	0.00031	0.0011	0.0290	<0.00001	<0.00002	0.020	0.0000100	50.7	
30-Oct-17	0.030	0.0067	36.40	19.4	19.2	0.06	0.00038	0.0008	0.0270	<0.00001	<0.00002	0.020	0.0000050	55.3	
20-Nov-17	0.001	0.0091	21.60	21.0	22.6	0.12	0.00010	0.0008	0.0250	<0.00001	<0.00002	0.014	0.0000050	46.0	

Table C.4: Surface Water Quality at SW20 (Pinewood River reference area) and SW22A (Pinewood River Effluent-exposed area), 2015 to 2017

Analytes		T. Chromium (Cr)	T. Cobalt (Co)	T. Copper (Cu)	T. Iron (Fe)	T. Lead (Pb)	T. Lithium (Li)	T. Magnesium (Mg)	T. Manganese (Mn)	T. Mercury (Hg)	T. Molybdenum (Mo)	T. Nickel (Ni)	T. Potassium (K)	T. Selenium (Se)	T. Silver (Ag)	T. Sodium (Na)
Location	Sample Date	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
SW20 (Reference)	14-May-15	0.0005	0.00018	0.0008	0.35	0.00010	0.002	9.8	0.017	0.000002	0.00042	0.0015	1.23	0.00020	0.000010	7.64
	09-Jun-15	0.0007	0.00023	0.0009	0.48	0.00016	0.003	8.3	0.025	0.000002	0.00038	0.0016	0.88	0.00020	0.000010	4.74
	21-Jul-15	0.0006	0.00032	0.0014	0.79	0.00017	0.003	9.2	0.052	0.000004	0.00042	0.0019	0.97	0.00020	0.000010	5.14
	25-Aug-15	0.0004	0.00023	0.0004	0.79	0.00008	0.004	15.9	0.044	0.000002	0.00026	0.0020	1.44	0.00020	0.000010	7.46
	15-Sep-15	0.0003	0.00021	0.0004	0.42	0.00001	0.006	15.7	0.052	0.000002	0.00024	0.0017	1.40	0.00020	0.000010	8.76
	27-Oct-15	0.0004	0.00020	0.0007	0.53	0.00010	0.008	16.2	0.039	0.000002	0.00034	0.0014	2.09	0.00020	0.000010	10.00
	18-Nov-15	0.0006	0.00023	0.0011	0.56	0.00015	0.005	13.1	0.030	0.000002	0.00044	0.0014	2.14	0.00020	0.000010	10.10
	17-Dec-15	0.0004	0.00016	0.0006	0.47	0.00009	0.003	10.4	0.034	0.000002	0.00022	0.0010	1.23	0.00020	0.000010	5.16
	27-Jan-16	0.0006	0.00068	0.0008	1.57	0.00025	0.006	15.9	0.309	0.000002	0.00028	0.0016	1.76	0.00020	0.000010	6.00
	29-Feb-16	0.0007	0.00067	0.0012	1.35	0.00021	0.007	16.0	0.263	0.000002	0.00032	0.0014	1.91	0.00020	0.000010	6.12
	23-Mar-16	0.0006	0.00024	0.0012	0.46	0.00017	0.003	10.0	0.037	0.000004	0.00036	0.0012	1.85	0.00020	0.000010	6.08
	18-Apr-16	0.0008	0.00025	0.0012	0.48	0.00020	0.003	9.7	0.029	0.000004	0.00048	0.0014	1.60	0.00020	0.000010	5.70
	18-May-16	0.0003	0.00016	0.0009	0.29	0.00004	0.005	13.4	0.042	0.000002	0.00042	0.0014	1.37	0.00020	0.000010	8.64
	25-Jan-17	0.0011	0.00044	0.0010	0.88	0.00020	0.006	14.8	0.138	0.000004	0.00030	0.0015	1.70	<0.0002	<0.00001	6.36
	15-Feb-17	0.0007	0.00057	0.0009	1.19	0.00020	0.007	18.3	0.204	0.000002	0.00030	0.0017	1.91	<0.0002	<0.00001	8.28
	29-Mar-17	0.0008	0.00033	0.0010	0.55	0.00020	0.003	7.9	0.073	0.000002	0.00030	0.0011	2.17	<0.0002	<0.00001	4.74
	26-Apr-17	0.0006	0.00019	0.0020	0.41	0.00020	0.004	12.0	0.020	0.000002	0.00040	0.0014	1.49	<0.0002	<0.00001	8.90
	24-May-17	0.0004	0.00019	0.0009	0.37	0.00008	0.006	14.0	0.045	0.000004	0.00038	0.0015	1.21	0.00015	0.000001	9.87
	21-Jun-17	0.0003	0.00035	0.0005	0.54	0.00005	0.006	15.7	0.169	0.000002	0.00030	0.0016	1.01	<0.0002	<0.00001	13.10
	18-Jul-17	0.0003	0.00039	0.0004	0.45	0.00003	0.006	14.7	0.339	0.000004	0.00030	0.0017	0.85	0.00020	<0.00001	11.70
16-Aug-17	0.0003	0.00031	0.0002	0.53	0.00002	0.006	17.0	0.147	0.000002	0.00010	0.0012	0.41	<0.0002	<0.00001	12.60	
26-Sep-17	0.0008	0.00021	0.0008	0.35	<0.00001	0.008	18.3	0.041	0.000004	0.00060	0.0017	2.45	<0.0002	<0.00001	19.00	
30-Oct-17	0.0003	0.00010	0.0006	0.21	0.00005	0.005	11.7	0.013	0.000002	0.00030	0.0010	1.70	<0.0002	<0.00001	8.74	
20-Nov-17	0.0006	0.00013	0.0007	0.34	0.00007	0.005	13.6	0.015	0.000004	0.00030	0.0011	1.27	<0.0002	<0.00001	8.52	
SW22 (Effluent-exposed)	27-Jan-16	0.0005	0.00081	0.0009	1.31	0.00020	0.006	19.5	0.604	0.000002	0.00040	0.0016	1.78	<0.0002	<0.00001	4.84
	29-Feb-16	0.0005	0.00083	0.0009	1.58	0.00020	0.006	21.4	0.546	0.000002	0.00040	0.0018	1.82	<0.0002	<0.00001	5.74
	23-Mar-16	0.0008	0.00028	0.0015	0.62	0.00030	0.003	11.7	0.032	0.000004	0.00060	0.0014	2.03	<0.0002	<0.00001	3.28
	18-Apr-16	0.0005	0.00019	0.0011	0.29	0.00010	0.005	13.6	0.025	0.000004	0.00100	0.0012	1.95	<0.0002	<0.00001	4.20
	18-May-16	0.0003	0.00023	0.0009	0.29	0.00003	0.006	16.6	0.071	<0.000002	0.00070	0.0015	1.38	<0.0002	<0.00001	4.90
	22-Jun-16	0.0004	0.00022	0.0012	0.42	0.00008	0.003	13.4	0.040	<0.000002	0.00060	0.0017	1.28	0.00020	<0.00001	3.56
	15-Jul-16	0.0002	0.00023	0.0007	0.54	0.00005	0.004	14.1	0.064	0.000002	0.00060	0.0016	1.09	0.00020	<0.00001	3.22
	16-Aug-16	0.0002	0.00033	0.0004	0.37	0.00001	0.006	17.1	0.337	0.000004	0.00060	0.0015	1.20	0.00020	<0.00001	5.00
	19-Sep-16				0.20	0.00003		26.1		<0.000002	0.00260	0.0011		<0.0002		
	21-Sep-16	0.0002	0.00025	0.0004	0.28	0.00006	0.010	22.6	0.087	<0.000002	0.00160	0.0014	2.67	<0.0002	<0.00001	8.00
	25-Jan-17	0.0005	0.00056	0.0009	1.07	0.00020	0.009	21.9	0.312	0.000002	0.00090	0.0016	2.27	<0.0002	<0.00001	7.34
	15-Feb-17	0.0009	0.00045	0.0009	0.78	0.00010	0.015	29.7	0.295	<0.000002	0.00320	0.0024	3.83	<0.0002	<0.00001	12.60
	29-Mar-17	0.0008	0.00032	0.0013	0.55	0.00020	0.004	9.3	0.074	<0.000002	0.00070	0.0011	2.33	<0.0002	<0.00001	2.68
	26-Apr-17	0.0003	0.00015	0.0009	0.24	0.00006	0.008	16.4	0.022	0.000002	0.00120	0.0012	2.36	<0.0002	<0.00001	6.56
	24-May-17	0.0007	0.00021	0.0009	0.37	0.00004	0.007	18.3	0.072	<0.000002	0.00070	0.0016	1.64	0.00014	0.000001	5.87
	21-Jun-17	0.0003	0.00026	0.0007	0.29	0.00008	0.012	22.0	0.101	0.000002	0.00150	0.0015	2.01	<0.0002	<0.00001	8.58
	18-Jul-17	0.0002	0.00024	0.0003	0.24	0.00007	0.008	23.1	0.108	0.000004	0.00050	0.0014	1.52	0.00020	<0.00001	7.78
16-Aug-17	0.0005	0.00046	0.0005	0.51	0.00010	0.010	28.1	0.947	0.000004	0.00120	0.0021	1.55	0.00020	<0.00001	8.98	
26-Sep-17	0.0003	0.00025	0.0010	0.27	<0.00001	0.009	23.0	0.034	0.000004	0.00170	0.0013	4.77	0.00040	<0.00001	9.72	
30-Oct-17	0.0004	0.00030	0.0010	0.24	0.00004	0.011	24.7	0.030	0.000002	0.00170	0.0012	4.07	<0.0002	<0.00001	10.40	
20-Nov-17	0.0004	0.00021	0.0010	0.31	0.00008	0.007	21.7	0.066	0.000002	0.00070	0.0015	2.67	<0.0002	<0.00001	8.62	

Table C.4: Surface Water Quality at SW20 (Pinewood River reference area) and SW22A (Pinewood River Effluent-exposed area), 2015 to 2017

Analytes		T. Strontium (Sr)	T. Tellurium (Te)	T. Thallium (Tl)	T. Tin (Sn)	T. Titanium (Ti)	T. Tungsten (W)	T. Uranium (U)	T. Vanadium (V)	T. Zinc (Zn)	T. Zirconium (Zr)
Location	Sample Date	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
SW20 (Reference)	14-May-15	0.059	0.000010	0.0000020	0.000040	0.0066	0.000010	0.0005	0.00105	0.0015	0.00044
	09-Jun-15	0.053	0.000010	0.0000040	0.000060	0.0061	0.000010	0.0002	0.00120	0.0035	0.00050
	21-Jul-15	0.061	0.000020	0.0000060	0.000040	0.0051	0.000010	0.0002	0.00130	0.0040	0.00050
	25-Aug-15	0.097	0.000020	0.0000020	0.000080		0.000010	0.0003	0.00075	0.0020	0.00042
	15-Sep-15	0.102	0.000010	0.0000020	0.000040		0.000010	0.0003	0.00050	0.0025	0.00030
	27-Oct-15	0.104	0.000010	0.0000020	0.000040		0.000010	0.0006	0.00080	0.0030	0.00032
	18-Nov-15	0.071	0.000010	0.0000020	0.000100		0.000010	0.0006	0.00115	0.0030	0.00038
	17-Dec-15	0.057	0.000020	0.0000020	0.000020		0.000010	0.0003	0.00070	0.0015	0.00034
	27-Jan-16	0.100	0.000020	0.0000040	0.000040		0.000010	0.0005	0.00135	0.0025	0.00060
	29-Feb-16	0.100	0.000010	0.0000060	0.000020		0.000010	0.0007	0.00130	0.0040	0.00036
	23-Mar-16	0.052	0.000010	0.0000040	0.000120		0.000010	0.0005	0.00120	0.0035	0.00036
	18-Apr-16	0.055									
	18-May-16	0.077	0.000010	0.0000020	0.000040	0.0023	0.000010	0.0005	0.00065	0.0015	0.00032
	25-Jan-17	0.087	0.000060	0.0000100	0.000200	0.0067	0.000020	0.0008	0.00095	0.0480	0.00040
	15-Feb-17	0.105	0.000020	0.0000060	0.000200	0.0072	<0.00001	0.0009	0.00120	0.0055	0.00040
	29-Mar-17	0.043	0.000010	0.0000080	0.000040	0.0153	0.000020	0.0002	0.00160	0.0035	0.00050
	26-Apr-17	0.069	0.000030	0.0000060	0.000060	0.0108	0.000010	0.0009	0.00120	0.0030	0.00050
	24-May-17	0.084	0.000040	0.0000020	0.000020	0.0042	0.000004	0.0007	0.00092	0.0016	0.00036
	21-Jun-17	0.088	0.000030	<0.000002	0.000040	0.0009	<0.00001	0.0004	0.00050	0.0225	0.00020
	18-Jul-17	0.093	0.000020	<0.000002	0.000100	0.0009	<0.00001	0.0003	0.00040	0.0040	0.00030
16-Aug-17	0.101	0.000030	<0.000002	0.000100	0.0005	<0.00001	0.0001	0.00030	0.0055	0.00010	
26-Sep-17	0.117	0.000020	<0.000002	0.001100	0.0022	<0.00001	0.0007	0.00070	0.0025	0.00030	
30-Oct-17	0.067	0.000010	<0.000002	0.000040	0.0023	<0.00001	0.0003	0.00050	0.0020	0.00020	
20-Nov-17	0.070	<0.00001	<0.000002	0.000040	0.0035	<0.00001	0.0004	0.00070	0.0085	0.00030	
SW22 (Effluent-exposed)	27-Jan-16	0.111	0.000020	0.0000040	0.000020		<0.00001	0.0011	0.00120	0.0050	0.00040
	29-Feb-16	0.113	<0.00001	0.0000040	0.000020		<0.00001	0.0014	0.00110	0.0050	0.00050
	23-Mar-16	0.055	<0.00001	0.0000060	0.000300		<0.00001	0.0008	0.00170	0.0035	0.00040
	18-Apr-16	0.079	0.000020	0.0000040			0.000020	0.0012	0.00095	0.0015	0.00030
	18-May-16	0.093	0.000020	<0.000002	0.000040		0.000010	0.0010	0.00070	0.0010	0.00030
	22-Jun-16	0.066	<0.00001	0.0000060	0.000040		0.000010	0.0004	0.00110	0.0030	0.00030
	15-Jul-16	0.067	0.000100	0.0000060	<0.00002		0.000020	0.0003	0.00095	0.0015	0.00030
	16-Aug-16	0.117	0.000020	<0.000002	<0.00002		<0.00001	0.0004	0.00040	<0.0005	0.00020
	19-Sep-16									0.0005	
	21-Sep-16	0.138	0.000020	0.0000020	0.000100		<0.00001	0.0009	0.00070	0.0005	0.00020
	25-Jan-17	0.137	0.000020	0.0000080	0.000040	0.0053	0.000020	0.0018	0.00100	0.0075	0.00050
	15-Feb-17	0.242	0.000040	0.0000060	0.000040	0.0040	0.000180	0.0024	0.00080	0.0095	0.00040
	29-Mar-17	0.056	0.000030	0.0000080	0.000060	0.0120	0.000030	0.0006	0.00150	0.0040	0.00060
	26-Apr-17	0.111	0.000020	<0.000002	0.000020	0.0033	0.000020	0.0015	0.00060	0.0015	0.00030
	24-May-17	0.105	0.000030	0.0000020	0.000030	0.0020	0.000020	0.0011	0.00072	0.0016	0.00023
	21-Jun-17	0.142	0.000030	<0.000002	0.000060	0.0025	0.000020	0.0011	0.00090	0.0035	0.00020
	18-Jul-17	0.125	0.000020	0.0000040	0.000100	0.0020	0.000010	0.0005	0.00060	0.0025	0.00030
16-Aug-17	0.142	0.000050	0.0000040	0.000100	0.0046	<0.00001	0.0005	0.00100	0.0040	0.00050	
26-Sep-17	0.131	0.000030	<0.000002	0.000080	0.0023	<0.00001	0.0009	0.00080	0.0030	0.00020	
30-Oct-17	0.162	0.000020	0.0000020	0.000020	0.0022	0.000030	0.0036	0.00060	0.0030	0.00030	
20-Nov-17	0.113	0.000010	0.0000040	<0.00002	0.0037	<0.00001	0.0017	0.00070	0.0150	0.00030	

Table C.5: Sediment Metal Concentrations in Sturgeon Creek and Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Parameter	PSQG		Units	Sturgeon Creek						Pinewood River					
	LEL	SEL		StuC-REF-1	StuC-REF-2	StuC-REF-3	StuC-REF-4	StuC-REF-5	Mean	PinR-EXP-1	PinR-EXP-2	PinR-EXP-3	PinR-EXP-4	PinR-EXP-5	Mean
				14-Sep-17	14-Sep-17	15-Sep-17	15-Sep-17	15-Sep-17		14-Sep-17	14-Sep-17	14-Sep-17	14-Sep-17	14-Sep-17	
Inorganics															
% Moisture	-	-	%	62.5	65.0	51.5	75.5	59.0	62.7	81.8	76.5	85.5	53.5	72.6	74.0
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.055	0.48	%	0.239	0.320	0.197	0.390	0.234	0.276	0.610	0.430	0.690	0.243	0.640	0.523
Total Organic Carbon	10,000	100,000	mg/kg	31,900	40,100	27,800	54,900	31,800	37,300	78,500	60,100	89,700	34,600	93,900	71,360
% Gravel	-	-	%	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
% Sand	-	-	%	27.3	11.9	30.9	6.8	22.3	19.8	8.3	16.0	2.4	30.8	10.7	13.6
% Silt	-	-	%	41.7	50.5	36.9	51.9	42.8	44.8	60.2	53.4	69.0	44.6	64.7	58.4
% Clay	-	-	%	31.0	37.6	32.2	41.3	34.8	35.4	31.5	30.5	28.6	24.6	24.6	28.0
Metals															
Aluminum	-	-	mg/kg	11,900	15,000	11,800	15,300	14,100	13,620	16,700	13,900	14,100	9,350	12,500	13,310
Antimony	-	-	mg/kg	<0.10	0.11	0.10	0.13	<0.10	0.11	0.14	0.19	0.16	0.11	0.15	0.15
Arsenic	-	-	mg/kg	2.47	2.87	2.13	3.34	2.32	2.63	4.36	3.75	4.83	2.23	2.85	3.60
Barium	-	-	mg/kg	71.4	98.6	68.4	106	90	87	129	120	111	61	93	103
Beryllium	-	-	mg/kg	0.45	0.56	0.51	0.62	0.53	0.53	0.62	0.65	0.56	0.44	0.52	0.56
Bismuth	-	-	mg/kg	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Boron	-	-	mg/kg	7.6	8.7	7.8	9.5	7.6	8.2	10.4	11.5	10.8	7.1	7.8	9.5
Cadmium	0.6	10	mg/kg	0.242	0.322	0.213	0.388	0.201	0.273	0.448	0.323	0.401	0.200	0.364	0.347
Calcium	-	-	mg/kg	6,330	7,330	6,670	7,960	6,470	6,952	13,700	20,200	18,500	11,600	10,200	14,840
Chromium	26	110	mg/kg	27.0	37.9	27.3	43.8	33.1	33.8	34.8	36.1	33.8	21.2	27.1	30.6
Cobalt	-	-	mg/kg	8.9	10.6	8.8	11.8	9.3	9.9	12.1	10.9	11.2	6.7	7.3	9.6
Copper	16	110	mg/kg	9.8	12.7	9.5	14.4	11.4	11.6	19.3	16.4	17.5	9.8	13.3	15.3
Iron	20,000	40,000	mg/kg	13,500	17,000	13,200	18,000	16,000	15,540	20,000	18,300	18,400	12,600	15,300	16,920
Lead	31	250	mg/kg	6.24	7.96	7.23	9.15	7.43	7.60	8.60	8.45	7.65	6.25	7.67	7.72
Lithium	-	-	mg/kg	14.0	19.1	14.7	18.8	16.4	16.6	18.2	16.3	17.7	12.2	13.8	15.6
Magnesium	-	-	mg/kg	4,880	5,670	4,660	6,000	5,260	5,294	7,950	10,100	10,400	6,770	4,830	8,010
Manganese	460	1,100	mg/kg	319	374	264	431	343	346	609	657	438	315	362	476
Mercury	0.2	2	mg/kg	0.039	0.051	0.041	0.061	0.048	0.048	0.063	0.051	0.059	0.049	0.054	0.055
Molybdenum	-	-	mg/kg	0.51	1.05	0.54	1.48	0.63	0.84	1.02	1.23	1.44	0.35	0.76	0.96
Nickel	16	75	mg/kg	16.9	23.4	16.5	26.8	19.8	20.7	24.8	25.2	25.0	14.9	18.8	21.7
Phosphorus	600	2,000	mg/kg	493	554	471	571	508	519	781	648	777	496	676	676
Potassium	-	-	mg/kg	1,440	1,780	1,380	1,960	1,610	1,634	1,790	1,600	1,760	1,040	1,280	1,494
Selenium	-	-	mg/kg	0.27	0.38	0.27	0.48	0.34	0.35	0.56	0.45	0.62	0.34	0.53	0.50
Silver	-	-	mg/kg	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Sodium	-	-	mg/kg	88	103	85	111	95	96	148	131	171	96	113	132
Strontium	-	-	mg/kg	23.4	26.0	23.7	30.5	23.2	25.4	32.0	36.2	37.6	21.7	31.5	31.8
Sulphur	-	-	mg/kg	<1000	1,100	<1000	1,400	<1000	1100	1,700	1,400	2,400	<1000	1,600	1620
Thallium	-	-	mg/kg	0.135	0.166	0.156	0.186	0.154	0.159	0.187	0.178	0.177	0.122	0.135	0.160
Tin	-	-	mg/kg	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Titanium	-	-	mg/kg	155	152	162	148	145	152	118	149	138	137	98	128
Tungsten	-	-	mg/kg	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
Uranium	-	-	mg/kg	1.4	1.8	1.6	2.2	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.5	2.1	1.1	2.1	1.7
Vanadium	-	-	mg/kg	32.4	40.2	31.8	44.1	36.6	37.0	42.9	39.8	40.5	25.0	34.0	36.4
Zinc	120	820	mg/kg	61	79	59	91	67	72	94	76	89	50	64	74
Zirconium	-	-	mg/kg	5.1	6.6	6.0	7.3	5.1	6.0	4.9	5.3	3.7	4.7	4.9	4.7

indicates concentration was greater than the PSQG (Provincial Sediment Quality Guideline) Lowest Effect Level (LEL).
 indicates concentration was greater than the PSQG (Provincial Sediment Quality Guideline) Severe Effect Level (SEL).

Table C.6: Principal Components Analysis (PCA) of Sediment Metals at RRP Phase 1 EEM Benthic Stations, 2017

Water Chemistry Parameter	Sediment Chemistry PC-1 (69.7%)	Sediment Chemistry PC-2 (16.2%)	Sediment Chemistry PC-3 (6.2%)
Aluminum (log10 [ng/kg])	0.850	0.428	-0.126
Antimony (log10 [ng/kg])	0.752	-0.506	0.106
Arsenic (log10 [ng/kg])	0.933	-0.273	0.095
Barium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.972	0.030	-0.021
Beryllium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.905	0.230	0.091
Boron (log10 [ng/kg])	0.920	-0.082	0.351
Cadmium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.895	-0.133	-0.290
Calcium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.599	-0.697	0.352
Chromium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.806	0.566	0.023
Cobalt (log10 [ng/kg])	0.840	0.428	0.242
Copper (log10 [ng/kg])	0.965	-0.223	-0.003
Iron (log10 [ng/kg])	0.977	0.089	0.010
Lead (log10 [ng/kg])	0.864	0.306	-0.150
Lithium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.810	0.527	0.016
Magnesium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.671	-0.458	0.550
Manganese (log10 [ng/kg])	0.851	-0.182	0.261
Mercury (log10 [ng/kg])	0.812	-0.185	-0.293
Molybdenum (log10 [ng/kg])	0.947	0.176	-0.010
Nickel (log10 [ng/kg])	0.961	0.225	0.059
Phosphorus (log10 [ng/kg])	0.846	-0.477	-0.160
Potassium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.799	0.552	0.034
Selenium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.851	-0.411	-0.277
Sodium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.867	-0.455	0.070
Strontium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.893	-0.323	0.007
Sulphur (log10 [ng/kg])	0.805	-0.472	-0.166
Thallium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.881	0.368	0.186
Titanium (log10 [ng/kg])	-0.227	0.604	0.702
Uranium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.745	0.183	-0.558
Vanadium (log10 [ng/kg])	0.905	0.391	-0.063
Zinc (log10 [ng/kg])	0.947	0.245	-0.041
Zirconium (log10 [ng/kg])	-0.045	0.825	-0.131

Note: Shading Indicates Heavy Positive or Negative Weighting.

WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY DATA

Laboratory Reports



MINNOW ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
ATTN: Jess Tester
2 Lamb Street
Georgetown ON L7G 3M9

Date Received: 26-APR-17
Report Date: 15-MAY-17 10:26 (MT)
Version: FINAL

Client Phone: 905-873-3371

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L1917630
Project P.O. #: NOT SUBMITTED
Job Reference:
C of C Numbers:
Legal Site Desc:

Christine Paradis
Project Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 1081 Barton Street, Thunder Bay, ON P7B 5N3 Canada | Phone: +1 807 623 6463 | Fax: +1 807 623 7598
ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1917630-1 TRIP BLANK							
Sampled By: KB, KM on 24-APR-17 @ 00:01							
Matrix: Water							
Physical Tests							
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	<0.50		0.50	mg/L		29-APR-17	
Total Suspended Solids	<2.0		2.0	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3710052
Total Dissolved Solids	<10		10	mg/L		28-APR-17	R3710285
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO ₃)	<2.0		2.0	mg/L		28-APR-17	R3710141
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.020		0.020	mg/L		28-APR-17	R3709907
Chloride (Cl)	<0.10		0.10	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Fluoride (F)	<0.020		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Nitrate and Nitrite as N	<0.040		0.040	mg/L		03-MAY-17	
Nitrate (as N)	<0.020		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Phosphorus (P)-Total	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3709801
Sulfate (SO ₄)	<0.30		0.30	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Cyanides							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709375
Cyanide, Total	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709375
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
Dissolved Carbon Filtration Location	FIELD					27-APR-17	R3709199
Dissolved Organic Carbon	<1.0		1.0	mg/L	27-APR-17	27-APR-17	R3709687
Total Organic Carbon	<1.0		1.0	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709678
Total Metals							
Aluminum (Al)-Total	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Antimony (Sb)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Arsenic (As)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Barium (Ba)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Beryllium (Be)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Bismuth (Bi)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Boron (B)-Total	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Calcium (Ca)-Total	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cesium (Cs)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Chromium (Cr)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cobalt (Co)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Copper (Cu)-Total	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Iron (Fe)-Total	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Lead (Pb)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Lithium (Li)-Total	<0.0010		0.0010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Magnesium (Mg)-Total	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Manganese (Mn)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Mercury (Hg)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709180
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Nickel (Ni)-Total	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1917630-1 TRIP BLANK Sampled By: KB, KM on 24-APR-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Water							
Total Metals							
Phosphorus (P)-Total	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Potassium (K)-Total	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Rubidium (Rb)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Selenium (Se)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Silicon (Si)-Total	<0.10		0.10	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Silver (Ag)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Sodium (Na)-Total	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Strontium (Sr)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Sulfur (S)-Total	<0.50		0.50	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tellurium (Te)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Thallium (Tl)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Thorium (Th)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tin (Sn)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Titanium (Ti)-Total	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tungsten (W)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Uranium (U)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Vanadium (V)-Total	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Zinc (Zn)-Total	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Zirconium (Zr)-Total	<0.000060		0.000060	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					27-APR-17	R3709282
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710183
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710183
Radiological Parameters							
Ra-226	<0.010		0.010	Bq/L		10-MAY-17	R3719745
L1917630-2 PINR-EXP Sampled By: KB, KM on 24-APR-17 @ 13:00 Matrix: Water							
Physical Tests							
Hardness (as CaCO3)	191		0.50	mg/L		29-APR-17	
Total Suspended Solids	<2.0		2.0	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3710052
Total Dissolved Solids	236		20	mg/L		28-APR-17	R3710285
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	190		2.0	mg/L		28-APR-17	R3710141
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.077		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709259
Chloride (Cl)	10.9		0.10	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Fluoride (F)	0.070		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Nitrate and Nitrite as N	0.913		0.040	mg/L		03-MAY-17	
Nitrate (as N)	0.890		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Nitrite (as N)	0.023		0.010	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Phosphorus (P)-Total	0.0185		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3709801
Sulfate (SO4)	16.8		0.30	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1917630-2 PINR-EXP							
Sampled By: KB, KM on 24-APR-17 @ 13:00							
Matrix: Water							
Anions and Nutrients							
Cyanides							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709375
Cyanide, Total	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709375
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
Dissolved Carbon Filtration Location	FIELD					27-APR-17	R3709199
Dissolved Organic Carbon	16.6		1.0	mg/L	27-APR-17	27-APR-17	R3709687
Total Organic Carbon	16.7		1.0	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709678
Total Metals							
Aluminum (Al)-Total	0.0624		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Antimony (Sb)-Total	0.00121		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Arsenic (As)-Total	0.00076		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Barium (Ba)-Total	0.0223		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Beryllium (Be)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Bismuth (Bi)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Boron (B)-Total	0.026		0.010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	0.0000056		0.0000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Calcium (Ca)-Total	42.0		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cesium (Cs)-Total	0.000013		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Chromium (Cr)-Total	0.00023		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cobalt (Co)-Total	0.00015		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Copper (Cu)-Total	0.00095		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Iron (Fe)-Total	0.196		0.010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Lead (Pb)-Total	0.000059		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Lithium (Li)-Total	0.0097		0.0010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Magnesium (Mg)-Total	18.5		0.0050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Manganese (Mn)-Total	0.0284		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Mercury (Hg)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709180
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	0.00263		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Nickel (Ni)-Total	0.00117		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Phosphorus (P)-Total	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Potassium (K)-Total	3.08		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Rubidium (Rb)-Total	0.00190		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Selenium (Se)-Total	0.000170		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Silicon (Si)-Total	1.40		0.10	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Silver (Ag)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Sodium (Na)-Total	8.92		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Strontium (Sr)-Total	0.158		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Sulfur (S)-Total	6.06		0.50	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tellurium (Te)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Thallium (Tl)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Thorium (Th)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tin (Sn)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1917630-2 PINR-EXP Sampled By: KB, KM on 24-APR-17 @ 13:00 Matrix: Water							
Total Metals							
Titanium (Ti)-Total	0.00217		0.00030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tungsten (W)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Uranium (U)-Total	0.00184		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Vanadium (V)-Total	0.00079		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Zinc (Zn)-Total	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Zirconium (Zr)-Total	0.000240		0.000060	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					27-APR-17	R3709282
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	43.5		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710183
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	19.9		0.0050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710183
Radiological Parameters							
Ra-226	<0.010		0.010	Bq/L		10-MAY-17	R3719745
L1917630-3 PINR-DUP Sampled By: KB, KM on 24-APR-17 @ 13:00 Matrix: Water							
Physical Tests							
Hardness (as CaCO3)	182		0.50	mg/L		29-APR-17	
Total Suspended Solids	2.3		2.0	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3710052
Total Dissolved Solids	163		20	mg/L		28-APR-17	R3710285
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	187		2.0	mg/L		28-APR-17	R3710141
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.078		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709259
Chloride (Cl)	10.7		0.10	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Fluoride (F)	0.067		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Nitrate and Nitrite as N	0.899		0.040	mg/L		03-MAY-17	
Nitrate (as N)	0.876		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Nitrite (as N)	0.023		0.010	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Phosphorus (P)-Total	0.0163		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3709801
Sulfate (SO4)	16.5		0.30	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Cyanides							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709375
Cyanide, Total	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709375
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
Dissolved Carbon Filtration Location	FIELD					27-APR-17	R3709199
Dissolved Organic Carbon	16.3		1.0	mg/L	27-APR-17	27-APR-17	R3709687
Total Organic Carbon	17.0		1.0	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709678
Total Metals							
Aluminum (Al)-Total	0.0625		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Antimony (Sb)-Total	0.00126		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Arsenic (As)-Total	0.00080		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Barium (Ba)-Total	0.0229		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Beryllium (Be)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1917630-3 PINR-DUP Sampled By: KB, KM on 24-APR-17 @ 13:00 Matrix: Water							
Total Metals							
Bismuth (Bi)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Boron (B)-Total	0.027		0.010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	0.0000071		0.0000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Calcium (Ca)-Total	42.7		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cesium (Cs)-Total	0.000013		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Chromium (Cr)-Total	0.00024		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cobalt (Co)-Total	0.00015		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Copper (Cu)-Total	0.00098		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Iron (Fe)-Total	0.201		0.010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Lead (Pb)-Total	0.000052		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Lithium (Li)-Total	0.0101		0.0010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Magnesium (Mg)-Total	19.7		0.0050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Manganese (Mn)-Total	0.0288		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Mercury (Hg)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709180
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	0.00263		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Nickel (Ni)-Total	0.00122		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Phosphorus (P)-Total	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Potassium (K)-Total	3.13		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Rubidium (Rb)-Total	0.00195		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Selenium (Se)-Total	0.000183		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Silicon (Si)-Total	1.43		0.10	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Silver (Ag)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Sodium (Na)-Total	9.15		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Strontium (Sr)-Total	0.155		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Sulfur (S)-Total	5.75		0.50	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tellurium (Te)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Thallium (Tl)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Thorium (Th)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tin (Sn)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Titanium (Ti)-Total	0.00260		0.00030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tungsten (W)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Uranium (U)-Total	0.00187		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Vanadium (V)-Total	0.00078		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Zinc (Zn)-Total	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Zirconium (Zr)-Total	0.000243		0.000060	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					27-APR-17	R3709282
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	41.6		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710183
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	19.1		0.0050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710183
Radiological Parameters							
Ra-226	<0.010		0.010	Bq/L		10-MAY-17	R3719745

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1917630-4 STUC-REF							
Sampled By: KB, KM on 24-APR-17 @ 14:40							
Matrix: Water							
Physical Tests							
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	98.1		0.50	mg/L		29-APR-17	
Total Suspended Solids	9.4		2.0	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3710052
Total Dissolved Solids	225		13	mg/L		28-APR-17	R3710285
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO ₃)	83.1		2.0	mg/L		28-APR-17	R3710141
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.020		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709259
Chloride (Cl)	6.19		0.10	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Fluoride (F)	0.041		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Nitrate and Nitrite as N	<0.040		0.040	mg/L		03-MAY-17	
Nitrate (as N)	<0.020		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Phosphorus (P)-Total	0.0402		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3709801
Sulfate (SO ₄)	9.38		0.30	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709534
Cyanides							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709375
Cyanide, Total	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709375
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
Dissolved Carbon Filtration Location	FIELD					27-APR-17	R3709199
Dissolved Organic Carbon	28.3		1.0	mg/L	27-APR-17	27-APR-17	R3709687
Total Organic Carbon	28.8		1.0	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709678
Total Metals							
Aluminum (Al)-Total	0.552		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Antimony (Sb)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Arsenic (As)-Total	0.00086		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Barium (Ba)-Total	0.0171		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Beryllium (Be)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Bismuth (Bi)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Boron (B)-Total	0.011		0.010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	0.0000152		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Calcium (Ca)-Total	22.7		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cesium (Cs)-Total	0.000075		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Chromium (Cr)-Total	0.00124		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Cobalt (Co)-Total	0.00044		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Copper (Cu)-Total	0.00185		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Iron (Fe)-Total	0.829		0.010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Lead (Pb)-Total	0.000367		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Lithium (Li)-Total	0.0043		0.0010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Magnesium (Mg)-Total	10.7		0.0050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Manganese (Mn)-Total	0.0502		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Mercury (Hg)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L		27-APR-17	R3709180
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	0.000414		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Nickel (Ni)-Total	0.00207		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1917630-4 STUC-REF							
Sampled By: KB, KM on 24-APR-17 @ 14:40							
Matrix: Water							
Total Metals							
Phosphorus (P)-Total	0.051		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Potassium (K)-Total	1.83		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Rubidium (Rb)-Total	0.00224		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Selenium (Se)-Total	0.000185		0.000050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Silicon (Si)-Total	2.98		0.10	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Silver (Ag)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Sodium (Na)-Total	4.00		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Strontium (Sr)-Total	0.0580		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Sulfur (S)-Total	3.38		0.50	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tellurium (Te)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Thallium (Tl)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Thorium (Th)-Total	0.00011		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tin (Sn)-Total	0.00011		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Titanium (Ti)-Total	0.0162		0.00030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Tungsten (W)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Uranium (U)-Total	0.000887		0.000010	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Vanadium (V)-Total	0.00225		0.00050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Zinc (Zn)-Total	0.0086		0.0030	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Zirconium (Zr)-Total	0.000648		0.000060	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710164
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					27-APR-17	R3709282
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	22.0		0.050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710183
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	10.5		0.0050	mg/L	27-APR-17	28-APR-17	R3710183
Radiological Parameters							
Ra-226	<0.010		0.010	Bq/L		10-MAY-17	R3719745

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

Reference Information

QC Samples with Qualifiers & Comments:

QC Type Description	Parameter	Qualifier	Applies to Sample Number(s)
Matrix Spike	Dissolved Organic Carbon	MS-B	L1917630-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1917630-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1917630-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Total Organic Carbon	MS-B	L1917630-1, -2, -3, -4

Sample Parameter Qualifier key listed:

Qualifier	Description
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.

Test Method References:

ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference**
ALK-TITR-TB	Water	Alkalinity	APHA 2320B modified This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 2320 "Alkalinity". Total alkalinity is determined by potentiometric titration to a pH 4.5 endpoint. Bicarbonate, carbonate and hydroxide alkalinity are calculated from phenolphthalein alkalinity and total alkalinity values.
CL-L-IC-N-TB	Water	Chloride in Water by IC (Low Level)	EPA 300.1 (mod) Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.
CN-T-CFA-TB	Water	Total Cyanide by CFA	ISO 14403-2:2012 (modified) This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from ISO Method 14403:2002 "Determination of Total Cyanide using Flow Analysis (FIA and CFA)". Total or strong acid dissociable (SAD) cyanide is determined by in-line UV digestion along with sample distillation and final determination by colourimetric analysis. Method Limitation: This method is susceptible to interference from thiocyanate (SCN). If SCN is present in the sample, there could be a positive interference with this method, but it would be less than 1% and could be as low as zero.
CN-WAD-CFA-TB	Water	Weak Acid Dissociable Cyanide by CFA	APHA 4500-CN CYANIDE (modified) This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-CN I. "Weak Acid Dissociable Cyanide". Weak Acid Dissociable (WAD) cyanide is determined by in-line sample distillation with final determination by colourimetric analysis.
DOC-TB	Water	Dissolved Organic Carbon	APHA 5310 B modified Water samples are determined by filtering the sample through a 0.45 micron membrane filter prior to analysis. Analyzed by converting all carbonaceous material to carbon dioxide (CO ₂) by catalytic combustion at 850°C. The CO ₂ generated is measured by an infrared detector and is directly proportional to concentration of carbonaceous material in the sample
ETL-N2N3-TB	Water	Calculate from NO ₂ + NO ₃	Calculation
F-IC-N-TB	Water	Fluoride in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod) Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.
HARDNESS-CALC-TB	Water	Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	CALCULATION
HG-T-CVAF-TB	Water	Total Mercury in Water by CVAFS	EPA 1631E (mod) Water samples undergo a cold-oxidation using bromine monochloride prior to reduction with stannous chloride, and analyzed by CVAFS.
MET-D-CCMS-TB	Water	Dissolved Metals in Water by CRC ICPMS	APHA 3030B/6020A (mod) Water samples are filtered (0.45 um), preserved with nitric acid, and analyzed by CRC ICPMS. Method Limitation (re: Sulfur): Sulfide and volatile sulfur species may not be recovered by this method.
MET-T-CCMS-TB	Water	Total Metals in Water by CRC ICPMS	EPA 200.2/6020A (mod) Water samples are digested with nitric and perchloric acids, and analyzed by CRC ICPMS. Method Limitation (re: Sulfur): Sulfide and volatile sulfur species may not be recovered by this method.
NH3-COL-TB	Water	Ammonia by Discrete Analyzer	APHA 4500-NH ₃ G. (modified) Ammonia in aqueous matrices is analyzed using discrete analyzer with colourimetric detection.
NO2-IC-N-TB	Water	Nitrite in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod) Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.
NO3-IC-N-TB	Water	Nitrate in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod) Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.
P-T-COL-TB	Water	Total Phosphorus by Discrete Analyzer	APHA 4500-P B, F, G (modified) Phosphorus in aqueous matrices is analyzed using discrete Analyzer with colourimetric detection.
SO4-IC-N-TB	Water	Sulfate in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod) Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.

Reference Information

TDS-TB	Water	Total Dissolved Solids	APHA 2540 C (modified)
Aqueous matrices are analyzed using gravimetry and evaporation			
TOC-TB	Water	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	APHA 5310 B modified
Water samples are analyzed by converting all carbonaceous material to carbon dioxide (CO ₂) by catalytic combustion at 850°C. The CO ₂ generated is measured by an infrared detector and is directly proportional to concentration of carbonaceous material in the sample			
TSS-TB	Water	Total Suspended Solids	APHA 2540 D (modified)
Aqueous matrices are analyzed using gravimetry			

** ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
TB	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - THUNDER BAY, ONTARIO, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogates are compounds that are similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that do not normally occur in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. In reports that display the D.L. column, laboratory objectives for surrogates are listed there.

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid weight of sample

mg/L - unit of concentration based on volume, parts per million.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reporting limit.

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1917630

Report Date: 15-MAY-17

Page 1 of 8

Client: MINNOW ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
 2 Lamb Street
 Georgetown ON L7G 3M9

Contact: Jess Tester

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
ALK-TITR-TB		Water						
Batch	R3710141							
WG2518890-2	LCS							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)			104.5		%		85-115	28-APR-17
WG2518890-1	MB							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)			<2.0		mg/L		2	28-APR-17
CL-L-IC-N-TB		Water						
Batch	R3709534							
WG2517980-3	DUP	L1917630-2						
Chloride (Cl)		10.9	10.8		mg/L	1.4	20	27-APR-17
WG2517980-2	LCS							
Chloride (Cl)			103.2		%		90-110	27-APR-17
WG2517980-1	MB							
Chloride (Cl)			<0.10		mg/L		0.1	27-APR-17
WG2517980-4	MS	L1917630-2						
Chloride (Cl)			94.8		%		75-125	27-APR-17
CN-T-CFA-TB		Water						
Batch	R3709375							
WG2518143-3	DUP	L1917630-2						
Cyanide, Total		<0.0020	<0.0020	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	27-APR-17
WG2518143-2	LCS							
Cyanide, Total			89.8		%		80-120	27-APR-17
WG2518143-1	MB							
Cyanide, Total			<0.0020		mg/L		0.002	27-APR-17
WG2518143-4	MS	L1917630-2						
Cyanide, Total			88.4		%		75-125	27-APR-17
CN-WAD-CFA-TB		Water						
Batch	R3709375							
WG2518143-3	DUP	L1917630-2						
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss		<0.0020	<0.0020	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	27-APR-17
WG2518143-2	LCS							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss			108.3		%		80-120	27-APR-17
WG2518143-1	MB							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss			<0.0020		mg/L		0.002	27-APR-17
WG2518143-4	MS	L1917630-2						
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss			104.4		%		75-125	27-APR-17
DOC-TB		Water						



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1917630

Report Date: 15-MAY-17

Page 2 of 8

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
DOC-TB								
Water								
Batch	R3709687							
WG2518230-3	DUP	L1917630-4						
Dissolved Organic Carbon		28.3	28.4		mg/L	0.6	20	27-APR-17
WG2518230-2	LCS							
Dissolved Organic Carbon			103.0		%		80-120	27-APR-17
WG2518230-1	MB							
Dissolved Organic Carbon			<1.0		mg/L		1	27-APR-17
WG2518230-4	MS	L1917630-4						
Dissolved Organic Carbon			N/A	MS-B	%		-	27-APR-17
F-IC-N-TB								
Water								
Batch	R3709534							
WG2517980-3	DUP	L1917630-2						
Fluoride (F)		0.070	0.068		mg/L	2.9	20	27-APR-17
WG2517980-2	LCS							
Fluoride (F)			102.1		%		90-110	27-APR-17
WG2517980-1	MB							
Fluoride (F)			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	27-APR-17
WG2517980-4	MS	L1917630-2						
Fluoride (F)			86.4		%		75-125	27-APR-17
HG-T-CVAF-TB								
Water								
Batch	R3709180							
WG2518150-3	DUP	L1917630-1						
Mercury (Hg)-Total		<0.0000050	<0.0000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	27-APR-17
WG2518150-2	LCS							
Mercury (Hg)-Total			95.5		%		80-120	27-APR-17
WG2518150-1	MB							
Mercury (Hg)-Total			<0.0000050		mg/L		0.000005	27-APR-17
WG2518150-4	MS	L1917630-2						
Mercury (Hg)-Total			93.8		%		70-130	27-APR-17
MET-D-CCMS-TB								
Water								
Batch	R3710183							
WG2518212-3	DUP	L1917630-2						
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved		43.5	43.5		mg/L	0.1	20	28-APR-17
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved		19.9	20.3		mg/L	1.8	20	28-APR-17
WG2518212-2	LCS							
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved			104.7		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved			109.6		%		80-120	28-APR-17
WG2518212-1	MB							
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	28-APR-17



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1917630

Report Date: 15-MAY-17

Page 3 of 8

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-D-CCMS-TB								
	Water							
Batch	R3710183							
WG2518212-1	MB							
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	28-APR-17
WG2518212-4	MS	L1917630-2						
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved			N/A	MS-B	%		-	28-APR-17
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved			N/A	MS-B	%		-	28-APR-17
MET-T-CCMS-TB								
	Water							
Batch	R3710164							
WG2518004-2	LCS							
Aluminum (Al)-Total			103.8		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Antimony (Sb)-Total			101.7		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Arsenic (As)-Total			99.4		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Barium (Ba)-Total			93.9		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Beryllium (Be)-Total			105.0		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Bismuth (Bi)-Total			100.6		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Boron (B)-Total			104.0		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Cadmium (Cd)-Total			97.1		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Calcium (Ca)-Total			99.9		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Cesium (Cs)-Total			102.5		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Chromium (Cr)-Total			99.2		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Cobalt (Co)-Total			100.3		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Copper (Cu)-Total			98.9		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Iron (Fe)-Total			104.2		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Lead (Pb)-Total			102.2		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Lithium (Li)-Total			105.8		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Magnesium (Mg)-Total			104.6		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Manganese (Mn)-Total			99.8		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total			93.8		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Nickel (Ni)-Total			99.4		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Phosphorus (P)-Total			106.2		%		70-130	28-APR-17
Potassium (K)-Total			109.2		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Rubidium (Rb)-Total			98.8		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Selenium (Se)-Total			94.9		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Silicon (Si)-Total			111.5		%		60-140	28-APR-17
Silver (Ag)-Total			103.0		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Sodium (Na)-Total			107.6		%		80-120	28-APR-17



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1917630

Report Date: 15-MAY-17

Page 4 of 8

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-T-CCMS-TB		Water						
Batch	R3710164							
WG2518004-2	LCS							
Strontium (Sr)-Total			97.6		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Sulfur (S)-Total			102.8		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Tellurium (Te)-Total			91.7		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Thallium (Tl)-Total			100.3		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Thorium (Th)-Total			104.1		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Tin (Sn)-Total			95.9		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Titanium (Ti)-Total			100.9		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Tungsten (W)-Total			101.7		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Uranium (U)-Total			107.8		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Vanadium (V)-Total			101.1		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Zinc (Zn)-Total			92.9		%		80-120	28-APR-17
Zirconium (Zr)-Total			93.5		%		80-120	28-APR-17
WG2518004-1	MB							
Aluminum (Al)-Total			<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	28-APR-17
Antimony (Sb)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	28-APR-17
Arsenic (As)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	28-APR-17
Barium (Ba)-Total			<0.000050		mg/L		0.00005	28-APR-17
Beryllium (Be)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	28-APR-17
Bismuth (Bi)-Total			<0.000050		mg/L		0.00005	28-APR-17
Boron (B)-Total			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	28-APR-17
Cadmium (Cd)-Total			<0.0000050		mg/L		0.000005	28-APR-17
Calcium (Ca)-Total			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	28-APR-17
Cesium (Cs)-Total			<0.000010		mg/L		0.00001	28-APR-17
Chromium (Cr)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	28-APR-17
Cobalt (Co)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	28-APR-17
Copper (Cu)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	28-APR-17
Iron (Fe)-Total			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	28-APR-17
Lead (Pb)-Total			<0.000050		mg/L		0.00005	28-APR-17
Lithium (Li)-Total			<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	28-APR-17
Magnesium (Mg)-Total			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	28-APR-17
Manganese (Mn)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	28-APR-17
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total			<0.000050		mg/L		0.00005	28-APR-17
Nickel (Ni)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	28-APR-17
Phosphorus (P)-Total			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	28-APR-17



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1917630

Report Date: 15-MAY-17

Page 5 of 8

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-T-CCMS-TB								
	Water							
Batch	R3710164							
WG2518004-1	MB							
Potassium (K)-Total			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	28-APR-17
Rubidium (Rb)-Total			<0.00020		mg/L		0.0002	28-APR-17
Selenium (Se)-Total			<0.000050		mg/L		0.00005	28-APR-17
Silicon (Si)-Total			<0.10		mg/L		0.1	28-APR-17
Silver (Ag)-Total			<0.000010		mg/L		0.00001	28-APR-17
Sodium (Na)-Total			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	28-APR-17
Strontium (Sr)-Total			<0.00020		mg/L		0.0002	28-APR-17
Sulfur (S)-Total			<0.50		mg/L		0.5	28-APR-17
Tellurium (Te)-Total			<0.00020		mg/L		0.0002	28-APR-17
Thallium (Tl)-Total			<0.000010		mg/L		0.00001	28-APR-17
Thorium (Th)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	28-APR-17
Tin (Sn)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	28-APR-17
Titanium (Ti)-Total			<0.00030		mg/L		0.0003	28-APR-17
Tungsten (W)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	28-APR-17
Uranium (U)-Total			<0.000010		mg/L		0.00001	28-APR-17
Vanadium (V)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	28-APR-17
Zinc (Zn)-Total			<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	28-APR-17
Zirconium (Zr)-Total			<0.000060		mg/L		0.00006	28-APR-17
NH3-COL-TB								
	Water							
Batch	R3709259							
WG2518112-2	LCS							
Ammonia, Total (as N)			99.2		%		85-115	27-APR-17
WG2518112-1	MB							
Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	27-APR-17
Batch	R3709907							
WG2518804-2	LCS							
Ammonia, Total (as N)			101.4		%		85-115	28-APR-17
WG2518804-1	MB							
Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	28-APR-17
NO2-IC-N-TB								
	Water							
Batch	R3709534							
WG2517980-3	DUP	L1917630-2						
Nitrite (as N)		0.023	0.022		mg/L	3.4	20	27-APR-17
WG2517980-2	LCS							
Nitrite (as N)			103.3		%		90-110	27-APR-17



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1917630

Report Date: 15-MAY-17

Page 6 of 8

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
NO2-IC-N-TB	Water							
Batch	R3709534							
WG2517980-1 MB								
Nitrite (as N)			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	27-APR-17
WG2517980-4 MS		L1917630-2						
Nitrite (as N)			96.2		%		75-125	27-APR-17
NO3-IC-N-TB	Water							
Batch	R3709534							
WG2517980-3 DUP		L1917630-2						
Nitrate (as N)		0.890	0.868		mg/L	2.5	20	27-APR-17
WG2517980-2 LCS								
Nitrate (as N)			100.7		%		90-110	27-APR-17
WG2517980-1 MB								
Nitrate (as N)			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	27-APR-17
WG2517980-4 MS		L1917630-2						
Nitrate (as N)			93.5		%		75-125	27-APR-17
P-T-COL-TB	Water							
Batch	R3709801							
WG2518015-2 LCS								
Phosphorus (P)-Total			92.3		%		80-120	28-APR-17
WG2518015-1 MB								
Phosphorus (P)-Total			<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	28-APR-17
SO4-IC-N-TB	Water							
Batch	R3709534							
WG2517980-3 DUP		L1917630-2						
Sulfate (SO4)		16.8	16.5		mg/L	2.2	20	27-APR-17
WG2517980-2 LCS								
Sulfate (SO4)			103.6		%		90-110	27-APR-17
WG2517980-1 MB								
Sulfate (SO4)			<0.30		mg/L		0.3	27-APR-17
WG2517980-4 MS		L1917630-2						
Sulfate (SO4)			91.5		%		75-125	27-APR-17
TDS-TB	Water							
Batch	R3710285							
WG2519146-2 LCS								
Total Dissolved Solids			97.0		%		85-115	28-APR-17
WG2519146-1 MB								
Total Dissolved Solids			<10		mg/L		10	28-APR-17
TOC-TB	Water							



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1917630

Report Date: 15-MAY-17

Page 7 of 8

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
TOC-TB								
Water								
Batch	R3709678							
WG2517867-3	DUP	L1917630-2						
Total Organic Carbon		16.7	16.6		mg/L	0.7	20	27-APR-17
WG2517867-2	LCS							
Total Organic Carbon			101.9		%		80-120	27-APR-17
WG2517867-1	MB							
Total Organic Carbon			<1.0		mg/L		1	27-APR-17
WG2517867-4	MS	L1917630-2						
Total Organic Carbon			N/A	MS-B	%		-	27-APR-17
TSS-TB								
Water								
Batch	R3710052							
WG2517986-3	DUP	L1917630-4						
Total Suspended Solids		9.4	8.4		mg/L	10	20	27-APR-17
WG2517986-2	LCS							
Total Suspended Solids			96.9		%		85-115	27-APR-17
WG2517986-1	MB							
Total Suspended Solids			<2.0		mg/L		2	27-APR-17

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1917630

Report Date: 15-MAY-17

Page 8 of 8

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against pre-determined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.

Attention: Bobbie Caratti

ALS Laboratory Group
Environmental Div.
1081 Barton St.
Thunder Bay, ON
Canada P7B 5N3

Report Date: 2017/05/12
Report #: R4458453
Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

MAXXAM JOB #: B786605
Received: 2017/05/01, 09:45

Sample Matrix: Water
Samples Received: 4

Analyses	Quantity	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Reference
Radium Isotopes by Alpha Spectrometry (1)	4	N/A	2017/05/10	BQL SOP-00006 BQL SOP-00017 BQL SOP-00032	Alpha Spectrometry

Remarks:

Maxxam Analytics' laboratories are accredited to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 for specific parameters on scopes of accreditation. Unless otherwise noted, procedures used by Maxxam are based upon recognized Provincial, Federal or US method compendia such as CCME, MDDELCC, EPA, APHA.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with procedures and practices ordinarily exercised by professionals in Maxxam's profession using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures (except where otherwise agreed by the client and Maxxam in writing). All data is in statistical control and has met quality control and method performance criteria unless otherwise noted. All method blanks are reported: unless indicated otherwise, associated sample data are not blank corrected.

Maxxam Analytics' liability is limited to the actual cost of the requested analyses, unless otherwise agreed in writing. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Maxxam has been retained to provide analysis of samples provided by the Client using the testing methodology referenced in this report. Interpretation and use of test results are the sole responsibility of the Client and are not within the scope of services provided by Maxxam, unless otherwise agreed in writing.

Solid sample results, except biota, are based on dry weight unless otherwise indicated. Organic analyses are not recovery corrected except for isotope dilution methods.

Results relate to samples tested.

This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Reference Method suffix "m" indicates test methods incorporate validated modifications from specific reference methods to improve performance.

(1) Radium-226 results have not been corrected for blanks.

Your P.O. #: L1917630

Attention: Bobbie Caratti

ALS Laboratory Group
Environmental Div.
1081 Barton St.
Thunder Bay, ON
Canada P7B 5N3

Report Date: 2017/05/12
Report #: R4458453
Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

MAXXAM JOB #: B786605
Received: 2017/05/01, 09:45

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager.
Simona Vatamanescu, Project Manager
Email: SVatamanescu@maxxam.ca
Phone# (905)826-3080

=====
Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Maxxam ID		EHM962	EHM963	EHM964	EHM965		
Sampling Date		2017/04/24	2017/04/24	2017/04/24	2017/04/24		
	UNITS	L1917630-1 TRIP BLANK	L1917630-2 PINR EXP	L1917630-3 PINR DUP	L1917630-4 STUC REF	RDL	QC Batch
Radium-226	Bq/L	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	4965003

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

TEST SUMMARY

Maxxam ID: EHM962
Sample ID: L1917630-1 TRIP BLANK
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2017/04/24
Shipped:
Received: 2017/05/01

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Radium Isotopes by Alpha Spectrometry	AS	4965003	N/A	2017/05/10	Faiz Ahmed

Maxxam ID: EHM963
Sample ID: L1917630-2 PINR EXP
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2017/04/24
Shipped:
Received: 2017/05/01

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Radium Isotopes by Alpha Spectrometry	AS	4965003	N/A	2017/05/10	Faiz Ahmed

Maxxam ID: EHM964
Sample ID: L1917630-3 PINR DUP
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2017/04/24
Shipped:
Received: 2017/05/01

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Radium Isotopes by Alpha Spectrometry	AS	4965003	N/A	2017/05/10	Faiz Ahmed

Maxxam ID: EHM965
Sample ID: L1917630-4 STUC REF
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2017/04/24
Shipped:
Received: 2017/05/01

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Radium Isotopes by Alpha Spectrometry	AS	4965003	N/A	2017/05/10	Faiz Ahmed

GENERAL COMMENTS

Results relate only to the items tested.

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

QA/QC Batch	Init	QC Type	Parameter	Date Analyzed	Value	Recovery	UNITS	QC Limits
4965003	FA5	Spiked Blank	Radium-226	2017/05/09		92	%	85 - 115
4965003	FA5	Method Blank	Radium-226	2017/05/09	<0.010		Bq/L	
4965003	FA5	RPD	Radium-226	2017/05/09	NC		%	N/A

N/A = Not Applicable

Duplicate: Paired analysis of a separate portion of the same sample. Used to evaluate the variance in the measurement.


Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy.

Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination.

NC (Duplicate RPD): The duplicate RPD was not calculated. The concentration in the sample and/or duplicate was too low to permit a reliable RPD calculation (absolute difference $\leq 2x$ RDL).

VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).



Donald Burgess, Senior Scientific Specialist

Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



Chain of Custody (COC) / Analytical Request Form

Canada Toll Free: 1 800 668 9878

www.alsglobal.com



L1917630-COFC

Number: 15 -

Page 1 of 1

Report To Contact and company name below will appear on the final report		Report Format / Distribution			Select Service Level Below - Please confirm all E&P TATs with your AM - surcharges will apply											
Company:	Minnow Environmental Inc.	Select Report Format: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PDF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXCEL <input type="checkbox"/> EDD (DIGITAL)			Regular [R] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT if received by 3 pm - business days - no surcharges apply											
Contact:	Jess Tester	Quality Control (QC) Report with Report <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			PRIORITY (Business Days)	4 day [P4] <input type="checkbox"/>				EMERGENCY	1 Business day [E1] <input type="checkbox"/>					
Phone:	905-873-3371	<input type="checkbox"/> Compare Results to Criteria on Report - provide details below if box checked				3 day [P3] <input type="checkbox"/>					Same Day, Weekend or Statutory holiday [E0] <input type="checkbox"/>					
Company address below will appear on the final report		Select Distribution: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EMAIL <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL <input type="checkbox"/> FAX				2 day [P2] <input type="checkbox"/>										
Street:	2 Lamb Street	Email 1 or Fax: <u>jtester@minnow.ca</u>			Date and Time Required for all E&P TATs:						dd-mmm-yy hh:mm					
City/Province:	Georgetown, ON	Email 2			For tests that can not be performed according to the service level selected, you will be contacted.											
Postal Code:	L7G 3M9	Email 3			Analysis Request											
Invoice To	Same as Report To <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	Invoice Distribution			Indicate Filtered (F), Preserved (P) or Filtered and Preserved (F/P) below											
	Copy of Invoice with Report <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	Select Invoice Distribution: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EMAIL <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL <input type="checkbox"/> FAX														
Company:		Email 1 or Fax: <u>jtester@minnow.ca</u>														
Contact:		Email 2														
Project Information		Oil and Gas Required Fields (client use)														
ALS Account # / Quote #:	Q59584	AFE/Cost Center:		PO#												
Job #:		Major/Minor Code:		Routing Code:												
PO / AFE:		Requisitioner:														
LSD:		Location:														
ALS Lab Work Order # (lab use only)	L1917630	ALS Contact:		Sampler: KB, KM												
ALS Sample # (lab use only)	Sample Identification and/or Coordinates (This description will appear on the report)	Date (dd-mmm-yy)	Time (hh:mm)	Sample Type	Alkalinity, Cl, F, SO4, NO2, NO3 (NO2+NO3)	Total Metals *	Total Mercury *	Dissolved Cu, Mg, Manganese	Total Ammonia, Total Phosphorus, TOC	Total Cyanide, WAD Cyanide	TSS, TDS	DOC	Radium 226	Supplies	Number of Containers	
	Trip Blank	24-Apr-17	—	Water	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	9	
	PinR-EXP	24-Apr-17	13:00	Water	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	9	
	PinR-DUP	24-Apr-17	13:00	Water	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	9	
	Stuc-REF	24-Apr-17	14:40	Water	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	9	
Drinking Water (DW) Samples¹ (client use)		Special Instructions / Specify Criteria to add on report by clicking on the drop-down list below (electronic COC only)			SAMPLE CONDITION AS RECEIVED (lab use only)											
Are samples taken from a Regulated DW System? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		DOC and dissolved metals field filtered. Trip Blank unopened.			Frozen <input type="checkbox"/> SIF Observations Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>											
Are samples for human drinking water use? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO					Ice Packs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ice Cubes <input type="checkbox"/> Custody seal intact Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>											
					Cooling Initiated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>											
					INITIAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C						FINAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C					
					1.5											
SHIPMENT RELEASE (client use)				INITIAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)				FINAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)								
Released by:	Date:	Time:	Received by:	Date:	Time:	Received by:	Date:	Time:	Received by:	Date:	Time:	Received by:	Date:	Time:		
<i>ASAW</i>	2017-04-25	11:00	SD	April 26/17	12:55											

REFER TO BACK PAGE FOR ALS LOCATIONS AND SAMPLING INFORMATION

WHITE - LABORATORY COPY YELLOW - CLIENT COPY

OCTOBER 2015 FRONT

Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy.

1. If any water samples are taken from a Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System please submit using an Authorized DW COC form

SD



MINNOW ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
ATTN: Jess Tester
2 Lamb Street
Georgetown ON L7G 3M9

Date Received: 14-SEP-17
Report Date: 10-OCT-17 14:26 (MT)
Version: FINAL

Client Phone: 905-873-3371

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L1991701
Project P.O. #: NOT SUBMITTED
Job Reference: 17-12
C of C Numbers:
Legal Site Desc:

Christine Paradis
Project Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 1081 Barton Street, Thunder Bay, ON P7B 5N3 Canada | Phone: +1 807 623 6463 | Fax: +1 807 623 7598
ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1991701-1 PINR-EXP Sampled By: KB/PS on 13-SEP-17 @ 16:05 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Hardness (as CaCO3)	257		0.50	mg/L		23-SEP-17	
Total Suspended Solids	4.6		2.0	mg/L		16-SEP-17	R3830323
Total Dissolved Solids	308		20	mg/L		16-SEP-17	R3830357
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	260		2.0	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829746
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.027		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3830865
Chloride (Cl)	12.5		0.10	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Fluoride (F)	0.081		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Nitrate (as N)	0.102		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Phosphorus (P)-Total	0.0324		0.0030	mg/L	15-SEP-17	18-SEP-17	R3831652
Sulfate (SO4)	1.79		0.30	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Cyanides							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		19-SEP-17	R3833513
Cyanide, Total	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		19-SEP-17	R3833513
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
Dissolved Carbon Filtration Location	FIELD					18-SEP-17	R3831015
Dissolved Organic Carbon	28.7		1.0	mg/L	18-SEP-17	18-SEP-17	R3831540
Total Organic Carbon	29.1		1.0	mg/L		18-SEP-17	R3831570
Total Metals							
Aluminum (Al)-Total	0.0915		0.0030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Antimony (Sb)-Total	0.00017		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Arsenic (As)-Total	0.00145		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Barium (Ba)-Total	0.0220		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Beryllium (Be)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Bismuth (Bi)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Boron (B)-Total	0.015		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	0.0000056		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Calcium (Ca)-Total	58.4		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cesium (Cs)-Total	0.000011		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Chromium (Cr)-Total	0.00032		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cobalt (Co)-Total	0.00025		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Copper (Cu)-Total	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Iron (Fe)-Total	0.201		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Lead (Pb)-Total	0.000074		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Lithium (Li)-Total	0.0115		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Magnesium (Mg)-Total	28.5		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Manganese (Mn)-Total	0.0840		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Mercury (Hg)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L		17-SEP-17	R3830424
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	0.000185		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Nickel (Ni)-Total	0.00111		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Phosphorus (P)-Total	0.053		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1991701-1 PINR-EXP Sampled By: KB/PS on 13-SEP-17 @ 16:05 Matrix: WATER							
Total Metals							
Potassium (K)-Total	2.13		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Rubidium (Rb)-Total	0.00158		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Selenium (Se)-Total	0.000177		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Silicon (Si)-Total	2.66		0.10	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Silver (Ag)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Sodium (Na)-Total	9.17		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Strontium (Sr)-Total	0.124		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Sulfur (S)-Total	1.20		0.50	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tellurium (Te)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Thallium (Tl)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Thorium (Th)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tin (Sn)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Titanium (Ti)-Total	0.00279		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tungsten (W)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Uranium (U)-Total	0.000525		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Vanadium (V)-Total	0.00079		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Zinc (Zn)-Total	0.0038		0.0030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Zirconium (Zr)-Total	0.000170		0.000060	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					21-SEP-17	R3835536
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	56.4		0.050	mg/L	21-SEP-17	21-SEP-17	R3835809
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	28.2		0.0050	mg/L	21-SEP-17	21-SEP-17	R3835809
Radiological Parameters							
Ra-226	<0.010		0.010	Bq/L		27-SEP-17	R3840929
L1991701-2 PINR-DUP Sampled By: KB/PS on 13-SEP-17 @ 16:05 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Hardness (as CaCO3)	262		0.50	mg/L		23-SEP-17	
Total Suspended Solids	4.3		2.0	mg/L		16-SEP-17	R3830323
Total Dissolved Solids	305		20	mg/L		16-SEP-17	R3830357
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	245		2.0	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829746
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.053		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3830865
Chloride (Cl)	12.4		0.10	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Fluoride (F)	0.081		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Nitrate (as N)	2.95		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Phosphorus (P)-Total	0.0337		0.0030	mg/L	15-SEP-17	18-SEP-17	R3831652
Sulfate (SO4)	2.44		0.30	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Cyanides							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		19-SEP-17	R3833513

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1991701-2 PINR-DUP Sampled By: KB/PS on 13-SEP-17 @ 16:05 Matrix: WATER							
Cyanides							
Cyanide, Total	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		19-SEP-17	R3833513
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
Dissolved Carbon Filtration Location	FIELD					18-SEP-17	R3831015
Dissolved Organic Carbon	29.3		1.0	mg/L	18-SEP-17	18-SEP-17	R3831540
Total Organic Carbon	29.5		1.0	mg/L		18-SEP-17	R3831570
Total Metals							
Aluminum (Al)-Total	0.0947		0.0030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Antimony (Sb)-Total	0.00018		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Arsenic (As)-Total	0.00153		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Barium (Ba)-Total	0.0226		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Beryllium (Be)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Bismuth (Bi)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Boron (B)-Total	0.015		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Calcium (Ca)-Total	57.9		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cesium (Cs)-Total	0.000013		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Chromium (Cr)-Total	0.00034		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cobalt (Co)-Total	0.00027		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Copper (Cu)-Total	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Iron (Fe)-Total	0.219		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Lead (Pb)-Total	0.000068		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Lithium (Li)-Total	0.0119		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Magnesium (Mg)-Total	29.7		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Manganese (Mn)-Total	0.0921		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Mercury (Hg)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L		17-SEP-17	R3830424
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	0.000187		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Nickel (Ni)-Total	0.00114		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Phosphorus (P)-Total	0.064		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Potassium (K)-Total	2.19		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Rubidium (Rb)-Total	0.00156		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Selenium (Se)-Total	0.000172		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Silicon (Si)-Total	2.79		0.10	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Silver (Ag)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Sodium (Na)-Total	9.45		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Strontium (Sr)-Total	0.124		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Sulfur (S)-Total	1.67		0.50	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tellurium (Te)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Thallium (Tl)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Thorium (Th)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tin (Sn)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Titanium (Ti)-Total	0.00303		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1991701-2 PINR-DUP Sampled By: KB/PS on 13-SEP-17 @ 16:05 Matrix: WATER							
Total Metals							
Tungsten (W)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Uranium (U)-Total	0.000516		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Vanadium (V)-Total	0.00082		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Zinc (Zn)-Total	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Zirconium (Zr)-Total	0.000165		0.000060	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					21-SEP-17	R3835536
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	57.8		0.050	mg/L	21-SEP-17	21-SEP-17	R3835809
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	28.5		0.0050	mg/L	21-SEP-17	21-SEP-17	R3835809
Radiological Parameters							
Ra-226	<0.010		0.010	Bq/L		27-SEP-17	R3840929
L1991701-3 STUC-REF Sampled By: KB/PS on 13-SEP-17 @ 14:09 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Hardness (as CaCO3)	174		0.50	mg/L		23-SEP-17	
Total Suspended Solids	14.5		2.0	mg/L		16-SEP-17	R3830323
Total Dissolved Solids	239		20	mg/L		16-SEP-17	R3830357
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	166		2.0	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829746
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.097		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3830865
Chloride (Cl)	6.26		0.10	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Fluoride (F)	0.073		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Nitrate (as N)	0.078		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Phosphorus (P)-Total	0.107		0.030	mg/L	15-SEP-17	18-SEP-17	R3831652
Sulfate (SO4)	1.33		0.30	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Cyanides							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		19-SEP-17	R3833513
Cyanide, Total	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		19-SEP-17	R3833513
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
Dissolved Carbon Filtration Location	FIELD					18-SEP-17	R3831015
Dissolved Organic Carbon	35.7		1.0	mg/L	18-SEP-17	18-SEP-17	R3831540
Total Organic Carbon	37.3		1.0	mg/L		18-SEP-17	R3831570
Total Metals							
Aluminum (Al)-Total	0.435		0.0030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Antimony (Sb)-Total	0.00017		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Arsenic (As)-Total	0.00217		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Barium (Ba)-Total	0.0237		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Beryllium (Be)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Bismuth (Bi)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Boron (B)-Total	0.016		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1991701-3 STUC-REF Sampled By: KB/PS on 13-SEP-17 @ 14:09 Matrix: WATER							
Total Metals							
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	0.0000089		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Calcium (Ca)-Total	40.9		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cesium (Cs)-Total	0.000054		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Chromium (Cr)-Total	0.00091		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cobalt (Co)-Total	0.00065		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Copper (Cu)-Total	0.00110		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Iron (Fe)-Total	0.870		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Lead (Pb)-Total	0.000369		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Lithium (Li)-Total	0.0072		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Magnesium (Mg)-Total	18.9		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Manganese (Mn)-Total	0.220		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Mercury (Hg)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L		17-SEP-17	R3830424
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	0.000516		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Nickel (Ni)-Total	0.00237		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Phosphorus (P)-Total	0.150		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Potassium (K)-Total	1.78		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Rubidium (Rb)-Total	0.00196		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Selenium (Se)-Total	0.000241		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Silicon (Si)-Total	2.92		0.10	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Silver (Ag)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Sodium (Na)-Total	5.63		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Strontium (Sr)-Total	0.105		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Sulfur (S)-Total	1.01		0.50	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tellurium (Te)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Thallium (Tl)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Thorium (Th)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tin (Sn)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Titanium (Ti)-Total	0.0127		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tungsten (W)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Uranium (U)-Total	0.00152		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Vanadium (V)-Total	0.00242		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Zinc (Zn)-Total	0.0038		0.0030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Zirconium (Zr)-Total	0.000521		0.000060	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					21-SEP-17	R3835536
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	39.9		0.050	mg/L	21-SEP-17	21-SEP-17	R3835809
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	18.0		0.0050	mg/L	21-SEP-17	21-SEP-17	R3835809
Radiological Parameters							
Ra-226	<0.010		0.010	Bq/L		27-SEP-17	R3840929
L1991701-4 FIELD BLANK Sampled By: KB/PS on 13-SEP-17 @ 14:09 Matrix: WATER							

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1991701-4 FIELD BLANK Sampled By: KB/PS on 13-SEP-17 @ 14:09 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Hardness (as CaCO3)	<0.50		0.50	mg/L		23-SEP-17	
Total Suspended Solids	<2.0		2.0	mg/L		16-SEP-17	R3830323
Total Dissolved Solids	<10		10	mg/L		16-SEP-17	R3830357
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	<2.0		2.0	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829746
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.102	RRV	0.020	mg/L		19-SEP-17	R3831519
Chloride (Cl)	<0.10		0.10	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Fluoride (F)	<0.020		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Nitrate (as N)	<0.020		0.020	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Phosphorus (P)-Total	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L	15-SEP-17	18-SEP-17	R3831652
Sulfate (SO4)	<0.30		0.30	mg/L		15-SEP-17	R3829990
Cyanides							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		19-SEP-17	R3833513
Cyanide, Total	<0.0020		0.0020	mg/L		19-SEP-17	R3833513
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
Dissolved Carbon Filtration Location	FIELD					18-SEP-17	R3831015
Dissolved Organic Carbon	<1.0		1.0	mg/L	18-SEP-17	18-SEP-17	R3831540
Total Organic Carbon	<1.0		1.0	mg/L		18-SEP-17	R3831570
Total Metals							
Aluminum (Al)-Total	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Antimony (Sb)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Arsenic (As)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Barium (Ba)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Beryllium (Be)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Bismuth (Bi)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Boron (B)-Total	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Calcium (Ca)-Total	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cesium (Cs)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Chromium (Cr)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Cobalt (Co)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Copper (Cu)-Total	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Iron (Fe)-Total	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Lead (Pb)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Lithium (Li)-Total	<0.0010		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Magnesium (Mg)-Total	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Manganese (Mn)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Mercury (Hg)-Total	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L		17-SEP-17	R3830424
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Nickel (Ni)-Total	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Phosphorus (P)-Total	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1991701-4 FIELD BLANK Sampled By: KB/PS on 13-SEP-17 @ 14:09 Matrix: WATER							
Total Metals							
Potassium (K)-Total	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Rubidium (Rb)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Selenium (Se)-Total	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Silicon (Si)-Total	<0.10		0.10	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Silver (Ag)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Sodium (Na)-Total	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Strontium (Sr)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Sulfur (S)-Total	<0.50		0.50	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tellurium (Te)-Total	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Thallium (Tl)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Thorium (Th)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tin (Sn)-Total	0.00032	RRV	0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Titanium (Ti)-Total	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Tungsten (W)-Total	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Uranium (U)-Total	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Vanadium (V)-Total	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Zinc (Zn)-Total	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Zirconium (Zr)-Total	<0.000060		0.000060	mg/L	17-SEP-17	22-SEP-17	R3836532
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					21-SEP-17	R3835536
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	21-SEP-17	21-SEP-17	R3835809
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	21-SEP-17	21-SEP-17	R3835809
Radiological Parameters							
Ra-226	<0.010		0.010	Bq/L		27-SEP-17	R3840929

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

Reference Information

QC Samples with Qualifiers & Comments:

QC Type Description	Parameter	Qualifier	Applies to Sample Number(s)
Method Blank	Total Dissolved Solids	B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Dissolved Organic Carbon	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Barium (Ba)-Total	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Boron (B)-Total	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Calcium (Ca)-Total	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Magnesium (Mg)-Total	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Manganese (Mn)-Total	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Potassium (K)-Total	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Rubidium (Rb)-Total	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Sodium (Na)-Total	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Strontium (Sr)-Total	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Tungsten (W)-Total	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike	Total Organic Carbon	MS-B	L1991701-1, -2, -3, -4

Sample Parameter Qualifier key listed:

Qualifier	Description
B	Method Blank exceeds ALS DQO. Associated sample results which are < Limit of Reporting or > 5 times blank level are considered reliable.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RRV	Reported Result Verified By Repeat Analysis

Test Method References:

ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference**
ALK-TITR-TB	Water	Alkalinity	APHA 2320B modified This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 2320 "Alkalinity". Total alkalinity is determined by potentiometric titration to a pH 4.5 endpoint. Bicarbonate, carbonate and hydroxide alkalinity are calculated from phenolphthalein alkalinity and total alkalinity values.
CL-L-IC-N-TB	Water	Chloride in Water by IC (Low Level)	EPA 300.1 (mod) Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.
CN-TOT-WT	Water	Cyanide, Total	ISO 14403-2 Total cyanide is determined by the combination of UV digestion and distillation. Cyanide is converted to cyanogen chloride by reacting with chloramine-T, the cyanogen chloride then reacts with a combination of barbituric acid and isonicotinic acid to form a highly colored complex. When using this method, high levels of thiocyanate in samples can cause false positives at ~1-2% of the thiocyanate concentration. For samples with detectable cyanide analyzed by this method, ALS recommends analysis for thiocyanate to check for this potential interference
CN-WAD-WT	Water	Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss	APHA 4500CN I-Weak acid Dist Colorimet Weak acid dissociable cyanide (WAD) is determined by undergoing a distillation procedure. Cyanide is converted to cyanogen chloride by reacting with chloramine-T, the cyanogen chloride then reacts with a combination of barbituric acid and isonicotinic acid to form a highly colored complex.
DOC-TB	Water	Dissolved Organic Carbon	APHA 5310 B modified Water samples are determined by filtering the sample through a 0.45 micron membrane filter prior to analysis. Analyzed by converting all carbonaceous material to carbon dioxide (CO2) by catalytic combustion at 850°C. The CO2 generated is measured by an infrared detector and is directly proportional to concentration of carbonaceous material in the sample
F-IC-N-TB	Water	Fluoride in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod) Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.
HARDNESS-CALC-TB	Water	Hardness (as CaCO3)	CALCULATION
HG-T-CVAF-TB	Water	Total Mercury in Water by CVAFS	EPA 1631E (mod) Water samples undergo a cold-oxidation using bromine monochloride prior to reduction with stannous chloride, and analyzed by CVAFS.
MET-D-CCMS-TB	Water	Dissolved Metals in Water by CRC	APHA 3030B/6020A (mod) Water samples are filtered (0.45 um), preserved with nitric acid, and analyzed by CRC ICPMS. Method Limitation (re: Sulfur): Sulfide and volatile sulfur species may not be recovered by this method.
MET-T-CCMS-TB	Water	Total Metals in Water by CRC	EPA 200.2/6020A (mod) Water samples are digested with nitric and hydrochloric acids, and analyzed by CRC ICPMS. Method Limitation (re: Sulfur): Sulfide and volatile sulfur species may not be recovered by this method.

Reference Information

NH3-COL-TB	Water	Ammonia by Discrete Analyzer	APHA 4500-NH3 G. (modified)
Ammonia in aqueous matrices is analyzed using discrete analyzer with colourimetric detection.			
NO2-IC-N-TB	Water	Nitrite in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.			
NO3-IC-N-TB	Water	Nitrate in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.			
P-T-COL-TB	Water	Total Phosphorus by Discrete	APHA 4500-P B, F, G (modified)
Phosphorus in aqueous matrices is analyzed using discrete Analyzer with colourimetric detection.			
SO4-IC-N-TB	Water	Sulfate in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.			
TDS-TB	Water	Total Dissolved Solids	APHA 2540 C (modified)
Aqueous matrices are analyzed using gravimetry and evaporation			
TOC-TB	Water	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	APHA 5310 B modified
Water samples are analyzed by converting all carbonaceous material to carbon dioxide (CO ₂) by catalytic combustion at 850°C. The CO ₂ generated is measured by an infrared detector and is directly proportional to concentration of carbonaceous material in the sample			
TSS-TB	Water	Total Suspended Solids	APHA 2540 D (modified)
Aqueous matrices are analyzed using gravimetry			

** ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
----------------------------	---------------------

WT	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA
TB	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - THUNDER BAY, ONTARIO, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogates are compounds that are similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that do not normally occur in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. In reports that display the D.L. column, laboratory objectives for surrogates are listed there.

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid weight of sample

mg/L - unit of concentration based on volume, parts per million.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reporting limit.

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1991701

Report Date: 10-OCT-17

Page 1 of 7

Client: MINNOW ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
 2 Lamb Street
 Georgetown ON L7G 3M9

Contact: Jess Tester

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
ALK-TITR-TB								
	Water							
Batch	R3829746							
WG2617112-5	LCS							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)			101.3		%		85-115	15-SEP-17
WG2617112-4	MB							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)			<2.0		mg/L		2	15-SEP-17
CL-L-IC-N-TB								
	Water							
Batch	R3829990							
WG2616930-2	LCS							
Chloride (Cl)			100.1		%		90-110	15-SEP-17
WG2616930-1	MB							
Chloride (Cl)			<0.10		mg/L		0.1	15-SEP-17
CN-TOT-WT								
	Water							
Batch	R3833513							
WG2619208-6	LCS							
Cyanide, Total			93.2		%		80-120	19-SEP-17
WG2619208-5	MB							
Cyanide, Total			<0.0020		mg/L		0.002	19-SEP-17
CN-WAD-WT								
	Water							
Batch	R3833513							
WG2619208-6	LCS							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss			98.3		%		80-120	19-SEP-17
WG2619208-5	MB							
Cyanide, Weak Acid Diss			<0.0020		mg/L		0.002	19-SEP-17
DOC-TB								
	Water							
Batch	R3831540							
WG2618669-3	DUP	L1991701-1						
Dissolved Organic Carbon		28.7	28.7		mg/L	0.1	20	18-SEP-17
WG2618669-2	LCS							
Dissolved Organic Carbon			105.5		%		80-120	18-SEP-17
WG2618669-1	MB							
Dissolved Organic Carbon			<1.0		mg/L		1	18-SEP-17
WG2618669-4	MS	L1991701-1						
Dissolved Organic Carbon			N/A	MS-B	%		-	18-SEP-17
F-IC-N-TB								
	Water							
Batch	R3829990							
WG2616930-2	LCS							
Fluoride (F)			107.4		%		90-110	15-SEP-17
WG2616930-1	MB							



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1991701

Report Date: 10-OCT-17

Page 2 of 7

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
F-IC-N-TB								
Water								
Batch R3829990								
WG2616930-1 MB								
Fluoride (F)								
			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	15-SEP-17
HG-T-CVAF-TB								
Water								
Batch R3830424								
WG2617985-3 DUP								
		L1991701-1	<0.0000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-17
WG2617985-2 LCS								
			99.5		%		80-120	17-SEP-17
WG2617985-1 MB								
			<0.0000050		mg/L		0.000005	17-SEP-17
WG2617985-4 MS								
		L1991701-2	106.8		%		70-130	17-SEP-17
MET-D-CCMS-TB								
Water								
Batch R3835809								
WG2620478-2 LCS								
			101.2		%		80-120	21-SEP-17
			110.4		%		80-120	21-SEP-17
WG2620478-1 MB								
			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	21-SEP-17
			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	21-SEP-17
MET-T-CCMS-TB								
Water								
Batch R3836532								
WG2617916-2 LCS								
			105.4		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			103.7		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			102.6		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			102.0		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			104.6		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			102.9		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			93.3		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			101.9		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			103.9		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			101.0		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			104.3		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
			105.1		%		80-120	22-SEP-17



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1991701

Report Date: 10-OCT-17

Page 3 of 7

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-T-CCMS-TB								
	Water							
Batch	R3836532							
WG2617916-2	LCS							
Copper (Cu)-Total			102.6		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Iron (Fe)-Total			101.9		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Lead (Pb)-Total			101.1		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Lithium (Li)-Total			106.2		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Magnesium (Mg)-Total			111.2		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Manganese (Mn)-Total			102.3		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total			102.2		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Nickel (Ni)-Total			103.3		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Phosphorus (P)-Total			101.4		%		70-130	22-SEP-17
Potassium (K)-Total			108.2		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Rubidium (Rb)-Total			104.5		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Selenium (Se)-Total			101.1		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Silicon (Si)-Total			108.3		%		60-140	22-SEP-17
Silver (Ag)-Total			101.5		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Sodium (Na)-Total			106.0		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Strontium (Sr)-Total			104.2		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Sulfur (S)-Total			100.4		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Tellurium (Te)-Total			95.9		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Thallium (Tl)-Total			101.6		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Thorium (Th)-Total			100.1		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Tin (Sn)-Total			101.7		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Titanium (Ti)-Total			103.0		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Tungsten (W)-Total			103.4		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Uranium (U)-Total			102.4		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Vanadium (V)-Total			105.7		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Zinc (Zn)-Total			102.0		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
Zirconium (Zr)-Total			101.0		%		80-120	22-SEP-17
WG2617916-1	MB							
Aluminum (Al)-Total			<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	22-SEP-17
Antimony (Sb)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	22-SEP-17
Arsenic (As)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	22-SEP-17
Barium (Ba)-Total			<0.000050		mg/L		0.00005	22-SEP-17
Beryllium (Be)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	22-SEP-17
Bismuth (Bi)-Total			<0.000050		mg/L		0.00005	22-SEP-17



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1991701

Report Date: 10-OCT-17

Page 4 of 7

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-T-CCMS-TB		Water						
Batch	R3836532							
WG2617916-1	MB							
Boron (B)-Total			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	22-SEP-17
Cadmium (Cd)-Total			<0.0000050		mg/L		0.000005	22-SEP-17
Calcium (Ca)-Total			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	22-SEP-17
Cesium (Cs)-Total			<0.000010		mg/L		0.00001	22-SEP-17
Chromium (Cr)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	22-SEP-17
Cobalt (Co)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	22-SEP-17
Copper (Cu)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	22-SEP-17
Iron (Fe)-Total			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	22-SEP-17
Lead (Pb)-Total			<0.000050		mg/L		0.00005	22-SEP-17
Lithium (Li)-Total			<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	22-SEP-17
Magnesium (Mg)-Total			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	22-SEP-17
Manganese (Mn)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	22-SEP-17
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total			<0.000050		mg/L		0.00005	22-SEP-17
Nickel (Ni)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	22-SEP-17
Phosphorus (P)-Total			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	22-SEP-17
Potassium (K)-Total			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	22-SEP-17
Rubidium (Rb)-Total			<0.00020		mg/L		0.0002	22-SEP-17
Selenium (Se)-Total			<0.000050		mg/L		0.00005	22-SEP-17
Silicon (Si)-Total			<0.10		mg/L		0.1	22-SEP-17
Silver (Ag)-Total			<0.000010		mg/L		0.00001	22-SEP-17
Sodium (Na)-Total			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	22-SEP-17
Strontium (Sr)-Total			<0.00020		mg/L		0.0002	22-SEP-17
Sulfur (S)-Total			<0.50		mg/L		0.5	22-SEP-17
Tellurium (Te)-Total			<0.00020		mg/L		0.0002	22-SEP-17
Thallium (Tl)-Total			<0.000010		mg/L		0.00001	22-SEP-17
Thorium (Th)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	22-SEP-17
Tin (Sn)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	22-SEP-17
Titanium (Ti)-Total			<0.00030		mg/L		0.0003	22-SEP-17
Tungsten (W)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	22-SEP-17
Uranium (U)-Total			<0.000010		mg/L		0.00001	22-SEP-17
Vanadium (V)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	22-SEP-17
Zinc (Zn)-Total			<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	22-SEP-17
Zirconium (Zr)-Total			<0.000060		mg/L		0.00006	22-SEP-17

NH3-COL-TB

Water



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1991701

Report Date: 10-OCT-17

Page 5 of 7

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
NH3-COL-TB								
Batch R3830865								
WG2617082-3	DUP	L1991701-1						
Ammonia, Total (as N)		0.027	0.027		mg/L	0.8	20	15-SEP-17
WG2617082-2	LCS							
Ammonia, Total (as N)			97.3		%		85-115	15-SEP-17
WG2617082-1	MB							
Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	15-SEP-17
WG2617082-4	MS	L1991701-1						
Ammonia, Total (as N)			89.0		%		75-125	15-SEP-17
Batch R3831519								
WG2619165-2	LCS							
Ammonia, Total (as N)			94.2		%		85-115	19-SEP-17
WG2619165-1	MB							
Ammonia, Total (as N)			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	19-SEP-17
NO2-IC-N-TB								
Batch R3829990								
WG2616930-2	LCS							
Nitrite (as N)			105.6		%		90-110	15-SEP-17
WG2616930-1	MB							
Nitrite (as N)			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	15-SEP-17
NO3-IC-N-TB								
Batch R3829990								
WG2616930-2	LCS							
Nitrate (as N)			99.2		%		90-110	15-SEP-17
WG2616930-1	MB							
Nitrate (as N)			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	15-SEP-17
P-T-COL-TB								
Batch R3831652								
WG2616841-3	DUP	L1991701-1						
Phosphorus (P)-Total		0.0324	0.0358		mg/L	10	20	18-SEP-17
WG2616841-2	LCS							
Phosphorus (P)-Total			103.4		%		80-120	18-SEP-17
WG2616841-1	MB							
Phosphorus (P)-Total			<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	18-SEP-17
WG2616841-4	MS	L1991701-1						
Phosphorus (P)-Total			81.7		%		70-130	18-SEP-17
SO4-IC-N-TB								
Water								



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1991701

Report Date: 10-OCT-17

Page 6 of 7

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
SO4-IC-N-TB								
Batch	R3829990							
WG2616930-2	LCS							
Sulfate (SO4)			100.9		%		90-110	15-SEP-17
WG2616930-1	MB							
Sulfate (SO4)			<0.30		mg/L		0.3	15-SEP-17
TDS-TB								
Batch	R3830357							
WG2617611-2	LCS							
Total Dissolved Solids			99.4		%		85-115	16-SEP-17
WG2617611-1	MB							
Total Dissolved Solids			10	B	mg/L		10	16-SEP-17
TOC-TB								
Batch	R3831570							
WG2618316-3	DUP	L1991701-1						
Total Organic Carbon		29.1	30.2		mg/L	3.6	20	18-SEP-17
WG2618316-2	LCS							
Total Organic Carbon			110.1		%		80-120	18-SEP-17
WG2618316-1	MB							
Total Organic Carbon			<1.0		mg/L		1	18-SEP-17
WG2618316-4	MS	L1991701-1						
Total Organic Carbon			N/A	MS-B	%		-	18-SEP-17
TSS-TB								
Batch	R3830323							
WG2617757-2	LCS							
Total Suspended Solids			96.4		%		85-115	16-SEP-17
WG2617757-1	MB							
Total Suspended Solids			<2.0		mg/L		2	16-SEP-17

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1991701

Report Date: 10-OCT-17

Page 7 of 7

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
B	Method Blank exceeds ALS DQO. Associated sample results which are < Limit of Reporting or > 5 times blank level are considered reliable.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against pre-determined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.

Attention:Christine Paradis

ALS Laboratory Group
Environmental Div.
1081 Barton St.
Thunder Bay, ON
Canada P7B 5N3

Report Date: 2017/09/29
Report #: R4744993
Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

MAXXAM JOB #: B7K2765
Received: 2017/09/18, 09:50

Sample Matrix: Water
Samples Received: 4

Analyses	Quantity	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Reference
Radium Isotopes by Alpha Spectrometry (1)	4	N/A	2017/09/27	BQL SOP-00006 BQL SOP-00017 BQL SOP-00032	Alpha Spectrometry

Remarks:

Maxxam Analytics' laboratories are accredited to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 for specific parameters on scopes of accreditation. Unless otherwise noted, procedures used by Maxxam are based upon recognized Provincial, Federal or US method compendia such as CCME, MDDELCC, EPA, APHA.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with procedures and practices ordinarily exercised by professionals in Maxxam's profession using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures (except where otherwise agreed by the client and Maxxam in writing). All data is in statistical control and has met quality control and method performance criteria unless otherwise noted. All method blanks are reported: unless indicated otherwise, associated sample data are not blank corrected.

Maxxam Analytics' liability is limited to the actual cost of the requested analyses, unless otherwise agreed in writing. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Maxxam has been retained to provide analysis of samples provided by the Client using the testing methodology referenced in this report. Interpretation and use of test results are the sole responsibility of the Client and are not within the scope of services provided by Maxxam, unless otherwise agreed in writing.

Solid sample results, except biota, are based on dry weight unless otherwise indicated. Organic analyses are not recovery corrected except for isotope dilution methods.

Results relate to samples tested.

This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Reference Method suffix "m" indicates test methods incorporate validated modifications from specific reference methods to improve performance.

(1) Radium-226 results have not been corrected for blanks.

Your P.O. #: L1991701

Attention:Christine Paradis

ALS Laboratory Group
Environmental Div.
1081 Barton St.
Thunder Bay, ON
Canada P7B 5N3

Report Date: 2017/09/29
Report #: R4744993
Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

MAXXAM JOB #: B7K2765
Received: 2017/09/18, 09:50

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to your Project Manager.
Simona Vatamanescu, Project Manager
Email: SVatamanescu@maxxam.ca
Phone# (905)826-3080

=====
Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Maxxam ID		FDH376	FDH377	FDH378	FDH379		
Sampling Date		2017/09/13	2017/09/13	2017/09/13	2017/09/13		
	UNITS	L1991701-1 PINR-EXP	L1991701-2 PINR-DUP	L1991701-3 STUC-REF	L1991701-4 FIELD BLANK	RDL	QC Batch
Radium-226	Bq/L	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	5173929

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

TEST SUMMARY

Maxxam ID: FDH376
Sample ID: L1991701-1 PINR-EXP
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2017/09/13
Shipped:
Received: 2017/09/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Radium Isotopes by Alpha Spectrometry	AS	5173929	N/A	2017/09/27	Faiz Ahmed

Maxxam ID: FDH377
Sample ID: L1991701-2 PINR-DUP
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2017/09/13
Shipped:
Received: 2017/09/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Radium Isotopes by Alpha Spectrometry	AS	5173929	N/A	2017/09/27	Faiz Ahmed

Maxxam ID: FDH378
Sample ID: L1991701-3 STUC-REF
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2017/09/13
Shipped:
Received: 2017/09/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Radium Isotopes by Alpha Spectrometry	AS	5173929	N/A	2017/09/27	Faiz Ahmed

Maxxam ID: FDH379
Sample ID: L1991701-4 FIELD BLANK
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2017/09/13
Shipped:
Received: 2017/09/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Radium Isotopes by Alpha Spectrometry	AS	5173929	N/A	2017/09/27	Faiz Ahmed

GENERAL COMMENTS

Results relate only to the items tested.

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

QA/QC Batch	Init	QC Type	Parameter	Date Analyzed	Value	Recovery	UNITS	QC Limits
5173929	FA5	Spiked Blank	Radium-226	2017/09/27		91	%	85 - 115
5173929	FA5	Method Blank	Radium-226	2017/09/27	<0.010		Bq/L	
5173929	FA5	RPD	Radium-226	2017/09/27	NC		%	N/A

N/A = Not Applicable

Duplicate: Paired analysis of a separate portion of the same sample. Used to evaluate the variance in the measurement.



Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy.

Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination.

NC (Duplicate RPD): The duplicate RPD was not calculated. The concentration in the sample and/or duplicate was too low to permit a reliable RPD calculation (absolute difference $\leq 2x$ RDL).

VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by the following individual(s).

Kurt Headrick, Ph.D., C. Chem., Laboratory Manager

Maxxam has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per section 5.10.2 of ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E), signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation please refer to the Validation Signature Page.



L1991701-COFC

www.alsglobal.com

Report To Contact and company name below will appear on the final report		Report Format / Distribution			Select Service Level Below - Please confirm all E&P TATs with your AM - surcharges will apply												
Company: Minnow Environmental Inc.		Select Report Format: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PDF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXCEL <input type="checkbox"/> EDD (DIGITAL)			Regular [R] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT if received by 3 pm - business days - no surcharges apply												
Contact: Jess Tester		Quality Control (QC) Report with Report <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			PRIORITY (Business Days) 4 day [P4] <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day [P3] <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day [P2] <input type="checkbox"/>		EMERGENCY 1 Business day [E1] <input type="checkbox"/> Same Day, Weekend or Statutory holiday [E0] <input type="checkbox"/>										
Phone: 905-873-3371		<input type="checkbox"/> Compare Results to Criteria on Report - provide details below if box checked															
Company address below will appear on the final report		Select Distribution: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EMAIL <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL <input type="checkbox"/> FAX															
Street: 2 Lamb Street		Email 1 or Fax: jtester@minnow.ca			Date and Time Required for all E&P TATs: dd-mmm-yy hh:mm												
City/Province: Georgetown, ON		Email 2: kbatchelar@minnow.ca			For tests that can not be performed according to the service level selected, you will be contacted.												
Postal Code: L7G 3M9		Email 3:															
Invoice To		Invoice Distribution			Analysis Request												
Same as Report To <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		Select Invoice Distribution: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EMAIL <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL <input type="checkbox"/> FAX			Indicate Filtered (F), Preserved (P) or Filtered and Preserved (F/P) below												
Copy of Invoice with Report <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		Email 1 or Fax: jtester@minnow.ca			P P												
Company:		Email 2: kbatchelar@minnow.ca			Alkalinity, Cl, F, SO4, NO2, NO3 (NO2+NO3) Total Metals Total Mercury Dissolved Ca, Mg, Hardness Total Ammonia, Total Phosphorus, TOC Total Cyanide, WAD Cyanide TSS, TDS DOC Radium 226 Sulphides Number of Containers												
Project Information		Oil and Gas Required Fields (client use)															
ALS Account # / Quote #: Q59584		AFE/Cost Center: PO#															
Job #: 17-12		Major/Minor Code: Routing Code:															
PO / AFE:		Requisitioner:															
LSD:		Location:															
ALS Lab Work Order # (lab use only) L1991701		ALS Contact: Christine Paradis Sampler: KB, PS															
Sample Identification and/or Coordinates		Date		Time		Sample Type											
(This description will appear on the report)		(dd-mmm-yy)		(hh:mm)													
PinR-EXP		13-Sept-17		16:05		Water											
PinR-DUP		13-Sept-17		16:05		Water											
StuC-REF		13-Sept-17		14:09		Water											
Field Blank		13-Sept-17		14:09		Water											
Trip Blank						Water											
Drinking Water (DW) Samples (client use)		Special Instructions / Specify Criteria to add on report by clicking on the drop-down list below (electronic COC only)			SAMPLE CONDITION AS RECEIVED (lab use only)												
Are samples taken from a Regulated DW System? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		Do not analyse trip blank. Not needed, returned unopened.			Frozen <input type="checkbox"/> SIF Observations Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>												
Are samples for human drinking water use? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO					Ice Packs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ice Cubes <input type="checkbox"/> Custody seal intact Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>												
					Cooling Initiated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
					INITIAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C 5.9				FINAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C								
SHIPMENT RELEASE (client use)		INITIAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)			FINAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)												
Released by: Katharina Batchelar		Date: Sept 14/17		Time: 11:00		Received by: <i>K</i>		Date: Sept 14/17		Time: 5:15		Received by:		Date:		Time:	

REFER TO BACK PAGE FOR ALS LOCATIONS AND SAMPLING INFORMATION

WHITE - LABORATORY COPY YELLOW - CLIENT COPY

OCTOBER 2015 PRINT

Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy.

1. If any water samples are taken from a Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System please submit using an Authorized DW COC form



MINNOW ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
ATTN: Jess Tester
2 Lamb Street
Georgetown ON L7G 3M9

Date Received: 19-SEP-17
Report Date: 05-OCT-17 15:03 (MT)
Version: FINAL

Client Phone: 905-873-3371

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L1995196
Project P.O. #: NOT SUBMITTED
Job Reference: 17-13
C of C Numbers:
Legal Site Desc:

Christine Paradis
Project Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 1081 Barton Street, Thunder Bay, ON P7B 5N3 Canada | Phone: +1 807 623 6463 | Fax: +1 807 623 7598
ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-1 PINR-EXP-1 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	81.8		0.10	%	27-SEP-17	27-SEP-17	R3839576
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	8.3		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	60.2		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	31.5		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Silt loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.61	DLHC	0.10	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0785		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	7.85		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	16700		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Antimony (Sb)	0.14		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	4.36		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	129		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.62		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	10.4		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.448		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	13700		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Chromium (Cr)	34.8		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	12.1		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Copper (Cu)	19.3		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	20000		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lead (Pb)	8.60		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	18.2		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	7950		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	609		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0630		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	1.02		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Nickel (Ni)	24.8		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	781		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Potassium (K)	1790		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.56		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	148		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	32.0		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	1700		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.187		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-1 PINR-EXP-1 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Metals							
Titanium (Ti)	118		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Uranium (U)	1.88		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	42.9		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zinc (Zn)	93.9		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	4.9		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
L1995196-2 PINR-EXP-2 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	76.5		0.10	%	27-SEP-17	27-SEP-17	R3839576
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	16.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	53.4		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	30.5		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Silt loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.43	DLHC	0.10	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0601		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	6.01		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	13900		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Antimony (Sb)	0.19		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	3.75		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	120		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.65		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	11.5		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.323		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	20200		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Chromium (Cr)	36.1		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	10.9		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Copper (Cu)	16.4		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	18300		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lead (Pb)	8.45		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	16.3		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	10100		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	657		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0505		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	1.23		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-2 PINR-EXP-2 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Metals							
Nickel (Ni)	25.2		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	648		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Potassium (K)	1600		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.45		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	131		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	36.2		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	1400		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.178		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Titanium (Ti)	149		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Uranium (U)	1.49		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	39.8		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zinc (Zn)	75.8		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	5.3		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
L1995196-3 PINR-EXP-3 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	85.5		0.10	%	27-SEP-17	27-SEP-17	R3839576
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	2.4		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	69.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	28.6		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Silt loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.69	DLHC	0.20	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0897		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	8.97		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	14100		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Antimony (Sb)	0.16		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	4.83		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	111		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.56		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	10.8		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.401		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	18500		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-3 PINR-EXP-3 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Metals							
Chromium (Cr)	33.8		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	11.2		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Copper (Cu)	17.5		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	18400		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lead (Pb)	7.65		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	17.7		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	10400		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	438		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0587		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	1.44		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Nickel (Ni)	25.0		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	777		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Potassium (K)	1760		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.62		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	171		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	37.6		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	2400		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.177		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Titanium (Ti)	138		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Uranium (U)	2.07		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	40.5		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zinc (Zn)	88.9		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	3.7		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
L1995196-4 PINR-EXP-4 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	53.5		0.10	%	27-SEP-17	27-SEP-17	R3839576
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	30.8		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	44.6		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	24.6		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.243	DLHC	0.040	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0346		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	3.46		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-4 PINR-EXP-4 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	9350		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Antimony (Sb)	0.11		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	2.23		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	61.2		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.44		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	7.1		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.200		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	11600		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Chromium (Cr)	21.2		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	6.65		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Copper (Cu)	9.83		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	12600		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lead (Pb)	6.25		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	12.2		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	6770		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	315		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0490		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	0.35		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Nickel (Ni)	14.9		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	496		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Potassium (K)	1040		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.34		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	96		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	21.7		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	<1000		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.122		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Titanium (Ti)	137		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Uranium (U)	1.09		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	25.0		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zinc (Zn)	50.1		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	4.7		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
L1995196-5 PINR-EXP-5 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	72.6		0.10	%	27-SEP-17	27-SEP-17	R3839576
Particle Size							

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-5 PINR-EXP-5 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	10.7		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	64.7		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	24.6		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Silt loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.64	DLHC	0.20	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0939		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	9.39		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	12500		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Antimony (Sb)	0.15		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	2.85		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	93.0		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.52		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	7.8		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.364		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	10200		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Chromium (Cr)	27.1		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	7.30		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Copper (Cu)	13.3		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	15300		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lead (Pb)	7.67		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	13.8		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	4830		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	362		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0539		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	0.76		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Nickel (Ni)	18.8		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	676		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Potassium (K)	1280		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.53		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	113		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	31.5		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	1600		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.135		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Titanium (Ti)	97.6		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-5 PINR-EXP-5 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Metals							
Uranium (U)	2.07		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	34.0		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zinc (Zn)	63.7		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	4.9		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
L1995196-6 PINR-EXP-4X Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	59.6		0.10	%	27-SEP-17	27-SEP-17	R3839576
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	32.4		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	40.9		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	26.7		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.226	DLHC	0.040	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0326		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	3.26		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	9590		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Antimony (Sb)	0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	2.11		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	61.6		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.40		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	6.0		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.187		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	9080		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Chromium (Cr)	21.1		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	6.52		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Copper (Cu)	9.62		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	12500		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lead (Pb)	5.81		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	11.7		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	6100		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	303		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0328		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	0.29		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Nickel (Ni)	14.9		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	469		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-6 PINR-EXP-4X Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Metals							
Potassium (K)	1060		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.28		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	84		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	19.6		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	<1000		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.110		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Titanium (Ti)	128		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Uranium (U)	0.970		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	25.5		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zinc (Zn)	48.3		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	4.4		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
L1995196-7 STUC-REF-1 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	62.5		0.10	%	27-SEP-17	27-SEP-17	R3839576
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	27.3		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	41.7		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	31.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Clay loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.239	DLHC	0.040	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0319		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	3.19		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	11900		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Antimony (Sb)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	2.47		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	71.4		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.45		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	7.6		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.242		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	6330		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Chromium (Cr)	27.0		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	8.90		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-7 STUC-REF-1 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Metals							
Copper (Cu)	9.82		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	13500		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lead (Pb)	6.24		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	14.0		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	4880		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	319		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0389		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	0.51		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Nickel (Ni)	16.9		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	493		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Potassium (K)	1440		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.27		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	88		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	23.4		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	<1000		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.135		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Titanium (Ti)	155		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Uranium (U)	1.36		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	32.4		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zinc (Zn)	61.2		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	5.1		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
L1995196-8 STUC-REF-2 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	65.0		0.10	%	27-SEP-17	27-SEP-17	R3839576
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	11.9		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	50.5		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	37.6		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Silty clay loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.32	DLHC	0.10	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0401		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	4.01		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	15000		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-8 STUC-REF-2 Sampled By: KB/PS on 14-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Metals							
Antimony (Sb)	0.11		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	2.87		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	98.6		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.56		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	8.7		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.322		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	7330		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Chromium (Cr)	37.9		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	10.6		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Copper (Cu)	12.7		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	17000		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lead (Pb)	7.96		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	19.1		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	5670		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	374		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0510		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	1.05		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Nickel (Ni)	23.4		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	554		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Potassium (K)	1780		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.38		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	103		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	26.0		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	1100		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.166		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Titanium (Ti)	152		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Uranium (U)	1.80		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	40.2		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zinc (Zn)	79.0		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	6.6		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
L1995196-9 STUC-REF-3 Sampled By: KB/PS on 15-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	51.5		0.10	%	27-SEP-17	27-SEP-17	R3839576
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	30.9		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-9 STUC-REF-3 Sampled By: KB/PS on 15-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Particle Size							
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	36.9		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	32.2		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Loam / Clay loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.197		0.020	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0278		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	2.78		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	11800		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Antimony (Sb)	0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	2.13		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	68.4		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.51		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	7.8		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.213		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	6670		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Chromium (Cr)	27.3		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	8.77		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Copper (Cu)	9.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	13200		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lead (Pb)	7.23		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	14.7		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	4660		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	264		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0407		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	0.54		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Nickel (Ni)	16.5		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	471		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Potassium (K)	1380		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.27		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	85		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	23.7		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	<1000		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.156		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Titanium (Ti)	162		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Uranium (U)	1.56		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	31.8		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-9 STUC-REF-3 Sampled By: KB/PS on 15-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Metals							
Zinc (Zn)	59.2		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	6.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
L1995196-10 STUC-REF-4 Sampled By: KB/PS on 15-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	75.5		0.10	%	29-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841080
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	6.8		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	51.9		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	41.3		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Silty clay loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.39	DLHC	0.10	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0549		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	5.49		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	15300		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Antimony (Sb)	0.13		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	3.34		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	106		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.62		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	9.5		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.388		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	7960		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Chromium (Cr)	43.8		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	11.8		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Copper (Cu)	14.4		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	18000		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lead (Pb)	9.15		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	18.8		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	6000		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	431		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0613		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	1.48		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Nickel (Ni)	26.8		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	571		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Potassium (K)	1960		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.48		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-10 STUC-REF-4 Sampled By: KB/PS on 15-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Metals							
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	111		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	30.5		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	1400		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.186		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Titanium (Ti)	148		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Uranium (U)	2.19		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	44.1		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zinc (Zn)	91.2		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	7.3		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
L1995196-11 STUC-REF-5 Sampled By: KB/PS on 15-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Physical Tests							
% Moisture	59.0		0.10	%	29-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841080
Particle Size							
% Gravel (>2mm)	<1.0		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)	22.3		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)	42.8		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
% Clay (<4um)	34.8		1.0	%	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Texture	Clay loam				28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841635
Leachable Anions & Nutrients							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.234	DLHC	0.040	%	02-OCT-17	03-OCT-17	R3845492
Organic / Inorganic Carbon							
FOC	0.0318		0.0010	g/g		30-SEP-17	
Total Organic Carbon	3.18		0.050	%		30-SEP-17	
Metals							
Aluminum (Al)	14100		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Antimony (Sb)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Arsenic (As)	2.32		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Barium (Ba)	90.0		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Beryllium (Be)	0.53		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.20		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Boron (B)	7.6		5.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cadmium (Cd)	0.201		0.020	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Calcium (Ca)	6470		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Chromium (Cr)	33.1		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Cobalt (Co)	9.27		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Copper (Cu)	11.4		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Iron (Fe)	16000		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L1995196-11 STUC-REF-5 Sampled By: KB/PS on 15-SEP-17 @ 00:01 Matrix: Sediment							
Metals							
Lead (Pb)	7.43		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Lithium (Li)	16.4		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Magnesium (Mg)	5260		20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Manganese (Mn)	343		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Mercury (Hg)	0.0482		0.0050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	28-SEP-17	R3840575
Molybdenum (Mo)	0.63		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Nickel (Ni)	19.8		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Phosphorus (P)	508		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Potassium (K)	1610		100	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Selenium (Se)	0.34		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Silver (Ag)	<0.10		0.10	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sodium (Na)	95		50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Strontium (Sr)	23.2		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Sulfur (S)	<1000		1000	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Thallium (Tl)	0.154		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Titanium (Ti)	145		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Tungsten (W)	<0.50		0.50	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Uranium (U)	1.55		0.050	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Vanadium (V)	36.6		0.20	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zinc (Zn)	67.3		2.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942
Zirconium (Zr)	5.1		1.0	mg/kg	28-SEP-17	29-SEP-17	R3841942

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

Reference Information

Sample Parameter Qualifier key listed:

Qualifier	Description
DLHC	Detection Limit Raised: Dilution required due to high concentration of test analyte(s).

Test Method References:

ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference**
C-TIC-PCT-SK	Soil	Total Inorganic Carbon in Soil	CSSS (2008) P216-217 A known quantity of acetic acid is consumed by reaction with carbonates in the soil. The pH of the resulting solution is measured and compared against a standard curve relating pH to weight of carbonate.
C-TOC-CALC-SK	Soil	Total Organic Carbon Calculation	CSSS (2008) 21.2 Total Organic Carbon (TOC) is calculated by the difference between total carbon (TC) and total inorganic carbon. (TIC)
C-TOT-LECO-SK	Soil	Total Carbon by combustion method	CSSS (2008) 21.2 The sample is ignited in a combustion analyzer where carbon in the reduced CO2 gas is determined using a thermal conductivity detector.
FOC-CALC-SK	Soil	Fraction of Organic Carbon - Calculation	AUTO CALCULATION
HG-200.2-CVAA-SK	Soil	Mercury in Soil by CVAAS	EPA 200.2/1631E (mod) Soil samples are digested with nitric and hydrochloric acids, followed by analysis by CVAAS.
IC-CACO3-CALC-SK	Soil	Inorganic Carbon as CaCO3 Equivalent	Calculation
MET-200.2-CCMS-SK	Soil	Metals in Soil by CRC ICPMS	EPA 200.2/6020A (mod) This method uses a heated strong acid digestion with HNO3 and HCl and is intended to liberate metals that may be environmentally available. Silicate minerals are not solubilized. Dependent on sample matrix, some metals may be only partially recovered, including Al, Ba, Be, Cr, Sr, Ti, Tl, V, W, and Zr. Volatile forms of sulfur (including sulfide) may not be captured, as they may be lost during sampling, storage, or digestion. Analysis is by Collision/Reaction Cell ICPMS.
MOIST-SK	Soil	Moisture Content	ASTM D2216-80 The weighed portion of soil is placed in a 105°C oven overnight. The dried soil is allowed to cooled to room temperature, weighed and the % moisture is calculated.
N-TOTKJ-COL-SK	Soil	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	CSSS (2008) 22.2.3 The soil is digested with sulfuric acid in the presence of CuSO4 and K2SO4 catalysts. Ammonia in the soil extract is determined colorimetrically at 660 nm.
PSA-PIPET+GRAVEL-SK	Soil	Particle size - Sieve and Pipette	SSIR-51 METHOD 3.2.1 Particle size distribution is determined by a combination of techniques. Dry sieving is performed for coarse particles, wet sieving for sand particles and the pipette sedimentation method for clay particles.

Reference:

Burt, R. (2009). Soil Survey Field and Laboratory Methods Manual. Soil Survey Investigations Report No. 5. Method 3.2.1.2.2. United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service.

** ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
SK	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

Reference Information

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogates are compounds that are similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that do not normally occur in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. In reports that display the D.L. column, laboratory objectives for surrogates are listed there.

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid weight of sample

mg/L - unit of concentration based on volume, parts per million.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reporting limit.

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1995196

Report Date: 05-OCT-17

Page 1 of 7

Client: MINNOW ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
 2 Lamb Street
 Georgetown ON L7G 3M9
 Contact: Jess Tester

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
C-TIC-PCT-SK		Soil						
Batch	R3840401							
WG2624822-2	LCS							
Inorganic Carbon			98.1		%		80-120	28-SEP-17
WG2624822-3	MB							
Inorganic Carbon			<0.050		%		0.05	28-SEP-17
Batch	R3841822							
WG2624824-2	LCS							
Inorganic Carbon			98.9		%		80-120	30-SEP-17
WG2624824-3	MB							
Inorganic Carbon			<0.050		%		0.05	30-SEP-17
C-TOT-LECO-SK		Soil						
Batch	R3841699							
WG2625479-1	DUP	L1995196-1						
Total Carbon by Combustion		8.19	8.23		%	0.5	20	28-SEP-17
WG2625479-2	IRM	08-109_SOIL						
Total Carbon by Combustion			100.2		%		80-120	28-SEP-17
WG2625479-3	MB							
Total Carbon by Combustion			<0.05		%		0.05	28-SEP-17
HG-200.2-CVAA-SK		Soil						
Batch	R3840575							
WG2627376-3	CRM	TILL-1						
Mercury (Hg)			99.2		%		70-130	28-SEP-17
WG2627376-2	DUP	L1995196-7						
Mercury (Hg)		0.0389	0.0414		mg/kg	6.0	40	28-SEP-17
WG2627376-4	LCS							
Mercury (Hg)			108.7		%		80-120	28-SEP-17
WG2627376-1	MB							
Mercury (Hg)			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	28-SEP-17
MET-200.2-CCMS-SK		Soil						
Batch	R3841942							
WG2627376-3	CRM	TILL-1						
Aluminum (Al)			100.2		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Antimony (Sb)			96.0		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Arsenic (As)			98.2		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Barium (Ba)			107.6		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Beryllium (Be)			106.0		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Boron (B)			3.7		mg/kg		0-8.2	29-SEP-17
Bismuth (Bi)			91.2		%		70-130	29-SEP-17



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1995196

Report Date: 05-OCT-17

Page 2 of 7

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-SK								
	Soil							
Batch	R3841942							
WG2627376-3	CRM	TILL-1						
Cadmium (Cd)			92.2		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Calcium (Ca)			98.0		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Chromium (Cr)			96.0		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Cobalt (Co)			99.0		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Copper (Cu)			99.2		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Iron (Fe)			102.3		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Lead (Pb)			92.6		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Lithium (Li)			103.6		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Magnesium (Mg)			96.6		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Manganese (Mn)			99.7		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Molybdenum (Mo)			97.2		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Nickel (Ni)			97.7		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Phosphorus (P)			98.5		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Potassium (K)			88.0		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Selenium (Se)			0.31		mg/kg		0.11-0.51	29-SEP-17
Silver (Ag)			0.21		mg/kg		0.13-0.33	29-SEP-17
Sodium (Na)			88.8		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Strontium (Sr)			95.5		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Thallium (Tl)			0.110		mg/kg		0.077-0.18	29-SEP-17
Tin (Sn)			1.2		mg/kg		0-3.1	29-SEP-17
Titanium (Ti)			96.7		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Tungsten (W)			0.18		mg/kg		0-0.66	29-SEP-17
Uranium (U)			85.9		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Vanadium (V)			95.3		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Zinc (Zn)			100.0		%		70-130	29-SEP-17
Zirconium (Zr)			0.8		mg/kg		0-1.8	29-SEP-17
WG2627376-2	DUP	L1995196-7						
Aluminum (Al)		11900	11900		mg/kg	0.2	40	29-SEP-17
Antimony (Sb)		<0.10	<0.10	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	30	29-SEP-17
Arsenic (As)		2.47	2.42		mg/kg	2.0	30	29-SEP-17
Barium (Ba)		71.4	74.0		mg/kg	3.7	40	29-SEP-17
Beryllium (Be)		0.45	0.46		mg/kg	3.2	30	29-SEP-17
Boron (B)		7.6	7.5		mg/kg	1.6	30	29-SEP-17
Bismuth (Bi)		<0.20	<0.20	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	30	29-SEP-17



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1995196

Report Date: 05-OCT-17

Page 3 of 7

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-SK								
	Soil							
Batch	R3841942							
WG2627376-2	DUP	L1995196-7						
Cadmium (Cd)		0.242	0.244		mg/kg	1.0	30	29-SEP-17
Calcium (Ca)		6330	6200		mg/kg	2.1	30	29-SEP-17
Chromium (Cr)		27.0	27.2		mg/kg	1.1	30	29-SEP-17
Cobalt (Co)		8.90	8.94		mg/kg	0.4	30	29-SEP-17
Copper (Cu)		9.82	9.82		mg/kg	0.0	30	29-SEP-17
Iron (Fe)		13500	13900		mg/kg	3.0	30	29-SEP-17
Lead (Pb)		6.24	6.66		mg/kg	6.5	40	29-SEP-17
Lithium (Li)		14.0	14.2		mg/kg	1.5	30	29-SEP-17
Magnesium (Mg)		4880	4720		mg/kg	3.3	30	29-SEP-17
Manganese (Mn)		319	309		mg/kg	3.1	30	29-SEP-17
Molybdenum (Mo)		0.51	0.52		mg/kg	2.3	40	29-SEP-17
Nickel (Ni)		16.9	17.5		mg/kg	3.4	30	29-SEP-17
Phosphorus (P)		493	458		mg/kg	7.3	30	29-SEP-17
Potassium (K)		1440	1470		mg/kg	1.7	40	29-SEP-17
Selenium (Se)		0.27	0.32		mg/kg	15	30	29-SEP-17
Silver (Ag)		<0.10	<0.10	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	40	29-SEP-17
Sodium (Na)		88	88		mg/kg	0.4	40	29-SEP-17
Strontium (Sr)		23.4	22.6		mg/kg	3.4	40	29-SEP-17
Sulfur (S)		<1000	<1000	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	30	29-SEP-17
Thallium (Tl)		0.135	0.143		mg/kg	5.7	30	29-SEP-17
Tin (Sn)		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	40	29-SEP-17
Titanium (Ti)		155	160		mg/kg	2.9	40	29-SEP-17
Tungsten (W)		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	30	29-SEP-17
Uranium (U)		1.36	1.48		mg/kg	8.2	30	29-SEP-17
Vanadium (V)		32.4	33.1		mg/kg	2.1	30	29-SEP-17
Zinc (Zn)		61.2	62.2		mg/kg	1.6	30	29-SEP-17
Zirconium (Zr)		5.1	5.4		mg/kg	5.4	30	29-SEP-17
WG2627376-4	LCS							
Aluminum (Al)			98.1		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Antimony (Sb)			95.0		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Arsenic (As)			97.4		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Barium (Ba)			102.5		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Beryllium (Be)			100.1		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Boron (B)			96.1		%		80-120	29-SEP-17



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1995196

Report Date: 05-OCT-17

Page 4 of 7

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-SK		Soil						
Batch	R3841942							
WG2627376-4	LCS							
Bismuth (Bi)			93.7		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Cadmium (Cd)			94.7		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Calcium (Ca)			98.2		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Chromium (Cr)			94.7		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Cobalt (Co)			96.1		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Copper (Cu)			93.2		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Iron (Fe)			96.9		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Lead (Pb)			92.1		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Lithium (Li)			109.1		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Magnesium (Mg)			94.3		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Manganese (Mn)			97.6		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Molybdenum (Mo)			96.7		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Nickel (Ni)			94.9		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Phosphorus (P)			109.2		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Potassium (K)			101.8		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Selenium (Se)			98.9		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Silver (Ag)			98.1		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Sodium (Na)			91.8		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Strontium (Sr)			106.7		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Sulfur (S)			96.8		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Thallium (Tl)			91.9		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Tin (Sn)			94.9		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Titanium (Ti)			99.5		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Tungsten (W)			91.3		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Uranium (U)			94.2		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Vanadium (V)			97.0		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Zinc (Zn)			93.6		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
Zirconium (Zr)			96.6		%		80-120	29-SEP-17
WG2627376-1	MB							
Aluminum (Al)			<50		mg/kg		50	29-SEP-17
Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	29-SEP-17
Arsenic (As)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	29-SEP-17
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	29-SEP-17
Beryllium (Be)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	29-SEP-17



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1995196

Report Date: 05-OCT-17

Page 5 of 7

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-SK								
	Soil							
Batch	R3841942							
WG2627376-1	MB							
Boron (B)			<5.0		mg/kg		5	29-SEP-17
Bismuth (Bi)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	29-SEP-17
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.020		mg/kg		0.02	29-SEP-17
Calcium (Ca)			<50		mg/kg		50	29-SEP-17
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	29-SEP-17
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	29-SEP-17
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	29-SEP-17
Iron (Fe)			<50		mg/kg		50	29-SEP-17
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	29-SEP-17
Lithium (Li)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	29-SEP-17
Magnesium (Mg)			<20		mg/kg		20	29-SEP-17
Manganese (Mn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	29-SEP-17
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	29-SEP-17
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	29-SEP-17
Phosphorus (P)			<50		mg/kg		50	29-SEP-17
Potassium (K)			<100		mg/kg		100	29-SEP-17
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	29-SEP-17
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	29-SEP-17
Sodium (Na)			<50		mg/kg		50	29-SEP-17
Strontium (Sr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	29-SEP-17
Sulfur (S)			<1000		mg/kg		1000	29-SEP-17
Thallium (Tl)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	29-SEP-17
Tin (Sn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	29-SEP-17
Titanium (Ti)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	29-SEP-17
Tungsten (W)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	29-SEP-17
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	29-SEP-17
Vanadium (V)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	29-SEP-17
Zinc (Zn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	29-SEP-17
Zirconium (Zr)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	29-SEP-17
MOIST-SK								
	Soil							
Batch	R3839576							
WG2623587-1	DUP	L1995196-3						
% Moisture		85.5	85.3		%	0.2	20	27-SEP-17
WG2623587-3	LCS							



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1995196

Report Date: 05-OCT-17

Page 6 of 7

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MOIST-SK								
	Soil							
Batch	R3839576							
WG2623587-3	LCS							
% Moisture			103.1		%		90-110	27-SEP-17
WG2623587-2	MB							
% Moisture			<0.10		%		0.1	27-SEP-17
Batch	R3841080							
WG2626810-3	LCS							
% Moisture			99.3		%		90-110	29-SEP-17
WG2626810-2	MB							
% Moisture			<0.10		%		0.1	29-SEP-17
N-TOTKJ-COL-SK								
	Soil							
Batch	R3845492							
WG2624238-2	IRM	08-109_SOIL						
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen			95.1		%		80-120	03-OCT-17
WG2624238-3	MB							
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen			<0.020		%		0.02	03-OCT-17
PSA-PIPET+GRAVEL-SK								
	Soil							
Batch	R3841635							
WG2623164-2	IRM	2017-PSA						
% Sand (2.0mm - 0.063mm)			45.0		%		39.1-49.1	29-SEP-17
% Silt (0.063mm - 4um)			36.6		%		32.5-42.5	29-SEP-17
% Clay (<4um)			18.4		%		13.4-23.4	29-SEP-17

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L1995196

Report Date: 05-OCT-17

Page 7 of 7

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against pre-determined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.



Report To		Report Format / Distribution			Select Service Level Below - Please confirm all E&P TATs with your AM - surcharges will apply											
Contact and company name below will appear on the final report		Select Report Format: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PDF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXCEL <input type="checkbox"/> EDD (DIGITAL)			Regular [R] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT if received by 3 pm - business days - no surcharges apply											
Company:	Minnow Environmental Inc.	Quality Control (QC) Report with Report <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			PROPERTY (Business Copy)		4 day [P4] <input type="checkbox"/>		EMERGENCY		1 Business day [E1] <input type="checkbox"/>					
Contact:	Katharina Batchelar	<input type="checkbox"/> Compare Results to Criteria on Report - provide details below if box checked			3 day [P3] <input type="checkbox"/>						Same Day, Weekend or Statutory holiday [E0] <input type="checkbox"/>					
Phone:	250-595-1627	Select Distribution: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EMAIL <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL <input type="checkbox"/> FAX			2 day [P2] <input type="checkbox"/>											
Company address below will appear on the final report		Email 1 or Fax kbatchelar@minnow.ca			Date and Time Required for all E&P TATs:											
Street:	101-1025 Hillside Avenue	Email 2 jtester@minnow.ca			For tests that can not be performed according to the service level selected, you will be contacted.											
City/Province:	Victoria, BC	Email 3			Analysis Request											
Postal Code:	V8T 2A2				Indicate Filtered (F), Preserved (P) or Filtered and Preserved (F/P) below											
Invoice To	Same as Report To <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	Invoice Distribution														
	Copy of Invoice with Report <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	Select Invoice Distribution: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EMAIL <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL <input type="checkbox"/> FAX														
Company:		Email 1 or Fax kbatchelar@minnow.ca														
Contact:		Email 2 jtester@minnow.ca														
Project Information		Oil and Gas Required Fields (client use)														
ALS Account # / Quote #:	Q62002	AFE/Cost Center:		PO#												
Job #:	17-13	Major/Minor Code:		Routing Code:												
PO / AFE:		Requisitioner:														
LSD:		Location:														
ALS Lab Work Order # (lab use only)	L1995196	ALS Contact:	Selam Worku	Sampler:	KB, PS											
ALS Sample # (lab use only)	Sample Identification and/or Coordinates (This description will appear on the report)	Date (dd-mm-yy)	Time (hh:mm)	Sample Type	HG-200.2-CVAA-WT (Hg in Soil)	MET-200.2-COMMS-WT (Metals in Soil)	MOISTURE-WT (% Moisture)	N-TOTK-COL-SK (Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen)	PSA-PIPET+GRAVEL-SK (Particle Size)	TOC-WT (TOC and FOC in Solids)						Number of Containers
	Pink-EXP-1	14-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
	Pink-EXP-2	14-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
	Pink-EXP-3	14-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
	Pink-EXP-4	14-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
	Pink-EXP-5	14-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
	Pink-EXP-4X	14-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
	Stuc-Ref-1	14-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
	Stuc-Ref-2	14-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
	Stuc-Ref-3	15-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
	Stuc-Ref-4	15-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
	Stuc-Ref-5	15-Sept-17		Sediment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						1
				Sediment												
Drinking Water (DW) Samples (client use)		Special Instructions / Specify Criteria to add on report by clicking on the drop-down list below (electronic COC only)			SAMPLE CONDITION AS RECEIVED (lab use only)											
Are samples taken from a Regulated DW System? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO					Frozen <input type="checkbox"/> SIF Observations Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>											
Are samples for human drinking water use? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO					Ice Packs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ice Cubes <input type="checkbox"/> Custody seal intact Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>											
					Cooling Initiated <input type="checkbox"/>											
					INITIAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C					FINAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C						
					4.9											
SHIPMENT RELEASE (client use)				INITIAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)				FINAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)								
Date:		Time:		Received by:		Date:		Time:		Received by:		Date:		Time:		
Batchelar 18-Sept-17		11:00		CS		09/19/17		15:30		CS						



APPENDIX D
BENTHIC INVERTEBRATE COMMUNITY DATA

Laboratory Reports




Figure D.1a: Benthic Metrics and Supporting Measures at RRP Stations, 2017




Figure D.1b: Benthic Metrics and Supporting Measures at RRP Stations, 2017




Figure D.1c: Benthic Metrics and Supporting Measures at RRP Stations, 2017

Table D.1: Latitudes and Longitudes of Benthic Invertebrate Sampling Stations, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Study Area	Station ID	Date Sampled	Latitude (dd mm ss.s) ^a	Longitude (dd mm ss.s) ^a
Sturgeon Creek (Reference)	StuC-REF1	14-Sep-17	48 43 18.8	-93 57 25.6
	StuC-REF2	14-Sep-17	48 43 19.1	-93 57 27.8
	StuC-REF3	15-Sep-17	48 43 17.9	-93 57 31.3
	StuC-REF4	15-Sep-17	48 43 17.3	-93 57 36.9
	StuC-REF5	15-Sep-17	48 43 16.2	-93 57 37.5
Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed)	PinR-EXP1	14-Sep-17	48 49 48.0	-94 03 48.1
	PinR-EXP2	14-Sep-17	48 49 48.3	-94 03 49.2
	PinR-EXP3	14-Sep-17	48 49 48.0	-94 03 48.1
	PinR-EXP4	14-Sep-17	48 49 47.5	-94 03 49.9
	PinR-EXP5	14-Sep-17	48 49 46.5	-94 03 50.4

^a d-degrees, m-minutes, s-seconds

Note: Map Datum (NAD) 83

Table D.2: Number of Invertebrates per m², RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Station Replicate	StuC-Ref					PinR-Exp				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
ROUNDWORMS										
P. Nemata	459	574	344	459	2,755	918	3,902	230	230	230
ANNELIDS										
P. Annelida										
WORMS										
Cl. Oligochaeta										
F. Naididae										
S.F. Naidinae										
<i>Dero digitata</i>	0	0	115	230	0	230	689	0	0	0
<i>Dero nivea</i>	459	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nais alpina/simplex</i>	0	230	0	0	230	0	0	0	0	0
S.F. Tubificinae										
<i>Aulodrilus piqueti</i>	0	0	0	689	0	0	689	0	0	0
<i>Limnodrilus udekemianus</i>	230	1,263	115	0	2,984	0	4,132	230	1,377	344
immatures with hair chaetae	0	115	0	0	459	0	0	0	0	0
immatures without hair chaetae	230	230	0	459	689	0	8,264	0	0	344
LEECHES										
Cl. Hirudinea										
F. Glossiphoniidae										
<i>Glossiphonia complanata</i>	0	115	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARTHROPODS										
P. Arthropoda										
MITES										
Cl. Arachnida										
Subcl. Acari										
O. Trombidiformes										
F. Arrenuridae										
<i>Arrenurus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	230	0	0
F. Limnesiidae										
<i>Limnesia</i>	0	115	115	0	230	0	0	0	0	0
F. Oxidae										
<i>Oxus</i>	0	0	115	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F. Pionidae										
indeterminate	230	115	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F. Unionicolidae										
<i>Neumania</i>	0	115	115	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Unionicola</i>	0	0	0	0	230	0	0	0	0	0
HARPACTICOIDS										
O. Harpacticoida	689	0	0	689	459	0	0	0	0	0
SEED SHRIMPS										
Cl. Ostracoda	230	0	0	230	0	918	230	0	0	115
INSECTS										
Cl. Insecta										
MAYFLIES										
O. Ephemeroptera										
F. Caenidae										
<i>Caenis</i>	0	115	0	0	0	0	0	230	0	0
O. Odonata										
DRAGONFLIES										
F. Corduliidae										
<i>Epitheca</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0
CADDISFLIES										
O. Trichoptera										
F. Hydroptilidae										
<i>Oxyethira</i>	0	0	115	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TRUE FLIES										
O. Diptera										
BITING-MIDGE										
F. Ceratopogonidae										
<i>Bezzia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	230	0	230	0	0
<i>Dasyhelea</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	230	230	0	0
<i>Sphaeromyias</i>	0	0	0	0	230	0	0	0	0	0
pupae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	115

Table D.2: Number of Invertebrates per m², RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Station Replicate	StuC-Ref					PinR-Exp				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
PHANTOM MIDGE										
F. Chaoboridae										
<i>Chaoborus flavicans</i>	0	115	0	0	0	0	0	230	0	0
<i>Chaoborus punctipennis</i>	1,148	3,214	2,410	2,066	2,755	0	0	0	0	574
MIDGES										
F. Chironomidae										
chironomid pupae	0	0	0	0	0	230	0	0	0	0
S.F. Chironominae										
<i>Chironomus</i>	0	230	0	689	918	918	0	689	230	1,033
<i>Cladopelma</i>	0	0	230	0	230	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Dicrotendipes</i>	0	0	0	0	0	1,377	230	0	0	0
<i>Einfeldia</i>	1,836	230	0	2,525	689	459	0	0	0	574
<i>Endochironomus</i>	1,377	0	230	0	230	0	230	0	0	0
<i>Glyptotendipes</i>	689	344	574	689	230	459	0	0	0	0
<i>Parachironomus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	459	0	0	0	0
<i>Paratanytarsus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	230	0	0	0
<i>Polypedilum sordens</i>	0	0	230	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Tanytarsus</i>	459	115	0	0	0	459	459	0	0	0
S.F. Orthoclaadiinae										
<i>Cricotopus (Isocladus)</i>	0	0	0	0	230	0	0	0	0	0
S.F. Tanypodinae										
<i>Ablabesmyia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	230	230	0	0
<i>Guttipielopia</i>	1,148	230	230	0	0	689	0	0	0	115
<i>Labrudinia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	230	230	0	0
<i>Procladius</i>	230	803	1,148	918	918	230	230	0	0	344
<i>Psectrotanypus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	230
<i>Tanypus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	115
MOLLUSCS										
P. Mollusca										
SNAILS										
Cl. Gastropoda										
F. Ancylidae										
<i>Ferrissia</i>	230	230	115	0	0	459	0	0	0	0
F. Hydrobiidae										
<i>Amnicola</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	689	1,836	0	0
F. Planorbidae										
<i>Gyraulus</i>	459	0	0	0	0	230	0	230	0	0
immature	230	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLAMS										
Cl. Bivalvia										
F. Sphaeriidae										
<i>Cyclocalvx</i>	0	0	115	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Sphaerium (Musculium)</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	459	0	1,148	230
<hr/>										
TOTAL NUMBER OF ORGANISMS	####	8,498	6,316	9,643	####	8,265	####	4,825	2,985	4,363
TOTAL NUMBER OF TAXA ^a	16	19	16	11	17	14	18	12	4	13

^a Bold entries excluded from taxa count

Table D.3: Benthic Analyses: Index Values for Benthic Sample Stations, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Station ID	Density (Ind./m2)	LPL Number of Taxa	LPL Simpson's E	LPL BC Dissimilarity	LPL Simpson's D	% Oligochaeta	% Ostracoda	% Ephemeroptera	% Trichoptera	% Chaoboridae	% Chironomidae	% Metal Sensitive Chironomidae	% Gastropoda	% Bivalvia	% Collector Gatherers	% Filterers	% Scrapers	% Shredders	% Clingers	% Sprawlers	% Burrowers	LPLsimpEk
StuC-REF-1	10436	16.0000	0.6500	0.4500	0.9000	8.8900	2.2200	0.0000	0.0000	11.1100	55.5500	4.4500	8.8900	0.0000	40.0100	4.4500	9	20.0000	28.8900	31.1000	40.0100	0.9600
StuC-REF-2	8581	17.0000	0.3100	0.2800	0.8100	21.6200	0.0000	1.3500	0.0000	39.1800	22.9800	1.3500	2.7000	0.0000	35.1400	1.3500	3	4.0600	9.4600	52.7000	37.8400	0.8600
StuC-REF-3	6378	14.0000	0.3600	0.2100	0.8000	3.6400	0.0000	0.0000	1.8200	38.1800	41.8200	0.0000	1.8200	1.8200	12.7300	1.8200	4	16.3700	16.3700	59.9900	21.8300	0.8600
StuC-REF-4	9742	11.0000	0.6100	0.3300	0.8500	14.2900	2.3800	0.0000	0.0000	21.4200	50.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	61.9100	0.0000	0	7.1400	0.0000	38.0900	61.9100	0.9400
StuC-REF-5	14612	16.0000	0.4700	0.4000	0.8700	30.1500	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	19.0500	23.8200	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	65.0800	0.0000	0	4.7600	6.3500	28.5700	65.0800	0.9300
PinR-EXP-1	8351	14.0000	0.7500	0.7100	0.9000	2.7800	11.1100	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	63.8800	5.5600	8.3300	0.0000	59.8400	5.8100	8	5.8100	14.1400	17.4400	68.4200	0.9700
PinR-EXP-2	21582	18.0000	0.2500	0.8900	0.7700	64.4700	1.0700	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.6000	3.2200	3.2200	2.1500	85.9600	5.3700	3	1.0700	7.5900	5.3700	84.8900	0.8200
PinR-EXP-3	4871	12.0000	0.4400	0.8500	0.8100	4.7600	0.0000	4.7600	0.0000	4.7600	23.8100	0.0000	42.8500	0.0000	33.3400	0.0000	43	0.0000	47.6100	23.8100	28.5800	0.8900
PinR-EXP-4	3014	4.0000	0.6700	0.8600	0.6300	46.1500	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	7.7000	0.0000	0.0000	38.4500	61.5500	38.4500	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	61.5500	0.8400
PinR-EXP-5	4407	12.0000	0.6600	0.5600	0.8700	15.7900	2.6300	0.0000	0.0000	13.1600	55.2500	0.0000	0.0000	5.2600	60.5200	5.2600	0	0.0000	0.0000	31.5900	63.1500	0.9500

Station ID	Rainy LPL CA-1 (22.1%)	Rainy LPL CA-2 (15.2%)	Rainy LPL CA-3 (14.4%)	Rainy LPL CA-4 (12.9%)	Rainy LPL CA-5 (10.9%)	FL Number of Taxa	FL Simpson's D	FL Simpson's E	Fisimpek	FL BC Dissimilarity	Rainy FL CA-1 (23.1%)	Rainy FL CA-2 (21.3%)	Rainy FL CA-3 (19.5%)	Rainy FL CA-4 (12.3%)	Rainy FL CA-5 (10.4%)	Station Depth (m)	% Gravel	% Sand and Finer	% Organic	Temperature (°C; bottom)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L; bottom)	Dissolved Oxygen (% sat.; bottom)
StuC-REF-1	0.3500	-0.0900	0.3500	0.2600	0.1900	9.0000	0.6600	0.3300	0.7400	0.2800	-0.4100	-0.2000	0.4300	0.1700	0.1000	0.9600	0.0000	90.0000	10.0000	17.7000	3.7400	38.2000
StuC-REF-2	0.2000	-0.1800	-0.4100	-0.5700	-0.2400	8.0000	0.7400	0.4800	0.8500	0.2200	-0.7500	0.4000	-0.6100	-0.1200	-0.4900	0.9800	0.0000	95.0000	5.0000	17.1000	4.1100	42.0000
StuC-REF-3	0.7400	-0.9200	0.0600	-0.9700	-0.4800	8.0000	0.6700	0.3800	0.7700	0.1800	-0.1100	-0.4300	-0.7800	0.3600	0.5700	0.9400	0.0000	90.0000	10.0000	16.2000	4.0200	41.1000
StuC-REF-4	0.3100	0.3400	0.2400	0.0200	0.5700	6.0000	0.6800	0.5100	0.8100	0.1400	-0.2300	-0.5100	0.4300	-0.4500	-0.0200	0.9400	0.0000	90.0000	10.0000	15.9000	3.3900	34.6000
StuC-REF-5	0.6000	-0.0400	-0.7700	0.2300	0.4600	7.0000	0.7800	0.6400	0.9100	0.2600	-0.1700	-0.1800	0.1800	-0.5000	0.2200	0.9800	0.0000	90.0000	10.0000	16.3000	4.2300	43.2000
PinR-EXP-1	-0.0800	-0.0900	1.1100	0.4800	-0.2100	7.0000	0.5600	0.3300	0.6600	0.5000	-0.0400	0.0000	0.5200	0.7500	-0.2700	0.9600	0.0000	80.0000	20.0000	12.0800	2.7000	25.2000
PinR-EXP-2	-0.8500	0.1400	0.1100	-0.6200	0.5900	9.0000	0.5400	0.2400	0.6100	0.7400	0.8100	0.2800	0.0000	-0.0800	0.0000	0.8200	0.0000	80.0000	20.0000	12.2200	3.1100	29.4000
PinR-EXP-3	-1.5000	-0.5800	-0.4500	0.3400	-0.4100	9.0000	0.7800	0.5000	0.8700	0.6900	0.0800	0.9600	0.1100	-0.0500	0.3000	0.9800	0.0000	75.0000	25.0000	12.5900	4.7300	44.6000
PinR-EXP-4	-0.3400	1.1900	-0.5400	-0.6000	-0.7400	4.0000	0.6300	0.6700	0.8400	0.6800	0.7900	-0.5000	-0.5200	-0.0700	-0.4500	0.9800	0.0000	80.0000	20.0000	12.6700	4.0800	38.5000
PinR-EXP-5	0.2300	1.1800	-0.0500	-0.1700	-0.6700	7.0000	0.6500	0.4000	0.7500	0.4000	0.4100	-0.3000	-0.0100	-0.0500	-0.2600	0.8200	0.0000	80.0000	20.0000	12.6600	4.1100	38.8000

Station ID	pH (bottom)	Conductivity (µS/cm; bottom)	Specific Conductance (µS/cm; bottom)	Moisture (%)	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (%)	Total Organic Carbon (%)	% Gravel (%)	% Sand (%)	% Silt (%)	% Clay (%)	FOC (log10 [mg/g])	Aluminum (log10 [ng/kg])	Antimony (log10 [ng/kg])	Arsenic (log10 [ng/kg])	Barium (log10 [ng/kg])	Beryllium (log10 [ng/kg])	Bismuth (log10 [ng/kg])	Boron (log10 [ng/kg])	Cadmium (log10 [ng/kg])	Calcium (log10 [ng/kg])	Chromium (log10 [ng/kg])	Cobalt (log10 [ng/kg])
StuC-REF-1	7.7700	280.8000	326.6000	62.5000	0.2400	3.1900	1.0000	27.3000	41.7000	31.0000	1.5000	6.0800	1.0000	2.3900	3.8500	1.6500	1.3000	2.8800	1.3800	5.8000	3.4300	2.9500
StuC-REF-2	7.5700	276.6000	325.7000	65.0000	0.3200	4.0100	1.0000	11.9000	50.5000	37.6000	1.6000	6.1800	1.0400	2.4600	3.9900	1.7500	1.3000	2.9400	1.5100	5.8700	3.5800	3.0300
StuC-REF-3	7.4400	272.7000	328.5000	51.5000	0.2000	2.7800	1.0000	30.9000	36.9000	32.2000	1.4400	6.0700	1.0000	2.3300	3.8400	1.7100	1.3000	2.8900	1.3300	5.8200	3.4400	2.9400
StuC-REF-4	7.3300	268.9000	325.5000	75.5000	0.3900	5.4900	1.0000	6.8000	51.9000	41.3000	1.7400	6.1800	1.1100	2.5200	4.0300	1.7900	1.3000	2.9800	1.5900	5.9000	3.6400	3.0700
StuC-REF-5	7.5000	270.3000	324.0000	59.0000	0.2300	3.1800	1.0000	22.3000	42.8000	34.8000	1.5000	6.1500	1.0000	2.3700	3.9500	1.7200	1.3000	2.8800	1.3000	5.8100	3.5200	2.9700
PinR-EXP-1	7.0200	421.2000	524.1000	81.8000	0.6100	7.8500	1.0000	8.3000	60.2000	31.5000	1.8900	6.2200	1.1500	2.6400	4.1100	1.7900	1.3000	3.0200	1.6500	6.1400	3.5400	3.0800
PinR-EXP-2	7.3000	408.2000	495.2000	76.5000	0.4300	6.0100	1.0000	16.0000	53.4000	30.5000	1.7800	6.1400	1.2800	2.5700	4.0800	1.8100	1.3000	3.0600	1.5100	6.3100	3.5600	3.0400
PinR-EXP-3	7.3600	412.3000	505.9000	85.5000	0.6900	8.9700	1.0000	2.4000	69.0000	28.6000	1.9500	6.1500	1.2000	2.6800	4.0500	1.7500	1.3000	3.0300	1.6000	6.2700	3.5300	3.0500
PinR-EXP-4	7.3100	391.3000	485.6000	53.5000	0.2400	3.4600	1.0000	30.8000	44.6000	24.6000	1.5400	5.9700	1.0400	2.3500	3.7900	1.6400	1.3000	2.8500	1.3000	6.0600	3.3300	2.8200
PinR-EXP-5	7.2600	392.4000	490.4000	72.6000	0.6400	9.3900	1.0000	10.7000	64.7000	24.6000	1.9700	6.1000	1.1800	2.4500	3.9700	1.7200	1.3000	2.8900	1.5600	6.0100	3.4300	2.8600

Station ID	Copper (log10 [ng/kg])	Iron (log10 [ng/kg])	Lead (log10 [ng/kg])	Lithium (log10 [ng/kg])	Magnesium (log10 [ng/kg])	Manganese (log10 [ng/kg])	Mercury (log10 [ng/kg])	Molybdenum (log10 [ng/kg])	Nickel (log10 [ng/kg])	Phosphorus (log10 [ng/kg])	Potassium (log10 [ng/kg])	Selenium (log10 [ng/kg])	Silver (log10 [ng/kg])	Sodium (log10 [ng/kg])	Strontium (log10 [ng/kg])	Sulphur (log10 [ng/kg])	Thallium (log10 [ng/kg])	Tin (log10 [ng/kg])	Titanium (log10 [ng/kg])	Tungsten (log10 [ng/kg])	Uranium (log10 [ng/kg])	Vanadium (log10 [ng/kg])
StuC-REF-1	2.9900	6.1300	2.8000	3.1500	5.6900	4.5000	0.5900	1.7100	3.2300	4.6900	5.1600	1.4300	1.0000	3.9400	3.3700	5.0000	1.1300	2.0000	4.1900	1.7000	2.1300	3.5100
StuC-REF-2	3.1000	6.2300	2.9000	3.2800	5.7500	4.5700	0.7100	2.0200	3.3700	4.7400	5.2500	1.5800	1.0000	4.0100	3.4200	5.0400	1.2200	2.0000	4.1800	1.7000	2.2600	3.6000
StuC-REF-3	2.9800	6.1200	2.8600	3.1700	5.6700	4.4200	0.6100	1.7300	3.2200	4.6700	5.1400	1.4300	1.0000	3.9300	3.3700	5.0000	1.1900	2.0000	4.2100	1.7000	2.1900	3.5000
StuC-REF-4	3.1600	6.2600	2.9600	3.2700	5.7800	4.6300	0.7900	2.1700	3.4300	4.7600	5.2900	1.6800	1.0000	4.0500	3.4800	5.1500	1.2700	2.0000	4.1700	1.7000	2.3400	3.6400
StuC-REF-5	3.0600	6.2000	2.8700	3.2100	5.7200	4.5400	0.6800	1.8000	3.3000	4.7100	5.2100	1.5300	1.0000	3.9800	3.3700	5.0000	1.1900	2.0000	4.1600	1.7000	2.1900	3.5600
PinR-EXP-1	3.2900	6.3000	2.9300	3.2600	5.9000	4.7800	0.8000	2.0100	3.3900	4.8900	5.2500	1.7500	1.0000	4.1700	3.5100	5.2300	1.2700	2.0000	4.0700	1.7000	2.2700	3.6300
PinR-EXP-2	3.2100	6.2600	2.9300	3.2100	6.0000	4.8200	0.7000	2.0900	3.4000	4.8100	5.2000	1.6500	1.0000	4.1200	3.5600	5.1500	1.2500	2.0000	4.1700	1.7000	2.1700	3.6000
PinR-EXP-3	3.2400	6.2600	2.8800	3.2500	6.0200	4.6400	0.7700	2.1600	3.4000	4.8900	5.2500	1.7900	1.0000	4.2300	3.5800	5.3800	1.2500	2.0000	4.1400	1.7000	2.3200	3.6100
PinR-EXP-4	2.9900	6.1000	2.8000	3.0900	5.8300	4.5000	0.6900	1.5400	3.1700	4.7000	5.0200	1.5300	1.0000	3.9800	3.3400	5.0000	1.0900	2.0000	4.1400	1.7000	2.0400	3.4000
PinR-EXP-5	3.1200	6.1800	2.8800	3.1400	5.6800	4.5600	0.7300	1.8800	3.2700	4.8300	5.1100	1.7200	1.0000	4.0500	3.5000	5.2000	1.1300	2.0000	3.9900	1.7000	2.3200	3.5300

Table D.3: Benthic Analyses: Index Values for Benthic Sample Stations, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Station ID	Zinc (log10 [ng/kg])	Zirconium (log10 [ng/kg])	Rainy River Sediment Metal PC-1 (69.7%)	Rainy River Sediment Metal PC-2 (16.2%)	Rainy River Sediment Metal PC-3 (6.2%)
StuC-REF-1	3.7900	2.7100	-1.0889	0.2666	0.3844
StuC-REF-2	3.9000	2.8200	0.1808	1.2275	-0.1703
StuC-REF-3	3.7700	2.7800	-1.0389	0.7194	0.2220
StuC-REF-4	3.9600	2.8600	0.8311	1.3090	-0.5819
StuC-REF-5	3.8300	2.7100	-0.4747	0.7841	-0.0640
PinR-EXP-1	3.9700	2.6900	1.2149	-0.2937	-0.2957
PinR-EXP-2	3.8800	2.7200	0.8672	-0.4241	1.7616
PinR-EXP-3	3.9500	2.5700	1.1371	-1.1209	0.4109
PinR-EXP-4	3.7000	2.6700	-1.4996	-1.2993	0.5233
PinR-EXP-5	3.8000	2.6900	-0.1290	-1.1686	-2.1904

Table D.4: Statistical Characteristics of Benthic Metrics and Supporting Measures at RRP Phase 1 EEM Areas, 2017

Variable	Area ID	n	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	95% Confidence Interval		Minimum	Maximum
							Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
Density (Ind./m2)	StuC-REF	5	9,742	9,950	3,027	1,354	6,191	13,708	6,378	14,612
	PinR-EXP	5	4,871	8,445	7,602	3,400	-994	17,884	3,014	21,582
LPL Number of Taxa	StuC-REF	5	16.00	14.80	2.39	1.07	11.84	17.76	11.00	17.00
	PinR-EXP	5	12.00	12.00	5.10	2.28	5.67	18.33	4.00	18.00
LPL Simpson's D	StuC-REF	5	0.85	0.85	0.04	0.02	0.79	0.90	0.80	0.90
	PinR-EXP	5	0.81	0.80	0.11	0.05	0.66	0.93	0.63	0.90
LPL Simpson's E	StuC-REF	5	0.47	0.48	0.15	0.07	0.29	0.67	0.31	0.65
	PinR-EXP	5	0.66	0.55	0.21	0.09	0.30	0.81	0.25	0.75
LPL BC Dissimilarity	StuC-REF	5	0.330	0.334	0.095	0.042	0.216	0.452	0.210	0.450
	PinR-EXP	5	0.850	0.774	0.138	0.062	0.602	0.946	0.560	0.890
% Oligochaeta	StuC-REF	5	14.290	15.718	10.460	4.678	2.730	28.706	3.640	30.150
	PinR-EXP	5	15.790	26.790	27.283	12.201	-7.086	60.666	2.780	64.470
% Chironomidae	StuC-REF	5	41.82	38.834	14.915	6.670	20.315	57.353	22.980	55.550
	PinR-EXP	5	23.81	31.848	26.277	11.751	-0.779	64.475	7.700	63.880
% Metal Sensitive Chironomidae	StuC-REF	5	0.00	1.160	1.930	0.863	-1.236	3.556	0.000	4.450
	PinR-EXP	5	0.00	1.756	2.543	1.137	-1.401	4.913	0.000	5.560
% Bivalvia	StuC-REF	5	0.000	0.364	0.814	0.364	-0.647	1.375	0.000	1.820
	PinR-EXP	5	2.150	9.172	16.508	7.383	-11.326	29.670	0.000	38.450
% Gastropoda	StuC-REF	5	1.82	2.682	3.663	1.638	-1.866	7.230	0.000	8.890
	PinR-EXP	5	3.22	10.880	18.193	8.136	-11.710	33.470	0.000	42.850
% Ostracoda	StuC-REF	5	0.000	0.920	1.261	0.564	-0.646	2.486	0.000	2.380
	PinR-EXP	5	1.070	2.962	4.680	2.093	-2.850	8.774	0.000	11.110
% Ephemeroptera	StuC-REF	5	0.00	0.270	0.604	0.270	-0.480	1.020	0.000	1.350
	PinR-EXP	5	0.00	0.952	2.129	0.952	-1.691	3.595	0.000	4.760
% Trichoptera	StuC-REF	5	0.000	0.364	0.814	0.364	-0.647	1.375	0.000	1.820
	PinR-EXP	5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
% Chaoboridae	StuC-REF	5	21.420	25.788	12.378	5.535	10.419	41.157	11.110	39.180
	PinR-EXP	5	0.000	3.584	5.736	2.565	-3.539	10.707	0.000	13.160
Rainy LPL CA-1 (29.0%)	StuC-REF	5	-0.440	-0.411	0.131	0.058	-0.573	-0.249	-0.526	-0.200
	PinR-EXP	5	0.269	0.445	0.720	0.322	-0.449	1.340	-0.266	1.570
Rainy LPL CA-2 (17.0%)	StuC-REF	5	-0.217	-0.168	0.278	0.124	-0.513	0.177	-0.447	0.294
	PinR-EXP	5	0.486	0.402	0.829	0.371	-0.628	1.431	-0.552	1.606
Rainy LPL CA-3 (16.4%)	StuC-REF	5	-0.046	-0.099	0.414	0.185	-0.613	0.415	-0.594	0.317
	PinR-EXP	5	-0.164	-0.027	0.663	0.297	-0.851	0.797	-0.864	0.918
Rainy LPL CA-4 (12.0%)	StuC-REF	5	0.21	0.130	0.277	0.124	-0.214	0.475	-0.260	0.450
	PinR-EXP	5	-0.38	-0.290	0.561	0.251	-0.987	0.406	-0.925	0.553
FL Number of Taxa	StuC-REF	5	8.00	7.60	1.14	0.51	6.18	9.02	6.00	9.00
	PinR-EXP	5	7.00	7.20	2.05	0.92	4.66	9.74	4.00	9.00
FL Simpson's D	StuC-REF	5	0.680	0.706	0.052	0.023	0.642	0.770	0.660	0.780
	PinR-EXP	5	0.630	0.632	0.095	0.042	0.514	0.750	0.540	0.780
FL Simpson's E	StuC-REF	5	0.48	0.468	0.121	0.054	0.318	0.618	0.330	0.640
	PinR-EXP	5	0.40	0.428	0.165	0.074	0.223	0.633	0.240	0.670
FL BC Dissimilarity	StuC-REF	5	0.22	0.216	0.057	0.026	0.145	0.287	0.140	0.280
	PinR-EXP	5	0.68	0.602	0.145	0.065	0.422	0.782	0.400	0.740
Rainy FL CA-1 (26.5%)	StuC-REF	5	-0.29	-0.326	0.252	0.113	-0.638	-0.013	-0.583	-0.025
	PinR-EXP	5	0.50	0.370	0.355	0.159	-0.071	0.811	-0.183	0.671
Rainy FL CA-2 (25.6%)	StuC-REF	5	0.05	0.023	0.272	0.122	-0.315	0.360	-0.361	0.300
	PinR-EXP	5	0.11	0.112	0.683	0.306	-0.737	0.960	-0.867	0.957
Rainy FL CA-3 (17.9%)	StuC-REF	5	-0.208	-0.211	0.350	0.156	-0.645	0.223	-0.637	0.196
	PinR-EXP	5	0.127	0.178	0.350	0.156	-0.257	0.612	-0.196	0.734
Rainy FL CA-4 (14.4%)	StuC-REF	5	0.083	-0.029	0.422	0.189	-0.554	0.495	-0.478	0.364
	PinR-EXP	5	-0.024	0.067	0.300	0.134	-0.305	0.439	-0.193	0.505

Table D.5: Summary of Benthic Invertebrate Community Characteristics and Statistical Comparisons Among Areas RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Metric	Comparison	2-group ANOVA; Magnitude of Difference; Estimation of Effect Size				
	ANOVA Comparison	Significant Difference Among Areas?	(P-value) ^a	Power	Magnitude of Difference (# of SDs) ^b	Minimum Detectable Effect Size (# of SDs) ^c
Density (Ind./m2)	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.692	0.124	~	4.1
FL Number of Taxa	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.713	0.121	~	3.2
FL Simpson's D	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.164	0.405	~	3.2
FL Simpson's E	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.674	0.127	~	2.6
FL BC Dissimilarity	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	YES	0.001	1.000	6.7	~
Rainy FL CA-1 (26.5%)	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	YES	0.007	0.946	2.8	~
Rainy FL CA-2 (25.6%)	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.793	0.110	~	4.2
Rainy FL CA-3 (17.9%)	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.117	0.485	~	2.2
Rainy FL CA-4 (14.4%)	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.688	0.125	~	1.9
% Oligochaeta	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.421	0.200	~	4.3
% Ostracoda	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.374	0.223	~	5.9
% Ephemeroptera	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.510	0.167	~	5.6
% Trichoptera	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.347	0.238	~	1.5
% Chaoboridae	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	YES	0.007	0.952	-1.8	~
% Chironomidae	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.619	0.138	~	3.1
% Metal Sensitive Chironomidae	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.687	0.125	~	2.5
% Gastropoda	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.352	0.235	~	7.8
% Bivalvia	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.268	0.293	~	31.2
% Collector Gatherers	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.211	0.347	~	2.0
% Filterers	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.214	0.344	~	13.2
% Scrapers	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.373	0.224	~	7.8
% Shredders	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	YES	0.029	0.779	-1.3	~
% Clingers	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.874	0.104	~	3.2
% Sprawlers	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	YES	0.014	0.886	-1.9	~
% Burrowers	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.227	0.330	~	2.3

^a p-value obtained from 1-way ANOVA

^b Magnitude calculated by comparing the difference between the reference and exposure area means to the reference area standard deviation (SD) [(exposure mean - reference mean) / standard deviation of the reference mean]

^c Minimum effect size detectable calculated based on variance as square root of MSE from ANOVA and alpha = beta = 0.10. Minimum effect size reported as the minimum number of standard deviations detectable based on reference area standard deviation.

 Shading denotes significant interaction (P < 0.05).

Table D.6: Eigenvalues of Correspondence Analysis (CA) of Family Level (FL) Benthic Community Samples from RRP Phasee 1 EEM, 2017

	Rainy FL CA-1 (26.5%)	Rainy FL CA-2 (25.6%)	Rainy FL CA-3 (17.9%)	Rainy FL CA-4 (14.4%)
Eigenvalue	0.198	0.192	0.134	0.108
Relative Inertia (%)	26.48	25.58	17.85	14.35
Cumulative Inertia (%)	26.48	52.06	69.91	84.26

Table D.7a: Scores for Family Level (FL) Benthic Taxa from Correlation Analysis (CA), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

	Rainy FL CA-1 (26.5%)	Rainy FL CA-2 (25.6%)	Rainy FL CA-3 (17.9%)	Rainy FL CA-4 (14.4%)
P. Nemata	0.0417	0.1368	-0.0142	0.0095
F. Naididae	0.0750	0.2010	-0.0670	-0.0338
Subcl. Acari	0.0273	-0.3436	-0.4367	-0.0267
O. Harpacticoida	-1.0952	0.1748	0.0603	-0.8697
Cl. Ostracoda	-0.2295	0.2481	0.8127	0.0146
F. Caenidae (Caenis)	0.4432	-1.4421	-0.8245	0.2033
F. Ceratopogonidae	0.4323	-0.2379	0.4700	-0.2383
F. Chaoboridae	-0.3601	-0.0373	-0.4255	-0.1365
F. Chironomidae	-0.0417	0.1107	-0.0025	0.0406
F. Ancyliidae (Ferrissia)	-0.5399	-0.2323	-0.0156	1.0107
F. Hydrobiidae (Amnicola)	1.3036	-0.9407	0.2830	-0.5767
F. Planorbidae	-0.2670	-0.8113	0.8210	0.3988
F. Sphaeriidae	0.9306	1.0985	-0.1747	0.2674

Shading indicates an absolute Pearson Correlation r-value greater than 0.5.

Table D.7b: Scores for Lowest Practical Level (LPL) Benthic Taxa from Correlation Analysis (CA), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

	Rainy LPL CA-1 (29.0%)	Rainy LPL CA-2 (17.0%)	Rainy LPL CA-3 (16.4%)	Rainy LPL CA-4 (12.0%)
P. Nemata	0.0138	0.2144	-0.0971	-0.1085
Dero digitata	-0.0360	0.2312	0.7829	0.4216
Nais alpina/simplex	-0.5775	-0.6485	-1.1060	0.0222
Aulodrilus pigueti	0.1900	0.8102	0.6101	1.2418
Limnodrilus udekemianus	0.1357	0.3659	-0.5386	-0.1708
immatures with hair chaetae	-0.6103	-0.6132	-1.1252	0.1065
immatures without hair chaetae	-0.1966	0.2778	-0.0824	0.3726
Subcl. Acari	0.1301	-0.4223	-0.3209	0.2724
O. Harpacticoida	-0.7131	-0.0633	0.0276	0.5762
Cl. Ostracoda	-0.1267	0.3677	0.7695	-0.0546
Caenis	1.1846	-1.0440	-0.7680	-0.4258
Bezzia	1.2287	-0.7491	0.6700	-0.8655
Dasyhelea	1.7883	-0.0683	-0.0280	0.5669
Chaoborus flavicans	1.1846	-1.0440	-0.7680	-0.4258
Chaoborus punctipennis	-0.6202	-0.1165	-0.2534	0.1420
Chironomus	0.0771	0.3113	-0.2792	-0.4996
Cladopelma	-0.8212	-0.4875	-0.6777	0.6042
Dicrotendipes	0.4409	0.2326	1.3453	-0.2650
Einfeldia	-0.5073	0.0048	0.1506	-0.1489
Endochironomus	-0.3175	-0.1303	-0.0054	0.5750
Glyptotendipes	-0.5520	-0.3362	0.1933	0.0261
Tanytarsus	0.0483	-0.1357	0.6207	-0.1835
Ablabesmyia	1.7883	-0.0683	-0.0280	0.5669
Guttipelopia	-0.4341	-0.2574	0.3247	-0.5267
Labrudinia	1.7883	-0.0683	-0.0280	0.5669
Procladius	-0.3717	0.0009	0.0742	0.1031
Ferrissia	-0.4193	-0.5759	0.4352	-0.4853
Amnicola	1.8376	-0.1433	-0.0681	0.5111
Gyraulus	0.5386	-0.6370	0.6398	-0.5660
Sphaerium (Musculium)	0.3938	1.9808	-0.6128	-0.6902

Shading indicates an absolute Pearson Correlation r-value greater than 0.5.

Table D.8: Number of Invertebrates (Family Level) per m², RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Station Replicate	StuC-REF					PinR-EXP				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
ROUNDWORMS										
P. Nemata	464	580	348	464	2,783	928	3,942	232	232	232
ANNELIDS										
P. Annelida										
WORMS										
Cl. Oligochaeta										
F. Naididae	928	1,855	232	1,391	4,406	232	13,913	232	1,391	696
LEECHES										
Cl. Hirudinea										
F. Glossiphoniidae	0	116	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARTHROPODS										
P. Arthropoda										
MITES										
Cl. Arachnida										
Subcl. Acari	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O. Trombidiformes										
F. Arrenuridae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	232	0	0
F. Limnesiidae	0	116	116	0	232	0	232	0	0	0
F. Oxidae	0	0	116	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F. Pionidae	232	116	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F. Unionicolidae	0	116	116	0	232	0	0	0	0	0
HARPACTICOIDS										
O. Harpacticoida	696	0	0	696	464	0	0	0	0	0
SEED SHRIMPS										
Cl. Ostracoda	232	0	0	232	0	928	232	0	0	116
INSECTS										
Cl. Insecta										
MAYFLIES										
O. Ephemeroptera										
F. Caenidae	0	116	0	0	0	0	0	232	0	0
O. Odonata										
DRAGONFLIES										
F. Corduliidae	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0
CADDISFLIES										
O. Trichoptera										
F. Hydroptilidae	0	0	116	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TRUE FLIES										
O. Diptera										
BITING-MIDGE										
F. Ceratopogonidae	0	0	0	0	232	232	232	464	0	116
PHANTOM MIDGE										
F. Chaoboridae	1,159	3,362	2,435	2,087	2,783	0	0	232	0	580
MIDGES										
F. Chironomidae	5,797	1,971	2,667	4,870	3,478	5,333	1,855	1,159	232	2,435
MOLLUSCS										
P. Mollusca										
SNAILS										
Cl. Gastropoda										
F. Ancyliidae	232	232	116	0	0	464	0	0	0	0
F. Hydrobiidae	0	0	0	0	0	0	696	1,855	0	0
F. Planorbidae	696	0	0	0	0	232	0	232	0	0
CLAMS										
Cl. Bivalvia										
F. Sphaeriidae	0	0	116	0	0	0	464	0	1,159	232
TOTAL NUMBER OF ORGANISMS	10,436	8,580	6,378	9,740	14,610	8,349	21,580	4,870	3,014	4,407

Table D.9: Statistical Comparisons of Benthic Invertebrate Community Characteristics (at the family level) Among Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Metric	Comparison	2-group ANOVA; Magnitude of Difference; Estimation of Effect Size				
	ANOVA Comparison	Significant Difference Among Areas?	(P-value) ^a	Power	Magnitude of Difference (# of SDs) ^b	Minimum Detectable Effect Size (# of SDs) ^c
FL Number of Taxa	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.713	0.121	~	3.2
FL Simpson's D	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.164	0.405	~	3.2
FL Simpson's E	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.674	0.127	~	2.6
FL BC Dissimilarity	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	YES	0.001	1.000	6.7	~
Rainy FL CA-1 (23.1%)	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	YES	0.008	0.943	2.9	~
Rainy FL CA-2 (21.3%)	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.392	0.214	~	2.9
Rainy FL CA-3 (19.5%)	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.778	0.112	~	1.8
Rainy FL CA-4 (12.3%)	StuC-REF vs. PinR-EXP	NO	0.400	0.210	~	2.1

^a p-value obtained from 1-way ANOVA

^b Magnitude calculated by comparing the difference between the reference and exposure area means to the reference area standard deviation (SD) [(exposure mean - reference mean) / standard deviation of the reference mean]

^c Minimum effect size detectable calculated based on variance as square root of MSE from ANOVA and alpha = beta = 0.10. Minimum effect size reported as the minimum number of standard deviations detectable based on reference area standard deviation.

BENTHIC INVERTEBRATE COMMUNITY DATA

Laboratory Reports

Table D.10: Number of Macroinvertebrates per m², RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Station Replicate	StuC-Ref					PinR-Exp				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
ROUNDWORMS										
P. Nemata	32	40	24	32	192	64	272	16	16	16
ANNELIDS										
P. Annelida										
WORMS										
Cl. Oligochaeta										
F. Naididae										
S.F. Naidinae										
<i>Dero digitata</i>	-	-	8	16	-	16	48	-	-	-
<i>Dero nivea</i>	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nais alpina/simplex</i>	-	16	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-
S.F. Tubificinae										
<i>Aulodrilus pigueti</i>	-	-	-	48	-	-	48	-	-	-
<i>Limnodrilus udekemianus</i>	16	88	8	-	208	-	288	16	96	24
immatures with hair chaetae	-	8	-	-	32	-	-	-	-	-
immatures without hair chaetae	16	16	-	32	48	-	576	-	-	24
LEECHES										
Cl. Hirudinea										
F. Glossiphoniidae										
<i>Glossiphonia complanata</i>	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARTHROPODS										
P. Arthropoda										
MITES										
Cl. Arachnida										
Subcl. Acari										
O. Trombidiformes										
F. Arrenuridae										
<i>Arrenurus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	-
F. Limnesiidae										
<i>Limnesia</i>	-	8	8	-	16	-	-	-	-	-
F. Oxidae										
<i>Oxus</i>	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
F. Pionidae										
indeterminate	16	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
F. Unionicolidae										
<i>Neumania</i>	-	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Unionicola</i>	-	-	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-
HARPACTICOIDS										
O. Harpacticoida	48	-	-	48	32	-	-	-	-	-
SEED SHRIMPS										
Cl. Ostracoda	16	-	-	16	-	64	16	-	-	8
INSECTS										
Cl. Insecta										
MAYFLIES										
O. Ephemeroptera										
F. Caenidae										
<i>Caenis</i>	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	-
O. Odonata										
DRAGONFLIES										
F. Corduliidae										
<i>Epiteca</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
CADDISFLIES										
O. Trichoptera										
F. Hydroptilidae										
<i>Oxyethira</i>	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRUE FLIES										
O. Diptera										
BITING-MIDGE										
F. Ceratopogonidae										
<i>Bezzia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	16	-	-
<i>Dasyhelea</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	16	-	-
<i>Sphaeromias</i>	-	-	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-
pupae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
PHANTOM MIDGE										
F. Chaoboridae										
<i>Chaoborus flavicans</i>	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	-
<i>Chaoborus punctipennis</i>	80	224	168	144	192	-	-	-	-	40
MIDGES										
F. Chironomidae										
chironomid pupae	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	-	-	-
S.F. Chironominae										
<i>Chironomus</i>	-	16	-	48	64	64	-	48	16	72
<i>Cladopelma</i>	-	-	16	-	16	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dicrotendipes</i>	-	-	-	-	-	96	16	-	-	-

Table D.10: Number of Macroinvertebrates per m², RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Station Replicate	StuC-Ref					PinR-Exp				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Einfeldia</i>	128	16	-	176	48	32	-	-	-	40
<i>Endochironomus</i>	96	-	16	-	16	-	16	-	-	-
<i>Glyptotendipes</i>	48	24	40	48	16	32	-	-	-	-
<i>Parachironomus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	-
<i>Paratanytarsus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
<i>Polypedilum sordens</i>	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Tanytarsus</i>	32	8	-	-	-	32	32	-	-	-
S.F. Orthocladiinae										
<i>Cricotopus (Isocladius)</i>	-	-	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-
S.F. Tanypodinae										
<i>Ablabesmyia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	16	-	-
<i>Guttipelopia</i>	80	16	16	-	-	48	-	-	-	8
<i>Labrundinia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	16	-	-
<i>Procladius</i>	16	56	80	64	64	16	16	-	-	24
<i>Psectrotanypus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
<i>Tanypus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
MOLLUSCS										
P. Mollusca										
SNAILS										
Cl. Gastropoda										
F. Ancyliidae										
<i>Ferrissia</i>	16	16	8	-	-	32	-	-	-	-
F. Hydrobiidae										
<i>Ammicola</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	128	-	-
F. Planorbidae										
<i>Gyraulus</i>	32	-	-	-	-	16	-	16	-	-
immature	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLAMS										
Cl. Bivalvia										
F. Sphaeriidae										
<i>Cyclocalyx</i>	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sphaerium (Musculium)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	-	80	16
TOTAL NUMBER OF ORGANISMS	720	592	440	672	1008	576	1489	336	208	304
TOTAL NUMBER OF TAXA ^a	16	19	16	11	17	14	18	12	4	13

^a Bold entries excluded from taxa count

APPENDIX E
FISH COMMUNITY DATA

Fish Permit




Figure E.1: Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Female Brook Stickleback Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Notes: outliers are plotted as open symbols with an x through them






Figure E.2: Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Male Brook Stickleback Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Notes: outliers are plotted as open symbols with an x through them




Figure E.3: Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Female Central Mudminnow Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Notes: outliers are plotted as open symbols with an x through them




Figure E.4: Scatterplot and Linear Regressions For Male Central Mudminnow Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference), RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Notes: outliers are plotted as open symbols with an x through them

Table E.1: Minnow Trapping Catch Records, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Effluent-exposed vs Reference	Area ID	Station ID	Location (dd mm ss.s) ^a		Set Date	Lift Date	Set Time	Lift Time	Trap Set Hours	Number of Traps	Effort (Total Trap Days)	Brook Stickleback					Central Mudminnow					Brown Bullhead				
			Latitude	Longitude								Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE
Mine-exposed	Pinewood River (PinR-EXP)	PinR-EXP-MT1	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	21-Apr-17	22-Apr-17	18:30	10:00	15.50	14	9.04	184	50	0	134	20.4	10	10	0	0	1.11	0	0	0	0	0
		PinR-EXP-MT2	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	22-Apr-17	23-Apr-17	10:20	8:30	22.17	14	12.9	296	0	0	296	22.9	2	2	0	0	0.15	0	0	0	0	0
		PinR-EXP-MT3	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	23-Apr-17	24-Apr-17	9:40	9:10	23.50	14	13.7	498	0	0	498	36.3	12	12	0	0	0.88	1	0	0	1	0.07
		PinR-EXP-MT4	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	24-Apr-17	25-Apr-17	9:10	9:00	23.83	14	13.9	222	0	0	222	16.0	10	10	0	0	0.72	0	0	0	0	0
		PinR-EXP-MT5	48 49 44.1	-94 03 51.8	24-Apr-17	25-Apr-17	9:30	9:20	23.83	15	14.9	134	0	0	134	9.0	14	14	0	0	0.94	0	0	0	0	0
		PinR-EXP-MT6	48 49 44.1	-94 03 51.8	25-Apr-17	25-Apr-17	9:30	12:30	3.00	15	1.88	27	0	0	27	14.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		PinR-EXP-MT7	48 49 44.1	-94 03 51.8	25-Apr-17	26-Apr-17	9:50	12:45	26.92	12	13.5	20	0	0	20	1.49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total											79.8	1,381	50	0	1,331	17.3	48	48	0	0	0.60	1	0	0	1	0.01
Reference	Sturgeon Creek (StuC-REF)	StuC-REF-MT1	48 43 16.6	-93 57 08.3	21-Apr-17	22-Apr-17	19:20	8:50	13.50	15	8.44	129	50	0	79	15.3	56	56	0	0	6.64	0	0	0	0	0
		StuC-REF-MT2	48 43 16.6	-93 57 08.3	23-Apr-17	24-Apr-17	10:30	8:10	21.67	15	13.5	95	0	0	95	7.0	44	12	0	32	3.25	0	0	0	0	0
		Total											22.0	224	50	0	174	10.2	100	68	0	32	4.55	0	0	0

Note: CPUE = catch per unit effort (# fish per day per trap).

^a d-degrees, m-minutes, s-seconds

Table E.1: Minnow Trapping Catch Records, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Effluent-exposed vs Reference	Area ID	Station ID	Location (dd mm ss.s) ^a		Brassy Minnow					Creek Chub					Finescale Dace					Johnny Darter					Lake Chub									
			Latitude	Longitude	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE					
Mine-exposed	Pinewood River (PinR-EXP)	PinR-EXP-MT1	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	1	0	0	1	0.11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.11	2	0	0	2	0.22	
		PinR-EXP-MT2	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	6	0	0	6	0.46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0.15	
		PinR-EXP-MT3	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	1	0	0	1	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		PinR-EXP-MT4	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	6	0	0	6	0.43	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0.22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	0.43		
		PinR-EXP-MT5	48 49 44.1	-94 03 51.8	7	0	0	7	0.47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		PinR-EXP-MT6	48 49 44.1	-94 03 51.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		PinR-EXP-MT7	48 49 44.1	-94 03 51.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
					21	0	0	21	0.26	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0.04	1	0	0	1	0.01	10	0	0	10	0.13					
Reference	Sturgeon Creek (StuC-REF)	StuC-REF-MT1	48 43 16.6	-93 57 08.3	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	0.83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		StuC-REF-MT2	48 43 16.6	-93 57 08.3	6	0	6	0	0.44	4	0	4	0	0.30	2	0	2	0	0.15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0.22			
						6	0	6	0	0.27	11	0	4	7	0.50	2	0	2	0	0.09	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0.14				

Note: CPUE = catch per unit effort (# fish per day per trap).

^ad-degrees, m-minutes, s-seconds

Table E.1: Minnow Trapping Catch Records, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Effluent-exposed vs Reference	Area ID	Station ID	Location (dd mm ss.s) ^a		Pearl Dace					Northern Redbelly Dace					White Sucker					Total (all species)	
			Latitude	Longitude	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	No. Captured	CPUE
Mine-exposed	Pinewood River (PinR-EXP)	PinR-EXP-MT1	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.11	4	0	0	4	0.44	203	22.5
		PinR-EXP-MT2	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	0	0	0	0	0	16	15	0	1	1.24	0	0	0	0	0	322	24.9
		PinR-EXP-MT3	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	3	0	0	3	0.22	5	0	0	5	0.36	1	0	0	1	0.07	521	38.0
		PinR-EXP-MT4	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	1	0	0	1	0.07	5	0	0	5	0.36	2	0	0	2	0.14	255	18.3
		PinR-EXP-MT5	48 49 44.1	-94 03 51.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	155	10.4
		PinR-EXP-MT6	48 49 44.1	-94 03 51.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	14.4
		PinR-EXP-MT7	48 49 44.1	-94 03 51.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	1.49
					4	0	0	4	0.05	27	15	0	12	0.34	7	0	0	7	0.09	1,503	18.8
Reference	Sturgeon Creek (StuC-REF)	StuC-REF-MT1	48 43 16.6	-93 57 08.3	0	0	0	0	0	43	0	0	43	5.10	0	0	0	0	0	235	27.9
		StuC-REF-MT2	48 43 16.6	-93 57 08.3	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	0.37	0	0	0	0	0	159	11.7
						0	0	0	0	0	48	0	5	43	2.18	0	0	0	0	0	394

Note: CPUE = catch per unit effort (# fish per day per trap).

^a d-degrees, m-minutes, s-seconds

Table E.2: Seine Net Catch Records, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Effluent-exposed vs Reference	Area ID	Station ID	Location (dd mm ss.s) ^a		Date	Time	Length (m)	Distance (m)	# of Hauls	Area Seined (m ²)	Brook Stickleback					Central Mudminnow					Brassy Minnow (juvenile)				
			Latitude	Longitude							Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE
Effluent-exposed	Pinewood River (PinR-EXP)	SN-1a	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	23-Apr-17	16:00	5	2	1	10	7	0	0	7	0.70	8	8	0	0	0.80	0	0	0	0	0
		SN-1b					6	4	1	24	5	0	0	5	0.21	3	3	0	0	0.13	0	0	0	0	0
		SN-1c					6	4	1	24	6	0	0	6	0.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		SN-2a	48 49 44.6	-94 03 55.7	23-Apr-17	16:30	10	5	1	50	4	0	0	4	0.08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		SN-2b					7	7	1	49	4	0	0	4	0.08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		SN-3	48 49 44.1	-94 03 57.9	23-Apr-17	17:00	8	8	1	64	350	0	0	350	5.5	2	2	0	0	0.03	70	0	0	70	1.1
		Total									221	376	0	0	376	1.7	13	13	0	0	0.06	70	0	0	70

Note: Total CPUE = # of fish / m².
^a d-degrees, m-minutes, s-seconds

Table E.2: Seine Net Catch Records, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Effluent-exposed vs Reference	Area ID	Station ID	Location (dd mm ss.s) ^a		Date	Time	Length (m)	Distance (m)	# of Hauls	Area Seined (m ²)	Dace spp. (juvenile)					Johnny Darter					White Sucker					Total (all species)				
			Latitude	Longitude							Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE	No. Captured	CPUE			
Effluent-exposed	Pinewood River (PinR-EXP)	SN-1a	48 49 46.6	-94 03 51.8	23-Apr-17	16:00	5	2	1	10	1	0	0	1	0.10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	1.6		
		SN-1b					6	4	1	24	3	0	0	3	0.13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.5
		SN-1c					6	4	1	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
		SN-2a	48 49 44.6	-94 03 55.7	23-Apr-17	16:30	10	5	1	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.02	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.1	
		SN-2b					7	7	1	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.1	
		SN-3	48 49 44.1	-94 03 57.9	23-Apr-17	17:00	8	8	1	64	100	0	0	100	1.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0.03	524	8.2		
		Total									221	104	0	0	104	0.47	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0.01	566	2.6		

Note: Total CPUE = # of fish / m².
^a d-degrees, m-minutes, s-seconds

Table E.3: Backpack Electrofishing Catch Records, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Effluent-exposed vs Reference	Area ID	Station ID	Location (dd mm ss.s) ^a		Date	Electrofisher Settings			Effort (seconds)	Fish Species ^b					Total (all species)	
			Starting Location			Output Voltage (volts)	Cycle Freq. (Hz)	Duty Cycle (%)		Central Mudminnow					No. Captured	CPUE
			Latitude	Longitude						Total Catch	Number Retained	Additional Mortalities	Number Released Alive	CPUE		
Effluent-exposed	Pinewood River (PinR-EXP)	PinR-EXP-EF	48 49 47.1	-94 03 49.4	25-Apr-17	400	30	12	756	20	12	0	8	1.6	20	1.6
								Total	756	20	12	0	8	1.6	20	1.6

Note: CPUE = catch per unit effort (# fish per electrofishing minute).

^a d-degrees, m-minutes, s-seconds

^b Targeted fishing for central mudminnow. Brook stickleback, dace spp., and white sucker also observed but not captured.

Table E.4: Female Brook Stickleback Meristic Data from Sturgeon Creek, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Processing Date	Species	Fish ID Number	Total Length (mm)	Fork Length (mm)	Body Weight (g)	Age Structure Collected	Sex	Age	Gonad Weight (g)	Gonad Subsample Weight (g)	Liver Weight (g)	Fecundity	Egg Weight (g)	Abnormalities / Comments
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-01	46.38	-	0.751	whole body	F	1	0.025	-	0.024	3,114	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-02	53.57	-	1.174	whole body	F	1	0.047	-	0.056	2,584	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-05	54.29	-	1.202	whole body	F	1	0.048	-	0.047	2,768	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-06	57.90	-	1.663	whole body	F	1	0.067	-	0.066	3,146	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-07	50.87	-	1.127	whole body	F	1	0.048	-	0.039	2,667	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-08	46.38	-	0.804	whole body	F	1	0.029	-	0.019	2,204	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-15	43.15	-	0.664	whole body	F	1	0.028	-	0.015	1,894	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-16	44.64	-	0.747	whole body	F	1	0.022	-	0.026	1,636	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-17	45.51	-	0.665	whole body	F	1	0.024	-	0.019	1,266	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-18	45.20	-	0.704	whole body	F	1	0.026	-	0.026	1,936	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-20	45.37	-	0.629	whole body	F	1	0.021	-	0.018	1,253	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-22	44.46	-	0.776	whole body	F	1	0.027	-	0.023	1,662	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-23	46.83	-	0.782	whole body	F	1	0.018	-	0.032	1,388	0	worm
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-24	46.09	-	0.866	whole body	F	1	0.033	-	0.038	1,840	0	worm
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-25	49.52	-	0.850	whole body	F	1	0.029	-	0.022	1,865	0	worm
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-28	43.60	-	0.646	whole body	F	1	0.024	-	0.018	1,256	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-31	46.84	-	0.805	whole body	F	1	0.021	-	0.031	1,636	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-32	47.47	-	0.815	whole body	F	1	0.039	-	0.036	1,857	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-34	46.17	-	0.819	whole body	F	1	0.031	-	0.036	1,497	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-37	45.00	-	0.679	whole body	F	1	0.019	-	0.021	1,841	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-39	50.53	-	0.954	whole body	F	1	0.038	-	0.026	1,971	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-41	44.46	-	0.677	whole body	F	1	0.026	-	0.024	2,091	0	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-43	47.06	-	0.816	whole body	F	1	0.028	-	0.029	1,350	0	-
		n	23	-	23	-	-	23	23	-	23	23	23	-
		min	43.15	-	0.629	-	-	1	0.018	-	0.015	1253	0.000008	-
		max	57.90	-	1.663	-	-	1	0.067	-	0.066	3,146	0.000021	-
		mean	47.45	-	0.853	-	-	1.000	0.031	-	0.030	1,944	0.000016	-
		median	46.38	-	0.804	-	-	1.000	0.028	-	0.026	1,857	0.000017	-
		standard deviation	3.716	-	0.239	-	-	0.000	0.012	-	0.013	568	0.000004	-
		standard error	0.775	-	0.050	-	-	0.000	0.002	-	0.003	118.40	0.000001	-

Notes: BSB = Brook Stickleback; F = Female.

Table E.5: Female Brook Stickleback Meristic Data from the Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Processing Date	Species	Fish ID Number	Total Length (mm)	Fork Length (mm)	Body Weight (g)	Age Structure Collected	Sex	Age	Gonad Weight (g)	Gonad Subsample Weight (g)	Liver Weight (g)	Fecundity	Egg Weight (g)	Abnormalities / Comments
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-02	48.47	-	1.186	whole body	F	1	0.165	-	0.111	2,117	0.00008	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-03	47.19	-	1.157	whole body	F	1	0.072	-	0.080	2,070	0.00003	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-04	45.20	-	1.031	whole body	F	1	0.131	-	0.095	379	0.00035	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-05	46.37	-	1.173	whole body	F	1	0.175	-	0.082	461	0.00038	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-06	45.93	-	1.069	whole body	F	1	0.158	-	0.098	2,127	0.00007	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-07	47.20	-	1.185	whole body	F	1	0.150	-	0.115	2,494	0.00006	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-09	53.31	-	1.160	whole body	F	1	0.035	-	0.035	-	-	undeveloped female
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-10	47.88	-	1.280	whole body	F	1	0.271	-	0.102	3,022	0.00009	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-11	43.70	-	0.832	whole body	F	1	0.055	-	0.042	558	0.00010	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-12	47.03	-	0.955	whole body	F	1	0.043	-	0.064	2,944	0.00001	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-13	41.92	-	0.773	whole body	F	1	0.058	-	0.059	1,861	0.00003	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-15	58.52	-	1.954	whole body	F	2	0.216	-	0.129	4,383	0.00005	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-16	45.44	-	0.863	whole body	F	1	0.046	-	0.059	1,683	0.00003	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-17	49.89	-	1.380	whole body	F	2	0.117	-	0.072	805	0.00015	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-18	42.01	-	0.806	whole body	F	1	0.102	-	0.061	1,852	0.00006	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-21	44.45	-	0.866	whole body	F	1	0.079	-	0.067	1,353	0.00006	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-22	43.04	-	0.738	whole body	F	1	0.075	-	0.072	1,735	0.00004	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-23	42.76	-	0.802	whole body	F	1	0.053	-	0.055	1,785	0.00003	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-24	50.77	-	1.151	whole body	F	1	0.097	-	0.083	2,502	0.00004	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-26	43.22	-	0.749	whole body	F	1	0.112	-	0.056	2,004	0.00006	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-27	41.58	-	0.656	whole body	F	1	0.030	-	0.039	1,695	0.00002	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-28	47.51	-	1.064	whole body	F	1	0.057	-	0.068	1,449	0.00004	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-29	50.60	-	1.186	whole body	F	1	0.134	-	0.091	2,388	0.00006	-
		n	23	-	23	-	-	23	23	-	23	22	22	-
		min	41.58	-	0.656	-	-	1	0.030	-	0.035	-	-	-
		max	58.52	-	1.954	-	-	2	0.271	-	0.129	-	-	-
		mean	46.70	-	1.044	-	-	1.087	0.106	-	0.075	-	-	-
		median	46.37	-	1.064	-	-	1.000	0.097	-	0.072	-	-	-
		standard deviation	4.055	-	0.282	-	-	0.288	0.062	-	0.025	-	-	-
		standard error	0.846	-	0.059	-	-	0.060	0.013	-	0.005	-	-	-

Notes: BSB = Brook Stickleback; F = Female.

Table E.6: Male Brook Stickleback Meristic Data from Sturgeon Creek, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Processing Date	Species	Fish ID Number	Total Length (mm)	Fork Length (mm)	Body Weight (g)	Age Structure Collected	Sex	Age	Gonad Weight (g)	Liver Weight (g)	Abnormalities / Comments
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-03	51.78	-	1.102	whole body	M	1	0.003	0.035	worms in body cavity
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-04	48.87	-	0.858	whole body	M	1	0.005	0.029	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-09	49.04	-	0.902	whole body	M	1	0.003	0.031	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-10	46.96	-	0.788	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.019	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-11	49.51	-	0.895	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.020	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-12	51.16	-	0.996	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.030	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-13	47.84	-	0.865	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.031	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-14	45.40	-	0.785	whole body	M	1	0.003	0.017	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-19	40.67	-	0.530	whole body	M	1	0.001	0.012	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-21	41.75	-	0.635	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.015	worm in body cavity
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-26	50.17	-	0.840	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.014	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-27	47.05	-	0.808	whole body	M	1	0.003	0.017	worm
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-29	49.43	-	0.977	whole body	M	1	0.003	0.029	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-30	51.40	-	1.040	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.014	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-33	45.46	-	0.747	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.020	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-35	45.71	-	0.914	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.045	large worm
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-36	47.48	-	0.880	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.037	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-38	51.85	-	0.972	whole body	M	1	0.006	0.022	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-40	47.93	-	0.766	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.018	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-42	45.09	-	0.694	whole body	M	1	0.003	0.012	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-44	40.94	-	0.578	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.019	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	StuC-BSB-45	50.55	-	1.095	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.033	-
		n	22	-	22	-	-	22	22	22	-
		min	40.67	-	0.530	-	-	1	0.001	0.012	-
		max	51.85	-	1.102	-	-	1	0.006	0.045	-
		mean	47.55	-	0.849	-	-	1.000	0.003	0.024	-
		median	47.89	-	0.862	-	-	1.000	0.003	0.020	-
		standard deviation	3.348	-	0.154	-	-	0.000	0.001	0.009	-
		standard error	0.714	-	0.033	-	-	0.000	0.000	0.002	-

Notes: BSB = Brook Stickleback; M = Male.

Table E.7: Male Brook Stickleback Meristic Data from the Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Processing Date	Species	Fish ID Number	Total Length (mm)	Fork Length (mm)	Body Weight (g)	Age Structure Collected	Sex	Age	Gonad Weight (g)	Liver Weight (g)	Abnormalities / Comments
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-01	52.10	-	1.302	whole body	M	1	0.007	0.064	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-08	52.65	-	1.577	whole body	M	1	0.008	0.072	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-14	52.49	-	1.625	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.116	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-19	49.93	-	1.235	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.047	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-20	49.06	-	1.057	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.025	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-25	42.64	-	0.858	whole body	M	1	0.001	0.059	scale tared before weight
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-30	50.29	-	1.252	whole body	M	1	0.006	0.062	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-31	47.92	-	0.905	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.020	worm in body cavity
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-32	44.49	-	1.006	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.050	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-33	42.73	-	0.855	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.024	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-34	43.95	-	0.920	whole body	M	1	0.006	0.040	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-35	51.80	-	1.210	whole body	M	1	0.002	0.054	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-36	47.46	-	1.099	whole body	M	1	0.003	0.058	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-37	43.39	-	0.814	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.039	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-38	44.64	-	0.942	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.057	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-39	45.28	-	0.833	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.030	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-40	41.80	-	0.692	whole body	M	1	0.003	0.031	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-41	45.51	-	0.889	whole body	M	1	0.001	0.028	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-42	42.87	-	0.690	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.030	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-43	51.23	-	1.155	whole body	M	1	0.001	0.048	-
22-Apr-17	BSB	PinR-BSB-44	48.21	-	0.968	whole body	M	1	0.003	0.028	-
		n	21	-	21	-	-	21	21	21	-
		min	41.80	-	0.690	-	-	1	0.001	0.020	-
		max	52.65	-	1.625	-	-	1	0.008	0.116	-
		mean	47.16	-	1.042	-	-	1.000	0.004	0.047	-
		median	47.46	-	0.968	-	-	1.000	0.004	0.047	-
		standard deviation	3.710	-	0.255	-	-	0.000	0.002	0.022	-
		standard error	0.810	-	0.056	-	-	0.000	0.000	0.005	-

Notes: BSB = Brook Stickleback; M = Male.

Table E.8: Female Central Mudminnow Meristic Data from Sturgeon Creek, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Processing Date	Species	Fish ID Number	Total Length (mm)	Fork Length (mm)	Body Weight (g)	Age Structure Collected	Sex	Age	Gonad Weight (g)	Gonad Subsample Weight (g)	Liver Weight (g)	Fecundity	Egg Weight (g)	Abnormalities / Comments
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-02	60.10	-	2.064	whole body	F (I)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-04	98.19	-	8.476	whole body	F	2	0.764	-	0.189	699	0.0011	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-05	65.75	-	2.564	whole body	F (I)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-13	98.08	-	8.319	whole body	F	2	0.713	-	0.196	775	0.0009	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-15	67.95	-	2.750	whole body	F	1	0.162	-	0.063	201	0.0008	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-17	98.87	-	8.244	whole body	F	2	0.451	-	0.153	646	0.0007	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-19	89.13	-	6.525	whole body	F	2	0.726	-	0.152	552	0.0013	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-21	61.51	-	1.914	whole body	F	1	0.105	-	0.042	185	0.0006	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-22	61.43	-	1.922	whole body	F	1	0.093	-	0.046	192	0.0005	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-23	106.65	-	12.819	whole body	F	2	1.121	-	0.335	1,144	0.0010	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-24	112.82	-	13.750	whole body	F	2	1.996	-	0.294	1,027	0.0019	worms
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-27	67.28	-	3.089	whole body	F	1	0.219	-	0.089	190	0.0012	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-29	79.89	-	4.206	whole body	F	2	0.328	-	0.108	204	0.0016	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-31	98.86	-	8.938	whole body	F	2	0.971	-	0.236	694	0.0014	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-34	77.52	-	4.171	whole body	F	1	0.388	-	0.108	452	0.0009	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-35	91.11	-	6.441	whole body	F	2	0.306	-	0.173	637	0.0005	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-36	64.03	-	2.224	whole body	F (I)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-39	58.17	-	1.826	whole body	F	1	0.075	-	0.034	133	0.0006	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-40	86.54	-	6.113	whole body	F	2	0.583	-	0.182	326	0.0018	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-42	90.44	-	7.200	whole body	F	3	0.912	-	0.148	517	0.0018	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-43	79.06	-	4.689	whole body	F	2	0.595	-	0.118	291	0.0020	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-44	101.45	-	10.604	whole body	F	2	1.089	-	0.247	1,086	0.0010	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-45	81.34	-	4.764	whole body	F	2	0.470	-	0.129	364	0.0013	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-46	74.01	-	3.916	whole body	F	1	0.342	-	0.090	417	0.0008	missing part of caudal fin
24-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-47	96.53	-	10.462	whole body	F	3	1.377	-	0.239	705	0.0020	-
		n	25	-	25	-	-	22	22	-	22	22	22	-
		min	58.17	-	1.826	-	-	1	0.075	-	0.034	133	0.000480	-
		max	112.82	-	13.750	-	-	3	1.996	-	0.335	1,144	0.002045	-
		mean	82.67	-	5.920	-	-	1.773	0.627	-	0.153	520	0.001161	-
		median	81.34	-	4.764	-	-	2.000	0.527	-	0.150	485	0.001048	-
		standard deviation	16.381	-	3.536	-	-	0.612	0.476	-	0.082	306	0.000506	-
		standard error	3.276	-	0.707	-	-	0.130	0.102	-	0.017	65.26	0.000108	-

Notes: CMM = Central Mudminnow; F = Female; I = Immature.

Table E.9: Female Central Mudminnow Meristic Data from the Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Processing Date	Species	Fish ID Number	Total Length (mm)	Fork Length (mm)	Body Weight (g)	Age Structure Collected	Sex	Age	Gonad Weight (g)	Gonad Subsample Weight (g)	Liver Weight (g)	Fecundity	Egg Weight (g)	Abnormalities / Comments
22-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-02	95.32	-	10.371	head	F	2	1.123	-	0.408	559	0.0020	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-03	81.90	-	5.339	whole body	F	2	0.743	-	0.154	460	0.0016	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-05	89.66	-	6.820	whole body	F	2	0.817	-	0.151	502	0.0016	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-07	68.37	-	3.626	whole body	F	1	0.497	-	0.080	284	0.0018	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-08	86.09	-	7.201	whole body	F	1	1.213	-	0.150	620	0.0020	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-10	65.01	-	2.857	whole body	F	1	0.341	-	0.048	157	0.0022	-
23-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-11	92.24	-	8.989	whole body	F	2	1.429	-	0.253	631	0.0023	-
23-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-12	68.43	-	3.414	whole body	F	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-14	81.92	-	5.985	whole body	F	1	0.574	-	0.201	388	0.0015	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-16	95.97	-	9.099	whole body	F	2	0.588	-	0.214	428	0.0014	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-17	69.05	-	2.995	whole body	F	1	0.106	-	0.093	206	0.0005	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-18	76.31	-	3.306	whole body	F	2	0.103	-	0.062	234	0.0004	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-20	95.59	-	9.280	whole body	F	2	1.071	-	0.263	458	0.0023	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-21	103.90	-	14.018	whole body	F	4	2.442	-	0.348	1,120	0.0022	worms
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-23	99.59	-	10.939	whole body	F	2	1.893	-	0.268	961	0.0020	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-28	80.33	-	5.805	whole body	F	2	1.055	-	0.172	446	0.0024	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-31	125.70	-	24.841	whole body	F	3	4.163	-	0.766	1,834	0.0023	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-33	69.44	-	2.992	whole body	F	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-34	61.63	-	2.531	whole body	F	2	0.278	-	0.057	238	0.0012	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-35	73.61	-	4.335	whole body	F	1	0.535	-	0.142	243	0.0022	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-43	77.37	-	4.675	whole body	F	1	0.580	-	0.139	341	0.0017	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-45	109.49	-	15.336	whole body	F	2	2.595	-	0.353	1,272	0.0020	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-46	78.77	-	4.923	whole body	F	2	0.520	-	0.153	394	0.0013	-
		n	23	-	23	-	-	21	21	-	21	21	21	-
		min	61.63	-	2.531	-	-	1	0.103	-	0.048	157	0.000440	-
		max	125.70	-	24.841	-	-	4	4.163	-	0.766	1,834	0.002365	-
		mean	84.60	-	7.377	-	-	1.810	1.079	-	0.213	561	0.001750	-
		median	81.90	-	5.805	-	-	2.000	0.743	-	0.154	446	0.001956	-
		standard deviation	15.917	-	5.237	-	-	0.750	0.986	-	0.162	415	0.000552	-
		standard error	3.319	-	1.092	-	-	0.164	0.215	-	0.035	90.56	0.000120	-

Notes: CMM = Central Mudminnow; F = Female.

Table E.10: Male Central Mudminnow Meristic Data from Sturgeon Creek, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Processing Date	Species	Fish ID Number	Total Length (mm)	Fork Length (mm)	Body Weight (g)	Age Structure Collected	Sex	Age	Gonad Weight (g)	Liver Weight (g)	Abnormalities / Comments
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-01	64.00	-	2.324	whole body	M	1	0.021	0.030	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-03	89.85	-	6.958	whole body	M	3	0.098	0.093	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-06	98.79	-	9.147	whole body	M	2	0.203	0.133	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-07	66.95	-	2.868	whole body	M	1	0.013	0.029	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-08	54.18	-	1.335	whole body	M	1	0.008	0.013	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-09	69.56	-	3.091	whole body	M	2	0.028	0.049	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-10	81.90	-	4.978	whole body	M	2	0.090	0.083	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-11	92.15	-	7.791	whole body	M	2	0.165	0.101	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-12	69.84	-	3.125	whole body	M	1	0.048	0.044	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-14	75.10	-	3.498	whole body	M	3	0.050	0.036	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-16	61.61	-	2.154	whole body	M	1	0.026	0.048	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-18	91.42	-	6.739	whole body	M	2	0.169	0.091	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-20	89.30	-	6.809	whole body	M	2	0.194	0.138	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-25	66.07	-	2.514	whole body	M	1	0.053	0.028	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-26	63.12	-	2.374	whole body	M	1	0.037	0.039	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-28	63.52	-	2.383	whole body	M	1	0.024	0.028	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-30	62.73	-	2.030	whole body	M	1	0.031	0.022	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-32	76.38	-	3.806	whole body	M	2	0.050	0.069	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-33	61.41	-	2.121	whole body	M	1	0.026	0.026	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-37	56.56	-	1.675	whole body	M	1	0.021	0.032	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-38	55.56	-	1.629	whole body	M	1	0.022	0.024	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	StuC-CMM-41	66.88	-	3.018	whole body	M	2	0.064	0.038	-
		n	22	-	22	-	-	22	22	22	-
		min	54.18	-	1.335	-	-	1	0.008	0.013	-
		max	98.79	-	9.147	-	-	3	0.203	0.138	-
		mean	71.68	-	3.744	-	-	1.545	0.066	0.054	-
		median	66.92	-	2.943	-	-	1.000	0.043	0.039	-
		standard deviation	13.252	-	2.266	-	-	0.671	0.061	0.036	-
		standard error	2.825	-	0.483	-	-	0.143	0.013	0.008	-

Notes: CMM = Central Mudminnow; M= Male.

Table E.11: Male Central Mudminnow Meristic Data from the Pinewood River, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Processing Date	Species	Fish ID Number	Total Length (mm)	Fork Length (mm)	Body Weight (g)	Age Structure Collected	Sex	Age	Gonad Weight (g)	Liver Weight (g)	Abnormalities / Comments
22-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-01	63.04	-	2.548	whole body	M	1	0.034	0.057	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-04	61.35	-	2.319	whole body	M	1	0.032	0.031	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-06	64.72	-	2.493	whole body	M	1	0.035	0.038	-
22-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-09	62.25	-	2.283	whole body	M	1	-	0.059	not developed - immature?
23-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-13	66.36	-	3.316	whole body	M	1	0.057	0.137	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-15	80.16	-	5.053	whole body	M	2	0.085	0.067	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-19	86.74	-	6.984	whole body	M	2	0.122	0.209	-
24-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-22	59.75	-	2.229	whole body	M	1	0.034	0.061	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-24	94.76	-	8.918	whole body	M	1	0.206	0.287	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-25	70.40	-	3.678	whole body	M	1	0.106	0.049	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-26	95.54	-	10.933	whole body	M	3	0.181	0.187	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-27	121.02	-	20.732	whole body	M	4	0.544	0.323	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-29	77.54	-	4.988	whole body	M	2	0.098	0.103	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-30	56.04	-	2.002	whole body	M	1	0.009	0.049	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-32	172.48	-	3.649	whole body	M	1	0.061	0.100	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-36	68.59	-	3.107	whole body	M	1	0.023	0.089	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-37	69.29	-	3.318	whole body	M	1	0.053	0.089	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-38	52.12	-	1.381	whole body	M	1	0.006	0.030	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-39	55.15	-	1.628	whole body	M	1	0.011	0.038	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-40	53.11	-	1.259	whole body	M	1	0.016	0.029	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-41	51.85	-	1.191	whole body	M	1	0.013	0.016	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-42	45.24	-	0.939	whole body	M	1	0.004	0.030	-
25-Apr-17	CMM	PinR-CMM-44	100.76	-	11.145	whole body	M	3	0.253	0.150	-
n			25	-	25	-	-	23	22	23	-
min			45.24	-	0.939	-	-	1	0.004	0.016	-
max			172.48	-	20.732	-	-	4	0.544	0.323	-
mean			74.65	-	4.500	-	-	1.435	0.090	0.097	-
median			68.43	-	3.107	-	-	1.000	0.044	0.061	-
standard deviation			27.015	-	4.406	-	-	0.843	0.122	0.084	-
standard error			5.403	-	0.881	-	-	0.176	0.026	0.017	-

Notes: CMM = Central Mudminnow; M= Male.

Table E.12: Sample Size Calculations for Endpoints with a Minimum Detectable Difference < 25% (or 10% for Condition) for Brook Stickleback Health Endpoints For Pinewood River (Effluent-exposed) Compared to Sturgeon Creek (Reference) Areas, RRP Phase 1 EEM, 2017

Species	Sex	Indicator	Endpoint	Variables		Sample Size		Test	S ^a	COV (%) ^b	Minimum Sample Size to Detect an Effect Size (% Increase/Decrease Relative to Reference) with $\alpha=\beta=0.1$									
				Response	Covariate	Reference	Effluent-exposed				ANCOVA	d=5%	d=10%	d=20%	d=25%	d=30%	d=33%	d=40%	d=50%	d=100%
												d=-5%	d=-9%	d=-17%	d=-20%	d=-23%	d=-25%	d=-29%	d=-33%	d=-50%
					T-test/M-W	d=±5%	d=±10%	d=±20%	d=±25%	d=±30%	d=±33%	d=±40%	d=±50%	d=±100%						
Central Mudminnow	Female	Survival	Age	Age	-	22	21	M-W	-	38.50	M-W	1,178	296	76	49	34	29	20	13	5
		Energy Usage	Weight-at-age (Age 1 and 2 fish)	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	Age	20	19	ANCOVA	0.18174	-	ANCOVA	1,261	331	91	61	45	37	28	19	8
			Relative Gonad Weight	log[Gonad Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	21	ANCOVA	0.17503	-	ANCOVA	1,170	307	85	57	42	35	26	18	7
	Male	Survival	Age	Age	-	22	22	M-W	-	30.76	M-W	752	189	49	32	22	19	13	10	4
		Energy Usage	Weight-at-age (Age 1 and 2 fish)	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	Age	20	19	ANCOVA	0.18438	-	ANCOVA	1,298	341	94	63	46	38	28	20	8
			log[Gonad Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	Age	20	19	ANCOVA	0.16833	-	ANCOVA	1,082	284	79	53	39	32	24	17	7
	Energy Storage	Relative Liver Weight	log[Liver Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	22	ANCOVA	0.131779	-	ANCOVA	664	175	49	33	24	20	15	11	5	
Brook Stickleback	Female	Energy Usage	Relative Gonad Weight	log[Gonad Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	23	22	ANCOVA	0.15985	-	ANCOVA	976	257	71	48	35	29	22	15	6
		Energy Storage	Relative Liver Weight	log[Liver Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	23	22	ANCOVA	0.09759	-	ANCOVA	364	96	27	19	14	12	9	7	3
	Male	Energy Usage	Relative Gonad Weight	log[Gonad Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	22	21	ANCOVA	0.20397	-	ANCOVA	1,588	417	115	77	56	47	35	24	9
		Energy Storage	Relative Liver Weight	log[Liver Weight (g)]	log[Adjusted Body Weight (g)]	23	22	ANCOVA	0.14004	-	ANCOVA	749	197	55	37	27	23	17	12	5

█ Samples size required to detect a 25% increase relative to reference

^a Pooled standard deviation of the regression residuals

^b Coefficient of variation (pooled standard deviation/reference mean)×100%

FISH COMMUNITY DATA

Fish Permits



Ministry of
Natural Resources

Ministère des
Richesses naturelles

Licence to Collect Fish for Scientific Purposes

Permis pour faire la collecte de poissons à des fins scientifiques

Licence No. N° de permis	1086615
Local Reference No. N° de référence local	2017-2245
Issuer Account No. N° de compte du délivreur de permis	10003046

This licence is issued under Part I of the Fish Licensing Regulation made under the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act, 1997 to:

Ce permis est délivré en vertu de la Partie I du règlement sur la délivrance de permis de pêche formulé conformément à la Loi sur la protection du poisson et de la faune de 1997 à:

Name of Licencee Nom du titulaire du permis	Last Name / Nom de famille Mrs. Tester	First Name / Prénom Jess	Middle Name / Second Prénom
Name of Business/Organization/Affiliation (If applicable) / Nom de l'entreprise/de l'organisme/de l'affiliation (le cas échéant) Minnow Environmental Inc.			
Mailing address of Licencee Adresse postale du permis	Street Name & No./PO Box/RR/Gen. Del./ N° rue/C.P./R.R./poste restante 2 Lamb Street		
	City/Town/Municipality / Ville/Village/municipalité Georgetown	Province/State Province/État ON	Postal Code/Zip Code Code Postal/Zip L7G 3M9

to collect the species, size and quantities of fish from the waters as set out below.
Pour faire la collecte des espèces suivantes (stade et nombre indiqués ci-dessous):

Species Espèces	Eggs Oeuf X	Juvenile Fretin X	Adults Adulte X	Numbers Nombre	Name of Waterbody Nom de l'étendue d'eau
SMALL BODIED SPECIES			X	50	Pineewood River
SMALL BODIED SPECIES			X	50	Sturgeon Creek or Kishkakoosis River

Yes/Oui Additional species/Waterbody list attached / Liste d'espèces/d'étendue d'eau additionnelles ci-jointe

Purpose of collection Fish population survey
But de la collecte

Licence Dates Dates du permis	Effective Date / Date d'entrée en vigueur (YYYY-MM-DD) 2017-04-18	Expiry Date / Date d'expiration (YYYY-MM-DD) 2017-05-31
---	---	---

Licence conditions This licence is subject to the conditions contained in Schedule A if included. / Ce permis doit respecter les conditions de l'annexe A si celle-ci est jointe.

Conditions du permis Yes/Oui No/Non Schedule A included. / Annexe A ci-jointe

Issued by (please print) Délivré par (veuillez écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) Matt Myers	Signature of issuer / Signature du délivreur 	Date of Issue/Date de délivrance (YYYY-MM-DD) 2017-05-04
Signature of Licencee / Signature du titulaire du permis 		Date (YYYY-MM-DD) 2017-05-05

Personal information contained on this form is collected under the authority of the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act, 1997 and will be used for the purpose of licensing, identification, enforcement, resource management and customer service surveys. Please direct further inquiries to the District Manager of the MNR Issuing district.

Les renseignements personnels dans ce formulaire sont recueillis conformément à la Loi sur la protection du poisson et de la faune, 1997, et ils seront utilisés aux fins de délivrance de permis, d'identification, d'application des règlements, de gestion des ressources et de sondage sur les services à la clientèle. Veuillez communiquer avec le chef du district du MRN qui délivre le permis si vous avez des questions.

Licence to Collect Fish for Scientific Purposes
Schedule A – Licence Conditions

Licence No. 1086615
Local Reference No. 2017-2245
Issuer Account No. 10003046

This licence is subject to the conditions listed below.

1. Mandatory report forms documenting the sampling conducted under this licence must be submitted to the licence issuer within 30 days of the termination date, but in no case later than January 31 next following the year of issue. The digital Mandatory Report form (Part 1) must be completed for each Sampling Program and the digital Site Collection Reports (Part 2) must be completed for each collection site. A separate map clearly indicating the location of each collection site must be attached to the Site Collection Reports. Submit Mandatory Report forms to the Fort Frances District MNR office. The submission of a satisfactory report is a prerequisite to any subsequent renewals.
2. Sampling locations must be reported using GPS location data using: Projection: Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM); Datum: North American 1983 (NAD83), Canadian Transformation (CNT); Zone: 15 N; Units: metres.
3. Before carrying out any operation under this licence, any person authorized under this licence is required to consult with the Fort Frances Ministry of Natural Resources District Manager at least one week prior to anticipated start of sampling and obtain approval from the respective Manager for the proposed sampling activity. Also, any person authorized under this licence must advise the respective Manager of the date, time and location of all sampling.
4. A copy of the signed original licence must be carried by the licenced person when working at the designated sites. An assistant of the licenced person who is carrying out activities under this licence during the absence of the licenced person shall carry the licence on his or her person.
5. All collection gear shall be clearly marked with the licenced person's and the organization's name.
6. This licence is not valid in Provincial Parks, park reserves, Conservation Authority property or National Parks without written permission from the authorized person in charge of the area concerned.
<http://www.ontarioparks.com/email/research>
7. Capture gear shall be inspected regularly and live holding traps must be inspected at least once daily.
8. This licence does not allow access to any property without permission of the landowner.
9. The licensee shall follow the best management practices for the collection, handling, transportation and holding of fish identified in **FPS Technical Bulletin (Dec. 15, 2011)** included with the licence in order to minimize the risk of spreading aquatic invasive species and diseases.
10. All field equipment must be de-contaminated prior to use on each water body in order to prevent the spread of exotic species and disease.
11. This licence does not authorize any activity that is prohibited under the federal **Species at Risk Act** or the provincial **Endangered Species Act**.
12. All SAR fish and mussels must also be reported to the OMNR Natural Heritage Information Centre on the appropriate form at:
http://nhic.mnr.gov.on.ca/MNR/nhic/species/species_report.cfm
13. This licence does not authorize the possession of specially protected fish under the **Ontario Fishery Regulations**.
14. This licence does not authorize the collection of any species of fish protected under the **Species at Risk Act, Endangered Species Act, or Ontario Fishery Regulations**. If these species are accidentally captured they must be returned to the water immediately.
15. This licence ONLY allows for the following capture gear to be used:
Minnow traps, seine nets, mini hoop nets, backpack electrofishing
16. Persons authorized under this licence include the following:
Jess Tester, Kevin Martens, Katharina Batchelar, Tyler Nash, Tyrell Worrall, Mike White
17. **The following MNR Class Animal Care Protocols will be adhered to as appropriate for the project activity:**
 - Capture Methods- Electrofishing
 - Capture Methods- Seining
 - Capture Methods- Impounding Gear
 - Handling and Marking- Biological Sampling
 - Containment- Short term Containment

Signature of Licensee

x 

Date

5 - MAY - 2017